Before the				TABLE OF CONTENTS	
COPYRIGHT ROYALTY JUDGES			WITNES	SE DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RE	CROSS
Washington, D.C.				VERNON Boydston 10 118	
				Olaniran 19/84	
IN THE MARKET OF		I		MacLean 91 r Dire on page 81	127
IN THE MATTER OF: :	Docket No.	1	Raul G		
Phase II Distribution :	2012-6	I	By Mr.	Boydston 132	
of the 2004-2009 : Cable Royalty Funds :	CRB CD			MacLean 253 Olaniran 280	
	2004-09		-,	221122411	
:	(Phase II)	l l		aunders Olaniran 316	
				Boydston 326	
IN THE MATTER OF: :	Darlest No			- W - DECCE Y DECCY	TD D
	Docket No. 2012-7	· '	EXHIBI	T NO. DESCRIPTION	ID R
of the 1999-2009 :		ľ	IPG		
	CRB SD 1999-2009			Letter to 2004-09 Cable Claimants #2	
	(Phase II)	1 :		Letter to Feed the	
				Children counsel	121
Volume 3				First Miracle Productions Owner/Agent List	154
Wednesday,		1	14	McNeely Declaration	167
December 10, 2014			15 16	Valle Declaration	171 160
Room LM-403		1		BBC Representation	160
Madison Building Library of Congre	88	1		Cochran Declaration	180
101 Independence		I		Fair Declaration AFI Award	195 201
Washington, DC		2	22	Dragonball Z	204
The above-entitled matt	er came on for			Intelisando Declaration Beast Wars Copyright	206 : 209 :
hearing, pursuant to notice,				Beast Wars Copyright	210
BEFORE: THE HONORABLE SUZ.	ANNE M. BADMETT		26	Martha Stewart Living Copyright	214
THE HONORABLE SUZ.		1		Cosgrove Declaration Jacobs Declaration	216 : 218 :
THE HONORABLE DAV			29	Notice Regarding Programing	
Copyright Royalty	ouages		,	of Watercourse Road Productions	:
		2			
APPEARANCES:		3	30	Agreement between Worldwide	
	_			Subsidy Group and Litton	
On Behalf of the Worldwi				Syndication	:
d/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES	-			Declaration of Steven Hoyt	:
Pick & Boydston, L		i		Declaration of Maureen Millen	
10786 Le Conte Ave		l l		Declaration of Jonathan Plowman Contract between Fintage WSG	7
Los Angeles, CA 90	024			contract between Fintage WSG and Televisa	2
10101 604 1006				Contract between Fintage WSG	•
(213) 624-1996		3	35 (_	
(213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin	g Devotional	3		and TV Azteca	2
On Behalf of the Settlin	-		i	and TV Azteca List entitled Claimants	2
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN	, ESQ.		36 I	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House	
On Behalf of the Settlin	, ESQ. N, ESQ.	3	36 I	List entitled Claimants	2
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop	, ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ.	3 M	36 1 6 1 1 1 1 1	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA	
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW	, ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman	3 M	36 1 6 4PAA 307 1	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA Feed the Children	
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200	, ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman	3 M 3	36 1 4 4 4 3 3 1 1	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA Feed the Children Notice re: Representation	:
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW	, ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman	3 M 3	36 1 4PAA 307 1	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA Feed the Children	
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ	, ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ.	3 M 3	19AA 19AA 307 1	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA Feed the Children Notice re: Representation IFG's Cable Claim for 2013	43
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker,	, ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ.	3 M 3 3	MPAA 807 1 356 :	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA Feed the Children Notice re: Representation IPG's Cable Claim for 2013 IPG's Joint Satellite	43
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200. (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, 1	, ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ.	3 3 3 3	386 3 44PAA 307 1 356 3 357 3	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA Feed the Children Notice re: Representation IFG's Cable Claim for 2013 IFG's Joint Satellite Claim for 2013 Email Re: June Proceedings from Galaz and Vernon	43 43 78
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker,	, ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ LLP	3 3 3 3	MPAA MPAA 307 1 356 : 357 : 3341 3	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA Feed the Children Notice re: Representation IFG's Cable Claim for 2013 IFG's Joint Satellite Claim for 2013 Email Re: June Proceedings from Galaz and Vernon	43 43
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO: VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOID P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, 1 Suite 703	, ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ LLP	3 3 3 3	MPAA MPAA 307 1 356 : 357 : 3341 3	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA Feed the Children Notice re: Representation IFG's Cable Claim for 2013 IFG's Joint Satellite Claim for 2013 Email Re: June Proceedings from Galaz and Vernon	43 43 78
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOID P. INTEXEE, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, Suite 703 Washington, DC 200 (202) 408-7600 On Behalf of the Motion:	, ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ LLP	3 M 3 3 3	MPAA 307 1 356 3 357 3 358 1	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA Feed the Children Notice re: Representation IFG's Cable Claim for 2013 IFG's Joint Satellite Claim for 2013 Email Re: June Proceedings from Galaz and Vernon	43 43 78
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 653-8000 ARNOID P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, 1 Suite 703 Washington, DC 200 (202) 408-7600 On Behalf of the Motion: Association of America:	, ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ. LLP NW 36	3 M 3 3 3 3	MPAA 307 1 356 2 357 3 358 1	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA Feed the Children Notice re: Representation IFG's Cable Claim for 2013 IFG's Joint Satellite Claim for 2013 Email Re: June Proceedings from Galaz and Vernon Various certifications and program lists mg Devotional Claimants	43 43 78
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOID P. INTEXEE, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, Suite 703 Washington, DC 200 (202) 408-7600 On Behalf of the Motion:	, ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ LLP NW	3 M 3 3 3 3 3	MPAA 307 1 356 3 357 3 341 3 6 Settlin	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA Feed the Children Notice re: Representation IFG's Cable Claim for 2013 IFG's Joint Satellite Claim for 2013 Email Re: June Proceedings from Galaz and Vernon Various certifications and program lists mg Devotional Claimants ment F to SDC Exhibit 602	43 43 78
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, 1 Suite 703 Washington, DC 200: (202) 408-7600 On Behalf of the Motion: Association of America: GREGORY O. OLANIRAL	ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ LLP NW 36 Picture N, ESQ. CK, ESQ.	3 M 3 3 3 3 3	MPAA 307 1 356 3 357 3 341 3 6 Settlin	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA Feed the Children Notice re: Representation IFG's Cable Claim for 2013 IFG's Joint Satellite Claim for 2013 Email Re: June Proceedings from Galaz and Vernon Various certifications and program lists mg Devotional Claimants	43 43 78
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOID P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, 1 Suite 703 Washington, DC 200 (202) 408-7600 On Behalf of the Motion: ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA: GREGORY O. OLANIRAL LUCY HOLMES PLOVNIC WHITNEY NONNETTE, 1 Mitchell Silberberg	, ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ. LLP NW 36 Picture N, ESQ. CK, ESQ.	3 M 3 3 3 3 3	MPAA 307 1 356 3 357 3 341 3 6 Settlin	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA Feed the Children Notice re: Representation IFG's Cable Claim for 2013 IFG's Joint Satellite Claim for 2013 Email Re: June Proceedings from Galaz and Vernon Various certifications and program lists mg Devotional Claimants ment F to SDC Exhibit 602	43 43 78
On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200. (202) 663-8000 ARNOID P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, 1233 20th Street, Suite 703 Washington, DC 200. (202) 408-7600 On Behalf of the Motion: ASSOCIATION Of America: GREGORY O. OLANIRAL LUCY HOLMES PLOVNIC WHITNEY NONNETTE,	, ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ. LLP NW 36 Picture N, ESQ. CK, ESQ.	3 M 3 3 3 3 3	MPAA 307 1 356 3 357 3 341 3 6 Settlin	List entitled Claimants Claimed by Fintage House through EGEDA Feed the Children Notice re: Representation IFG's Cable Claim for 2013 IFG's Joint Satellite Claim for 2013 Email Re: June Proceedings from Galaz and Vernon Various certifications and program lists mg Devotional Claimants ment F to SDC Exhibit 602	43 43 78

g

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2 9:17 a.m.

4 We beg your pardon for the late start.

5 $\,$ All that animated discussion in the judges' room

6 was an economics lecture.

7 (Laughter.)

9 Mr. Boydston, you were examining Ms.

9 Vernon, I believe.

0 MR. BOYDSTON: I was, Your Honor.

11 And I ask Ms. Vernon if she would take

12 the stand.

In addition to that, I thought before

14 we get started I was going to hand out the

Exhibit 122, which I have already done, to

10 counsel. And I have three copies here. Shall I

17 go ahead and do that?

18 JUDGE BARRETT: Is that the one that

19 we asked you to try to get legible copies of?

20 MR. BOYDSTON: No, this is the one

21 that we didn't have all together. Well, we had

22 one copy and we found a few of those and we got a

1 JUNGE BARRETT: You're not a real

lawyer, are you?

(Laughter.)

4 Certainly. Thank you, Mr. MacLean.

5 For the interest of those who are

6 counting, yesterday we recorded an hour and 35

minutes for MPAA, which means MPAA has used a

8 total of three hours and seven minutes. We

9 recorded two hours and 43 minutes for IPG, which

10 brings their total up, two-day total to five

11 hours, 22 minutes. And we recorded 43 minutes

12 for SDC, which brings their two-day total to 58

13 minutes. And we assume we are in the same

14 universe of discourse on that, and if we are way

15 off, let us know.

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor --

JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston.

18 JUDGE BARRETT: -- one other

19 housekeeping matter. In reviewing documents last

20 night, in my binders there was a discrepancy.

21 And I checked with counsel; they don't have the

discrepancy, and the record does not have the

6

whole bunch of paper.

2 JUDGE BARRETT: Understood.

 $\ensuremath{\mbox{\scriptsize 3}}$ MR. BOYDSTON: By my estimate, in the

4 way we originally delivered these things, it

5 should fit in your Volume 4 box.

6 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.

7 We will take the copies, but give

8 counsel an opportunity to look through it before

9 you have to accept or reject.

MR. BOYDSTON: Right.

11 MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, this is on

12 a different topic. But, while we are kind of

13 setting up here, I just wanted to make the judges
14 aware. I have a status conference with another

15 court, a telephonic status conference, at 12:30

16 today. I scheduled it at 12:30, so that we knew

it would fall within the lunch break.

18 I only bring it up to request that

19 today we either take our lunch break promptly at

 $20\,$ $\,$ 12:00, so I have a chance to get a bite to eat

21 before that, or wait until 12:30, closer to

22 12:30, so I can eat afterwards.

1 discrepancy. So, I'm assuming you don't, but I

2 would check real quick.

JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.

4 MR. BOYDSTON: This is Exhibit 76 and

5 $\,$ 77, which I believe should be in Volume 3 or 4 of

6 the ones you got.

JUDGE STRICKLER: Two.

8 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, it could be in 2.

9 JUDGE STRICKLER: It basically exists

10 amongst all those volumes.

11 (Laughter.)

MR. BOYDSTON: Well, in the press at

 $\,$ the end, we were using different sized binders at

14 times.

15

The discrepancy is that in 76 it

16 should be a very short declaration by Chandra

17 Winford, and 77 should be a declaration of Miles

18 Woodlief. You can see his picture at the front.

19 And if that is the way you have it, good. That

20 means there was only one mistake made in my

21 binder, which is the best place to make it.

9 11 Yes. As the letter says, we erringly with what you described. stated that you had not responded to our prior MR. BOYDSTON: Good. emails, but that was not the case for a lot of JUDGE STRICKLER: Seventy-seven should them, because, like I had testified before, we be what? MR. BOYDSTON: Miles Woodlief, and you had sent them the list, the Excel spreadsheet, of the satellite programming list at that time. And have his picture there. JUDGE BARRETT: It says "Benny Hinn" then, after that point, then we had gotten the data, the program list, for the cable programs. JUDGE STRICKLER: It says "Benny" So, that is what we were sending out at this here, but that's not a picture of Benny Hinn. time. And so, some of them had already responded 11 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. with identifying their programs with regard to 12 JUDGE STRICKLER: That is a picture of 12 satellite. And then, now we were sending them 13 13 the cable programs for that period of time. 14 MR. BOYDSTON: Excellent point. That 14 I'm not asking for a specific number. is not Mr. Woodlief. That is Mr. Hinn. 15 15 but, in general, I believe there are several JUDGE STRICKLER: Okav. I don't know hundred different claimants. Is that accurate? 16 16 17 them, but I will take your word for it. 17 That is accurate, ves. А (Laughter.) And have you personally dealt with 18 18 0 MR. BOYDSTON: And, Judge Feder, most of them? 19 19 20 you're okay with that? A Ouite a few, yes. JUDGE FEDER: Seventy-six, Winford? And so, I imagine it is a fairly big MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. job. 1 JUDGE FEDER: Seventy-seven, Woodlief. It's a very big job, yes. And like I 2 That's correct. said, it becomes even bigger when you're trying MR. BOYDSTON: Very good. As I said, to explain how to work an Excel spreadsheet. the best place for a mistake is in my copy, and 4 And I imagine some of the claimants that's where it was. don't have familiarity with some of these things? Thank you, Your Honor. No. WHEREUPON, And --DENISE VERNON Yes, and some of them do. Some of having been called for examination by Counsel for them are great, you know, very tech-savvy or it the IPG, and having been previously duly sworn, is a bigger company, you know, or something else. resumed the witness stand, was examined and So, they have people that will go through and do 12 testified as follows: it and identify the programs like we would like 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 to have them done. So, everything we have is 1.1 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 14 consistent.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

0

working with those?

Ms. Vernon, when we broke yesterday,

I would ask you if you recall the

we were looking at Exhibit 113. And that

erringly sent you an email, " et cetera.

circumstances of this letter.

appeared to be another letter that you had sent

out to IPG claimants. And I had noted that the

first sentence essentially said, "Last night we

1٤.

16

17

18

19

20

21

In a situation where someone isn't

Yes. You know, if they have any

familiar with something like an Excel

spreadsheet, is it, then, incumbent on you to

sort of hold their hand through the process of

ability at all, then a lot of times I can just

walk them through it, yes. But, if it is clear

```
15
                                                     13
                                                                 people down, you know, see who was there. what
    that there is no way they are getting this, then
                                                                 company, and everything else at that time.
    I tell them, you know, "Send me what you have.
                                                                             And it took me a long time to find
    Do you have a catalog list?", you know, a file.
                                                                  Maureen with IWV. And once I did find her -- and
    Some of them just have a few shows and things
                                                                  so, I utilized a whole lot of things, IMDB Pro.
    like that. "Or you can email me your list of
    program titles, and we'll put it in, whatever is
                                                                  There's people that I found by going to the
                                                                  Secretary of State, you know, websites in the
    easier for you."
                                                                  various states, to see if contact information, if
                 Are you familiar with Mr. Adler of
          0
    Adler and --
                                                                  they were still in business, that sort of thing.
                                                             10
                                                                             And when I finally did find Maureen,
                 Yes, Larry Adler, uh-hum
10
          Α
                                                                  then I know Raul had left messages. I found a
11
                 And have you had personal
                                                             11
          0
                                                             12
                                                                  cell number for her. Raul had left messages. I
12
    communications with him?
                                                             13
                                                                  had left messages. And then, she finally called
13
                 Yes, quite a few times. Yes, he is
     a very nice man. From the last proceedings and
                                                                  me back, and her story was just unbelievable.
     these proceedings -- well, and in between,
                                                             15
                                                                             I told her what was going on, you know
16
    because I have told him -- you know, he is very
                                                             16
                                                                             MR. MacLEAN: Objection.
17
     familiar. He has been around for a while and he
                                                             17
                                                                             THE WITNESS: -- that the proceedings
    knows how the proceedings work and the different
18
                                                             18
19
    phases, and that sort of thing.
                                                             19
                                                                  were finally starting.
                                                                             MR. MacLEAN: This is getting
                                                             20
20
                 So, we chat and I tell him what's
    going on, give him the background. You know,
                                                            21
                                                                 narrative. The question was, are you familiar
21
                                                                  with Maureen Millen and have you communicated
    this is an appeal. This is when these
```

```
proceedings are starting up, and that sort of
     thing. And he lives in, I believe it is
     Maryland. So, it's not that far from here.
                 And he always tells me what museums I
     should see and what restaurants I should go to
     while I'm in D.C., because I have so much free
     time when I'm here.
                 (Laughter.)
 9
                 So, yes, he's very nice.
                 And are you familiar with Maureen
10
11
    Millen? Have you communicated with her?
                 Yes. Matter of fact, for the 2000
     proceedings, when we started this last one, then
13
     I was actually the first one that contacted her
14
15
     when we were trying to find all the clients. You
16
     know, because it had been so long since there had
17
     been any phase 2 proceedings, that people had
18
     moved; addressed had changed; personnel had
19
     changed, that sort of thing.
                 So, you know, Raul and I went through
20
     all of the boxes and tried to get contact
21
22
    information. And we both started trying to hunt
```

```
with her.
                 THE WITNESS: Okav.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
                 You have now explained that you
     finally were able to get a number for Ms. Millen
     and you called her, and she told you certain
     things had happened in her life that had delayed
     her response. And what were those things?
                 There was a long list. One, she had
1.0
     been in a horrible car accident, lots of
     surgeries --
                 MR. MacLEAN: Objection. Hearsay.
13
     And also, I believe that Ms. Millen's declaration
14
15
     as to this aspect is already in evidence.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I don't think she had
16
17
     mentioned the reason why she delayed a response.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Is it relevant, Mr.
18
19
     Boydston?
20
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Well. I just wanted to
21
     explain --
22
                 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, ma'am, can
```

17 19 MR. BOYDSTON: I have nothing further, you repeat that, please? JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. I asked Mr. Your Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Boydston is it relevant. MR. BOYDSTON: It just explains why --Boydston. this is part of the reason why Maureen Millen, Mr. Olaniran? there was no contract for her that anyone had. MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, I beg your pardon, Your Honor. I wanted to move that Exhibit 113 be And you'll recall there was a lot of crossexamination about the fact that she requested entered into evidence. That was the last letter that a contract, her original contract, be that I started with this morning. essentially recreated and dated. 10 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MacLEAN: No objection from the 11 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. 11 SDC 12 Overruled. 12 JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 113 is 13 THE WITNESS: I'll try to make it ' 13 admitted. 1-1 short. 14 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Car accident. While she was in 15 15 [Whereupon, the document marked as recovery, her trusted assistant, basically, 16 16 17 embezzled all her money. Husband died. 17 IPG Exhibit No. 113 for Hurricane Ike, lost her house. And it was just a 18 identification was received in 15 series of events. evidence.1 19 And I believe that when Raul testified CROSS-EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. OLANIRAN: the other day, that her situation was such a unique situation, it was because of that. And 22 Good morning, Ms. Vernon. My name is 18 20 that is why she didn't have a copy of the Greg Olaniran. I'm counsel for MPAA. contract. We didn't have a copy of the contract 2 You stated, I think yesterday, that because Marian Oshita had not given us any of the you are the owner of IPG? 4 records. This is correct. Α And I explained that to her, you know, 0 Okay. And 100 percent owner or -but she remembers Marian and doing all of that. Ninety-nine percent. So, that was the reason her response had been so Ninety-nine percent? delayed and it took us so long to get everything together for Maureen. And I think the 1 percent is held by 10 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 10 your mother, is that correct? 11 And did Ms. Millen volunteer to you 11 That's correct. Okay. And what are your 12 that she recalled Marian Oshita didn't sign the 12 0 responsibilities as owner? 13 contract? 13 14 Well, a variety of things. Like I 14 Α Yes, she remembered everything about said, I deal a lot with the claimants. I try to 15 15 it. 16 get programming information, go through lots and 16 MR. BOYDSTON: Nothing further, Your 17 lots of paperwork, correspond through email, go 17 Honor. through filings; basically, work jointly with 18 JUDGE BARRETT: Could you just pull 19 Raul. Let's see, accounting information, that microphone a little bit closer to you? 19 20 banking, all over the place. 20 THE WITNESS: There? Uh-hum. Okay? 21 Okay. And you acquired interest in 21 JUDGE BARRETT: That probably will 22 IPG in March of 2005, is that correct? help. Thank you.

21 23 it would also be the case that she had That's correct. And prior to your acquisition of termination letters that she had. She may not have produced some of those, too, correct? interest, you didn't have any involvement whatsoever in IPG? Is that --Oh, certainly. Yes, we have no idea No, I did not. what she has or has not. Okay. And, in fact, in prior Okay. And you have been involved with testimony, I think it was, when I asked you about filing of IPG's claims, correct? how you were able to determine what had gone on in IPG prior to your acquisition, you responded, Yes. Are you have trouble hearing me? and I quote, "Just from what Raul Galaz had told 11 me." Do you remember that? 11 Okay. A little bit. 12 I'm not sure, but if it's testimony --12 13 the deposition that we were in that time in 1.3 0 My voice is a little bit low. I'll California? 14 try to do better. Sorry about that. 0 15 Yes. 15 In fact, you have been signing all of IPG's claim, I think, since about the 2007 16 Okay. Yes. Yes, yes. 16 17 Okav. 17 rovalty year, correct? 18 Yes, that would be, yes, correct. I believe so, yes. 18 So, that is how you acquired most of Okay. And those were all joint 19 19 what you knew, at least upon joining IPG, right? 20 20 claims, correct? Yes. At that time, yes. Α Yes. Okay. Okay. And with the signature on each 22 24 joint claim, you're certifying under the penalty And you didn't interact with claimants of perjury that IPG is the duly-authorized agent before -for each claimant on each joint claim, correct? Before that? No. That's correct. Α 0 -- before that? No? 5 And before you sign each joint claim. Not at all. you make sure that IPG does have authority to 6 And no correspondence, no telephone represent each claimant listed on each joint calls, or any of that sort of thing? claim, correct? Nothing before that time. Okay. So, with respect to claims that 10 Okay. And you have done so since you 11 were filed before you acquired your interest in have been signing IPG's claims since 2007, 11 12 IPG, you had no personal knowledge of the correct? 12 13 veracity of those claims, is that correct? 13 Correct. 14 That's correct. Okay. And you make absolutely certain 14 15 Okay. 15 that IPG has authority to represent each claimant 16 Yes. 16 on each joint claims, right? 17 And you just mentioned Ms. Oshita a 17 А Yės. few minutes ago in connection with Ms. Millen. 18 18 0 Okay. And you stated that Ms. Oshita had not provided 19 19 Yes. you the contract, may not have provided you with JUDGE FEDER: Excuse me, please. 20 20 21 the contract that IPG had with Ms. Millen. 21 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. 22 And to the extent that that is true. 22 JUDGE FEDER: Just to clarify, earlier

25 27 document wasn't entered. had said 2007 claim year and, then, you said JUDGE BARRETT: Well, he hasn't asked 2007. Are you talking about claims filed in 2007 a question yet. He just asked her to look at the for 2006 or a filing made for 2007? exhibit. That's all we're doing right now. MR. OLANIRAN: Fair point. MR. BOYDSTON: Fair enough. Clarifying, Your Honor, I hope she was responding THE WITNESS: Yes, I've found it. to the fact that she filed for IPG for the beginning of the 2007 royalty year. BY MR. OLANIRAN: Will you please take a few seconds BY MR. OLANIRAN: Is that correct? You began filing for just to flip through the document, just to familiarize yourself with it? IPG -Correct, yes, if I understand you. 11 (Witness looks at document.) Do you understand that question? 12 Do you want me to read the whole Yes. Yes, I do. 13 thing? 14 No. I just wanted to make sure. I 15 JUDGE BARRETT: That would be July 15 think we're fine now, right? 16 20082 16 Δ Okav. Now do you recognize the document? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, right. 17 0 18 MR. OLANIRAN: Okav. 18 Α Yes, I had seen it when you guys THE WITNESS: Yes. provided it. 19 19 20 MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 o Okay. So, you've had a chance to read BY MR. OLANIRAN: it? And is it fair to say that in more 28 recent times you have become more careful of your 0 Okay. duties with respect to filing claims because of Briefly. all of the various challenges that have been 0 Would you please tell us what the raised IPG's representation of authority? document is? Α Oh, most certainly. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I object. Okav. This doesn't respond to anything that was in the Yes. direct examination whatsoever. And on top of that, it's not relevant. It is outside the scope And with such extra attention to your filing:, we should not find a claimant on any of and it is not relevant. That is my objection. IPG's joint claims that IPG does not have an JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. 10 11 authority to represent, is that right? MR. BOYDSTON: Well, Your Honor, I 12 Not to my knowledge. 12 mean, it is not -- I ask no questions on this. 13 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, we don't Okay. And would you please turn to Exhibit, premarked it as 307 of the MPAA binder? 14 even know what the line of questioning is yet. 15 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, this is not 15 It's just a document that has been identified. 16 in evidence, and we had objected to it previously 16 Can you let Mr. Olaniran ask a question before 17 because Feed the Children is not involved in this 17 you object? 18 matter and really has no place here. 18 THE WITNESS: It's a Notice of JUDGE BARRETT: It hasn't been offered 19 19 Termination. into evidence, either, Mr. Boydston. BY MR. OLANIRAN: 20 20 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, in the previous And a Notice of Termination --21 21 exhibits we haven't allowed questioning where the 22 Of November 25th, 2014. So, not that 22

29 31 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection. No. 1, it long ago. is beyond the scope. No. 2, it's not relevant to JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry, my 307 is this proceeding. There is no claim for this - yes, it is in the form of a pleading. Are we entity in this proceeding, and I don't see what looking at the same thing? MR. OLANIRAN: Yes, we are. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. All right. Go MR. OLANIRAN: It's impeachment, Your ahead. Honor. MR. BOYDSTON: I don't know who it is BY MR. OLANIRAN: I'm sorry, I don't know if I cut you impeaching. off or not. You said it was a notice? 10 JUDGE BARRETT: Well, it can only be Yes. Yes, I said it's a Notice of 11 impeaching the witness who is on the stand, or 12 Termination dated November 25th, 2014. 12 attempting to do so. 13 And it is a pleading that was filed 13 MR. BOYDSTON: And I don't see how it impeaches anything that Ms. Vernon just said. 14 with the judges, correct? 1.4 JUDGE BARRETT: We don't know that 15 Correct. It looks like it. 15 Okay. And who filed that pleading? yet, do we, because we haven't heard any 16 16 17 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. 17 questions about it? 18 That calls for speculation. She didn't file it, 18 MR. BOYDSTON: Fair enough. For unless he must establish that. impeachment, that doesn't overcome the objection 19 19 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 20 for relevance necessarily. 21 BY MR. OLANIRAN: JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. Can you tell from reviewing the MR. BOYDSTON: I mean, there might be 30 32 1 document who filed the pleading? an offer of proof as to why it's relevant to 2 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. impeach. The document would speak for itself. We don't JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. 4 need her comment. Go ahead. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. THE WITNESS: I'm not sure exactly what page you are talking about. The last page BY MR. OLANIRAN: Do you know if your counsel was served of 307 or -with a copy of that document? BY MR. OLANIRAN: MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. That's fine, Ms. Vernon. Mr. Boydston Again, speculation. How would she know what I stipulated that he was served -have been served with? 11 12 JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. 12 -- with the document. THE WITNESS: No, I don't know. 13 MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I apologize. 14 BY MR. OLANIRAN: 14 I wasn't clear. Is 307 admitted into evidence? 15 Would you please go to the last page, 15 JUDGE BARRETT: Not yet. 16 page 8 of the filed document itself? 16 MR. MacLEAN: I thought that Mr. 17 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'll 17 Olaniran --18 stipulate I was served with this. 18 MR. OLANIRAN: I did move it, move for JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. 19 1.9 admission. MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, I would 20 MR. MacLEAN: -- had moved it into 20 like for admission of Exhibit 307 as a public 21 21 evidence, and that you overruled the objection.

22

record.

22

JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, so I did. So I

35 33 did. 307 is admitted. affidavit. JUDGE BARRETT: For the record, it is MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, just so I entitled, "Declaration". Are we talking about the same document, declaration of Travis Arnold? am clear on the record, I have two objections or I have two bases for both of those objections. MR. OLANIRAN: I'm sorry, it's the One, it is irrelevant because this declaration of Travis Arnold. THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm there. entity is not a party to this action. JUDGE BARRETT: Understood. BY MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. And then, just flip about four MR. BOYDSTON: And two, I don't pages forward to Exhibit 1 to that declaration. remember what two was. But, for that one, I 10 11 think you did the ruling. 11 Are you there? Just a second. Okay. 12 Well, I guess I would put it this way: 1.2 And Exhibit 1 to that declaration is 13 I object because this essentially just like a 13 0 character witness in that they have no connection an email that's dated July 1st, 2014, from a Mary 14 1.4 to this action whatsoever. It would be no Rasenberger. It's to several people. Do you see 15 15 different than if they found IPG's landlord and that? 16 brought the landlord in to say, "IPG is late on 17 its rent." And the email recipient includes 18 Worldwide SG and Mr. Boydston. Do you see that? 19 I mean, it's got no connection to these claims at issue. So, I don't see how it is Yes, I do. relevant. That's my objection. Now who is Mary Rasenberger? Do you JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. 22 know? 36 MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor. She is one of the attorneys for Feed the Children now. I don't know how long she has (Whereupon, the document marked as been on, but she's there with them now. MPAA Exhibit No. 307 for Okay. So, she sent an email to at identification was received in 0 evidence.1 least you and Mr. Boydston, among others, BY MR. OLANIRAN: correct? Now, Ms. Vernon, Feed the Children has Pardon me? She sent this email to you and Mr. been an IPG claimant both years, is that correct? Boydston, among people, correct? Well, it's actually sent to Raul and And just in general -- and tell me if 10 you understand this -- this document seeks to Brian, but it's sent on our website. replace IPG with MPAA for representation in the 12 This is the official email for IPG, is course of this proceeding, is that right? 13 it not? That's my understanding of the 14 Α Yes, it's the email address. 15 15 0 Okay. document. 16 Okay. And I want you to flip a few 16 Α Veg. pages forward until you get to the affidavit by Okay. And would you please read the 17 17 0 Travis Arnold. Do you see that? 18 18 text of that email into the record, please? "Dear Brian and Raul, 19 19 One second. 20 "We are writing on behalf of Feed the MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry, what was the 20 21 21 Children to formally notify you that FTC has reference? 22 MR. OLANIRAN: Travis Arnold's elected to terminate Independent Producers

37 39 I don't know what you're going for. Group's representation of FTC, including under I'm sorry. Did you finish? I'm the mandate agreement signed by Larri Sue Jones on July 16th, 2001, and the extension agreement sorry, I may have cut you off. Okay. 3 Do you think it also directs IPG not signed by her on May 20th, 2002 (collectively, the mandate agreement). Accordingly, we request to file any claims on behalf of Feed the Children 5 that IPG refrain from filing any claim on behalf for 2013 and future years? of FTC for any retransmission royalties for the I'm sorry, for the 2013 royalty year 2013 calendar year or for any future years. "The foregoing is not intended to be and going forward. 10 an acknowledgment or ratification of the validity 10 Possibly. I mean, it's dated July 11 of the mandate agreement or any other agreement 11 1st, 2014. Honestly, well, yes, I mean, you 12 between FTC and IPG, nor is it intended to be a 12 know, she says 2013 or prospectively. 13 full statement of my client's positions, rights, 13 0 I'm not sure -or remedies, all of which FTC expressly 14 14 In her email she savs 2013. 15 reserves." 15 When are the claims for the 2013 royalty year due? Or when were they due to be 16 Okay. Thank you. 16 17 "Best. Marv". Sorry. 17 filed? 18 Okay. Now so, this email does two In 2014, July 2014. 18 Α 19 things. First, it terminates IPG's 19 0 Okav. 20 representation of Feed the Children as of July А Yes. 20 1st, correct? 21 And so --0 22 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. That month. That calls for a legal conclusion as to whether 1 So, you would have filed in July 2014 or not it is. in fact, a termination or not. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. Correct. BY MR. OLANIRAN: -- the claims for the 2013 royalty What is your understanding of what vears? this email does? Correct. Well, it tells me she's attempting to And she's directing you here, is she terminate. not, that you not file for Feed the Children a You don't think she's actually claim for the 2013 royalty year? terminating, if I understand what you are saying, That is correct. 11 Okay. Thank you. 12 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. And IPG did not produce this He is trying to get her to make a legal termination, this email, in discovery, did it? conclusion. She said what she thinks it says, I don't know. 14 15 and I think that is a sufficient answer. 15 Do you know whether, after the email BY MR. OLANIRAN: 16 16 was received, it was produced, even if it was What else, is there anything else you after discovery? 17 17 think she is doing with this email besides Δ No, I don't, but --18 18 attempting to terminate IPG? You don't know if it was produced at 19 19 0 Trying to effect something, putting us all to either SDC or MPAA? 20 20 on notice. I'm not sure. • 21 Α I do not know. 21 Okay. Do you --22 0 Okav. 0

41 43 cable claim 356 and the satellite 357 then. MR. OLANIRAN: Approach, Your Honor? JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay, who was 356? HIDGE BARRETT: You may. MS. PLOVNICK: Cable is 356; satellite BY MR. OLANIRAN: is 357. Cable is 356; satellite is 357, and we Ms. Vernon, I have just provided you with the document premarked -are going to get the clerk stickers on those MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, if I may, we'll stipulate that IPG filed July claims in JUDGE BARRETT: You have the copies? 2014 for the 2013 year on behalf of Feed the [Whereupon, the documents Children. It's not in dispute. were marked as MPAA Exhibit JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Thank you. 10 Nos. 356 and 357 for 11 MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor? 11 identification.l JUDGE BARRETT: Here you are, Ms. 12 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean? 12 13 MR. MacLEAN: I will not agree to that 13 Vernon. These have the clerk's sticker on them. THE WITNESS: Okay. 1.1 stipulation. 14 BY MR. OLANIRAN: 14 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Do you want to 15 inquire further since Mr. Olaniran, his point 16 16 Ms. Vernon, do you have in front of 17 you Exhibit premarked as Exhibit No. 356? seems to have been made? 17 MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, if this is 18 Α Uh-hum. 18 a point that Mr. Olaniran would like to make, And could you please identify that 0 ر 1 19 then I would ask that it be made based on exhibit for the record? 20 20 21 documents in evidence, rather than by 21 Joint Claim for Cable Retransmission stipulation. Royalty Fees, 2013. 44 1 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I object. 1 Okay. And that is true and correct That's silly. Your Honor, I actually -copy of the joint claim you filed on IPG's behalf JUDGE BARRETT: That's not a legal on July 31, 2014 for the 2013 cable royalty year, basis for an objection, unfortunately, Mr. correct? Boydston. Α Correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Just a quick response. Whose handwritten notes are on that 6 0 Your Honor. I would actually prefer to have the document? Those are Raul's, Raul's writing. document omitted into evidence. 8 JUDGE BARRETT: You may offer it, once 9 MR. OLANIRAN: I move to admit Exhibit it's identified and marked. 10 356, IPG's joint claim for cable royalty fees for 10 11 What's the next number? 11 JUDGE BARRETT: The next number, we 12 MR. MacLEAN: No objection, Your 12 may need to ask the clerk. 13 Honors. 1.4 THE CLERK: 356 and 357 then. 14 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection. 1% JUDGE BARRETT: Which do you want to 15 JUDGE BARRETT: 356 is admitted. 16 be which? 16 [Whereupon, the document marked as MPAA Exhibit No. 356 for 17 MR. OLANIRAN: I am just going to go 17 18 back to that --18 identification was received in evidence.l 19 JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, as one, one 19 JUDGE BARRETT: May I ask, Ms. Vernon, 20 number? 20 you said the handwritten notes are Mr. Galaz's MR. OLANIRAN: No, two numbers. 21 21 22 MS. PLOVNICK: So, let's call the 22 notes, but do you mean filling in the blanks is

47 45 retransmission royalties filed by IPG? his handwriting or the handwritten notes that are Yes, it is, right. in boxes in the margins? THE WITNESS: Well, some of it is his And I had asked you about the on some of these other things, because I had handwriting on the cable claim. Whose input in the stations, but definitely the boxes handwriting is on the satellite claim? The same as with the cable. are his. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you. Okay. And Feed the Children is also identified as a joint claimant for IPG on this BY MR. OLANIRAN: And, Ms. Vernon, let's -document also, correct? On line 16. But the signature is mine. Oh, I'm sorry. 11 All right. 12 MR. OLANIRAN: Move to admit Exhibit I'm sorry. JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. 13 357, Your Honor. BY MR. OLANIRAN: 14 MR. MacLEAN: No objection. Ms. Vernon, just stay with Exhibit 356 15 15 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection. for a second. There is an attachment to the 16 JUDGE BARRETT: 357 is admitted. claims form itself. 17 17 MR. OLANIRAN: Okav. The exhibit? 18 18 [Whereupon, the document marked as MPAA Exhibit No. 357 for Yes, the exhibit. And that would be 19 19 the list of claimants that IPG purports to be 20 identification was received in 20 21 duly authorized to represent, is that right? 21 evidence.l That's correct. BY MR. OLANIRAN: 48 And if you go to item No. 60 on that, Now, Ms. Vernon, you spoke both and that would be Feed the Children that IPG has yesterday and I think a little bit today, one of on its list of joint claimants? your main responsibilities is dealing with these confirmations of engagement, correct? Correct. Okay. Say it again? I'm sorry. JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Olaniran, I think I said one of your responsibilities we already had that -with respect to preparing fore this proceeding is MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. dealing with the confirmations of engagement JUDGE BARRETT: -- by stipulation. forms that you sent to claimants, correct? Ð 9 So, if you just want to get the documents You mean the acknowledgment forms? 10 10 11 admitted, we can just do it that way. 11 The acknowledgment --12 MR. OLANIRAN: Good. Good. 12 13 BY MR. OLANIRAN: 13 Some of them, I believe, were titled 14 Let's go through it. You have in 14 "Confirmations," or are they the same, but that front of you Exhibit, premarked as Exhibit 357. 15 15 is what I'm referring to. Right. Could you please identify the exhibit 17 Is it okay, do you prefer for me to for the record? 18 call them "acknowledgments" or --19 Joint Claim for Satellite 19 That's what I call them. Okay. And these acknowledgments ask 20 Retransmission Royalty Fees, 2013. 20 21 And is that a true and correct copy of 21 the email recipient to confirm IPG's 22 the satellite, the joint claim for satellite 22 representation of the recipient, is that right?

49

- Correct
- For all of the relevant years, in
- some cases one particular time period and in
- others --

12

- Α Sometimes it's one year: sometimes
- it's ten. ves.
- And you sent all of the email requests 0
- and accompanying confirmation forms to the
- claimants, correct?
- Well, they get sent out, they get
- emailed out. It's a mass email.
 - It's a mass email?
- 13 Most of the time, yes.
- 14 How exactly did that work? I get that
- you authored the email, and then, you have data 14
- 16 person, so they are merged?
- 17 Yes. As I was saying the other day or
- yesterday, I guess, our programmer, Kyle, is able 16
- to merge the clients' information, email 19
- addresses, and things like that, you know, and 20
- 21 then, merge it with whatever text we want him to
- send. And then, he sends them all out.

- things are more important than others. I mean.
 - some we had so much correspondence and so much
 - backup. And like I was saying yesterday, you
 - know, and the mandate agreements and all of that.
 - But some, you know, we had the extension
 - agreement, but not the mandate agreement. You
 - know, there were bits and pieces that were
 - missing, even though we did have correspondence.
 - And we felt, well, it wouldn't hurt; it would be
 - better if we had this additional acknowledgment
 - 11 as well.
 - 12 Q So, whether or not you felt
 - 13 acknowledgments were necessary to be sent to a
 - 14 recipient depended on the number of documents
 - 15 that you had for that recipient?
 - 16 Well, no, not necessarily. It just
 - 17 meant that some may have taken a little bit more
 - priority. You know, it was more of a judgment 18
 - call than anything else. 19
 - And, too, it had to do with time and 20
 - 21 necessity. I mean, there's kind of a short
 - window of time for getting all of the -- by the

50

- 1 And so, basically, you author the text
 - and he does his magic and sends it out to your
- intended recipients, correct?
- 4 Α Right. Yes. And it goes out from our
- email address.
- 0 Okay. And you stated yesterday that this was really about a belt-and-suspenders
- approach because you didn't really believe that
- you needed to send out the confirmation. Is that
- a fair characterization of your --10
- 11 Oh, well, with some, yes; with some,
- no. We felt, well, we really had all that we 13
- needed. You know, some we thought, well, you 14 know, it would probably be a good idea to have
- more firm confirmation. 14
- 16 I'm not sure I'm clear on what you're
- 17 saying. You're saying, for some claimants, it
- 1× was a belt-and-suspenders approach, and then, for
- 19 other claimants or for other intended recipients,
- 20 you may have needed that confirmation? Is that
- 21 right?

12

22 Well, not really. It's more of some

- time we get the data of the program list and
- trying to get all of the programs identified on
- the program list from all of the different
- claimants, it takes a lot of time. And there is
- a pretty short window to try to contact them all
- 6 and do that in all that period of time.
- So, some of them, you know, even
- though the acknowledgment letters had gone out to
- them along with the Excel spreadsheets, you know.
- there were some that it got to a point where I
- don't even care about the acknowledgment letters
- because they weren't that important, anyway.
- Like I said, it was more of a belt-and-suspenders
- 15 You know, my main focus was make sure
- 16 and get their programs identified. Yes, so a lot
- 17 of them, I just, you know, if they sent the
- 18 programs, but they didn't send the
- 19 acknowledgment, that was fine. I mean, they were
- 20 clearly cooperating.
- 21 I understand, and I was merely trying
- 22 to determine what factors you considered before

55 53 Your declaration? deciding whether to send it to a particular I'm sorry? recipient, a belt-and-suspenders approach versus Your declaration? sending to a recipient that you felt you needed to. And I was trying to figure out, I was trying to get you to articulate the distinguishing And there are sub-exhibits to that factor between those that you considered necessary to send to versus those that you declaration. So, if you can flip to tab 26 considered discretionary to send the emails to. R within 308? Yes. There wasn't any kind of set (Pause.) Are you there? identifying factor with that. You know, pretty 10 10 Just about. Sorry. There's a lot 11 much, just like all the other mass emails, 11 here. Okav. All right. 12 everything got sent. Some of them got them; some 12 13 of them did not, you know, because it's the AOL 1.3 Ω And that is in tab 26 of Exhibit 308, account or their emails have changed or the 14 is your declaration, is the declaration of Denise Vernon in response to order of July 30, 2014. Do contact person is no longer at the company. So, 15 you see that? I would have to get on the phone and call them 16 16 17 17 and get all the new contact information. And And you go to paragraph 2 of that that is one of the things that just takes so much 18 18 exhibit, I mean of the first page. Do you see 19 time. 19 20 20 that last sentence? Do you recall -- you provided a Paragraph 2, the last sentence of declaration that was, I think it has been admitted into evidence probably a couple of times paragraph 2 on the second page? 54 56 already -- but do you remember doing a The last sentence on the first page. declaration with regard to this acknowledgment? I'm sorry. Well, we have a declaration, but I 3 The first page? didn't think it got admitted. "Although IPG does not believe that 4 5. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, just to such documents were necessary, their existence help, we did attach a declaration as an exhibit contradicts any assertion that" -- and then, it G here, but I didn't offer it even further because goes on to the next page -- "that IPG was not she is here, and I understand the Court's view on granted authority to represent the signatory that. But I don't know that that is what Mr. claims for the calendar years indicated on such documents." Olaniran is referring to or not. 10 11 JUDGE BARRETT: I think he just asked Okay. So, the distinction that we just talked about was not really made in that 12 a yes-or-no question: did you sign a 13 declaration, is not made in this declaration, is MR. BOYDSTON: I'm just curious what 14 it, about confirmations that you considered 16 he is referring to; that's all. 15 necessary versus the ones that you considered 16 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. 16 discretionary? 17 BY MR. OLANIRAN: 17 Can you --MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. 18 Ms. Vernon, could you please take a 18 look at Exhibit 308 --19 The document speaks for itself. 19 20 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 20 А Okav. MR. OLANIRAN: That's fine. -- in one of the orange cover binders? 21 21 0 And then, look --MR. BOYDSTON: And also, Your Honor, 22

57

```
I think the question misstated the testimony
     today. The testimony today, Ms. Vernon said in
     some detail it was belt and suspenders. She
     didn't necessarily say "necessary," for what it's
     worth.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.
                 BY MR. OLANIRAN:
                 Now, Ms. Vernon, you provided MPAA
     with a list of the persons and entities to whom
     the confirmation of engagement emails were sent,
11
     do you recall?
12
           Α
                 Yes. Well, yes, we provided
13
     everything we have.
14
                 And so, the lists you would have
1.5
     provided were to us, were they lists of all of
     the intended recipients of the acknowledgments?
16
17
                 Pardon me? All of what?
                 The emails, the document that you
18
           0
19
    provided to us, to MPAA, identified all of the
20
     intended recipients of the acknowledgment?
```

Yes, I believe so.

only IPG claimants?

Okay. And were the emails to sent to

know, if it went someplace, to some email that wasn't an IPG claimant, then, more than likely I probably haven't had contact with them and I'm not going to be concerned if I don't hear from somebody I don't know something went to. So, are you saying that you made particular efforts to eliminate from the list of intended recipients those that were not IPG claimants or are you saying that you did not? More than likely, I probably wasn't 10 11 all that concerned with it. You know, my main concern certainly would be to make sure I'm 12 13 contacting all of the IPG claimants. Yes, I don't 14 want to leave those people out, you know. If some that aren't got thrown in there, you know, 15 that's possible. 16 17 0 Now, how did you treat the recipients that did not respond to your email asking for 18 acknowledgment of IPG's representation? 19 20 As I had stated, that's when, you know, when we didn't get a response, that is when

58

Well, they were intended to go to only IPG claimants, but, you know, there's some that Oh, just kind of a one-by-one thing. I mean, if they are an IPG claimant and we've had contact with them, then if I don't get anything

I would get on and see, okay, did the email get 60 sent back because it is not a good email address or did they just not respond, or what? So, I would have to go in further and see what the case Let's say you do that -- oh. I'm Sorry. You know, and at that point, then I would try to remedy whatever the case was, you know, call them, write them, see if I have another contact name or number in my notes, and send an email to the next person to see if the other person is still there or not, yes. Now let's say you do all that. So, you now have 80 emails that you sent out, and you've gone through that process, and you still don't have a response from about 80 of them. What do you do with that non-responding email recipient? Do you include that recipient as part of your IPG-represented claimants or do you just lift them out altogether? Well, it just depends on who it is.

we don't represent anymore that certainly could have been on our programmer's list that they had inadvertently been sent to, just like the thing that I had mentioned a little while ago where we erringly sent another Excel spreadsheet to some of the clients and said, "You have not responded." And they all called back, "What are you talking about? We filled out this 11 12 spreadsheet." You know, I mean, stuff just gets 13 done like that, you know. 14 Now how did you determine, what 15 efforts -- strike that. 16 What efforts did you make, if any, to . 17 distinguish, to determine whether a recipient in 18 the database was an IPG claimant?

from them, then I know to contact them. You

20

21

19

20

```
61
    You know, if it is someone that we have been
    doing business with and still have a contract
    with, you know, and we know what all of their
    programs are -- for instance, there are some that
    I really didn't need to get anything new from
    them because I had all of their information.
    They had in 2011 given me their full catalog from
    when they started up until 2011. So, clearly, I
    didn't need to get any new information from them
    for the point during 1999 to 2009 because I had
11
    all of their programs.
                                                            12
12
          Q
                 So, if I understand your testimony
                                                            13
    correctly --
                And if we already had an agreement
                                                            14
     with them as well, then I've got their program
                                                            15
```

list. I've got the agreement. So, I didn't need

your testimony correctly, you sent out the email,

the first email. You didn't get a response.

Perhaps you send out two or three additional

emails. No response. You attempt phone calls.

Okay. Okay. Then, if I understand

anything new from them.

she can answer the question.

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I was just
objecting to the form of the question; that's
all.

JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled.
But, if you want to try again, I'm
sure none of us remember the question, Mr.
Olaniran. I think you set forth process. Maybe
the better way to do it would be to ask the
witness to set forth the process.

BY MR. OLANIRAN:

Then, let me, another attempt at the question. So, from my understanding of your testimony, you send out the first acknowledgment. You don't get a response. You send perhaps two or three additional emails. No response. And 16 17 then, you attempt phone calls. No response. But you have documents or you believe 18 19 you have documents, or maybe you don't have any documents. And what do you do with that claimant 20 that has not responded, given those set of 21 circumstances?

62

64

```
No response.
                 But, based on the records that you
    have with you, you go ahead, without even having
    spoken to or have a two-way communication with
    the email recipient, you go ahead and include
    that claim, that entity, as an IPG claimant? Is
    that correct?
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I object,
    just misrepresenting her testimony, only to the
9
    extent that what she said is sometimes she might
    not have had a contact in this immediate moment,
11
    but that didn't bother her because she said she
12
    would have contact, if she had contact before
13
    that time, then she didn't feel it necessary to
14
19
    have contact right immediately at the time --
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, I
16
    appreciate that you think that you need to coach
17
     this witness, but please don't.
19
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I
20
    apologize. I was not attempting that whatsoever.
                JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Overruled. Ms.
21
```

Vernon can answer the question the way she thinks

```
MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I
     apologize, but that's compound, because he said
     maybe you might have documents about the person;
     maybe you wouldn't.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.
                 BY MR. OLANIRAN:
                 What if you go through the process and
     you don't have a document with IPG evidencing a
     representation of that claimant? What do you do?
     Do you -- I'm sorry. Go ahead.
1.0
                 I don't know. They're all so
12
     different.
                 No. I'm asking --
                 I can't give you a blanket answer for
                 No, I'm asking you a very specific --
16
17
                 -- specific claimant, you know, but
     there are some that, if we hadn't heard from
18
     them, we didn't have any contact with them, or
19
20
     anything else, for years --
                 I'm not asking about those things.
21
                 Well, that's what I'm trying to
22
```

22

17

18

19

20

67 65 So far, so good? explain. А Okay. Q But I'm asking a very specific Okay. And you rely on a document that situation, very specific. does not contemplate the termination, correct? Α Okav. Right. You've gone through the process. You Ω Is it possible that you can list a have no contact. They're not responding to you, and you have no document within IPG that suggests recipient like that as an IPG claimant? MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I have to representation. Do you still include that claimant, that entity as an IPG claimant? Yes or object to the hypothetical, for the reason that 10 what was left out is whether or not there is a 11 Well, I guess I would have to say no 11 post-termination right and contract or not, which is all important in that circumstance. 12 because we don't include them if we have had no 12 JUDGE BARRETT: But, again, Mr. 13 documents. I mean, they're included because we 13 Boydston, the question is posed to the witness, 14 have documents, you know, correspondence, that 14 not to counsel 15 sort of thing. 15 16 MR. BOYDSTON: I understand, but --So, I mean, if there has been no 16 17 correspondence ever, then they wouldn't be an IPG 17 JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. MR. BOYDSTON: -- it is an incomplete 18 claimant to begin with. 18 19 What if there were an IPG claimant hypothetical in that regard. 19 20 that terminated IPG before you ever got involved 20 JUDGE BARRETT: Fine. Then, you can 21 21 make that legal objection, but do not fill in the with IPG, but they remained in the database anyway? How do you know the intention of a blank. 66 68 1 recipient such as that? MR. BOYDSTON: That's what I made. 2 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I object. JUDGE BARRETT: So, the incomplete I think the question was, how do you know the hypothetical is sustained. intention of a recipient such as that, which I Ask the question again, please, Mr. think calls for speculation. Olaniran. MR. OLANIRAN: Let me rephrase the BY MR. OLANIRAN: question. Well, you testified earlier that there BY MR. OLANIRAN: may be in your database claimants that really If a recipient fired IPG, let's say in don't belong there that are not IPG claimants. 2003 -- so far, so good? 10 right? There are entities within your database 11 that are not IPG claimants, correct? Α Yes. Okay. And they remained a part of MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I think 13 your database because, for some reason, that that misstates the testimony. I think she said database was not -that it is possible that emails may have gone to 15 Updated. non-IPG claimants. That is different than saying 15 16 -- updated --16 that they're in the database, I think. 17 17 THE WITNESS: That's what I said. The 18 -- to delete that recipient. 18 emails may have --1.9 19 JUDGE BARRETT: I think. 20 And you go through the acknowledgment 20 unfortunately, we have used database and email 21 process and you send them a batch of emails and listserv interchangeably up to this point. So, 21 22 22 followups. And the recipient does not respond. we are going to need even more clarification.

71 69 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor, BY MR. OLANIRAN: Asked and answered. She started her answer with How do you determine the intention "yes". I believe she answered "yes". whether or not an email respondent that you had BY MR. OLANIRAN: no communications with during the acknowledgment Ms. Vernon -process actually intended to be represented by JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. IPG, even though they didn't provide a response BY MR. OLANIRAN: to you, in light of all of the attempts you made Ms. Vernon, your name appeared on most to contact them? of the mass emails to IPG claimants, is that MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry. Your Honor. I just didn't understand that question. right? 10 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, I don't, 11 11 And during your testimony yesterday, 12 either. Can you say that again or --BY MR. OLANIRAN: you provided templates of different types of emails that you sent to the claimant, right? 14 If you have an email, if you have in 15 your database, if you sent an email to a 15 Uh-hum. And now, some of the emails that you recipient and you went through the acknowledgment 16 process, two or three emails, telephone calls, 17 sent solicited information from the claimant with 18 letters, whatever. regard to titles? 19 Uh-hum. 19 Program titles. And as we just discussed, some of them 20 You don't get a response from that 20 0 21 entity at all. Are there circumstances in which 21 had to do with preferring IPG's representation, you go ahead and include that entity as an IPG correct? 70 72 claimant? Yes. It's just like I have said a And you used other emails to provide moment ago. If I have had past correspondence status updates for the different proceedings that with them, then, yes, that's not unusual. I've IPG is engaged in within this retransmission got a lot of them. I finally get a hold of them, royalty scheme, right? and I ask them, "Well, why haven't you contacted Right. me back?" Like, "Well, we gave you that And sometimes you informed them about information two years ago." deadlines and procedural issues, right? I'm not asking you about feedback, Ms. 10 Vernon. 10 0 And would you agree that in 11 But there are just circumstances like 11 communicating with claimants you have to be truthful? 12 that. 12 13 0 Ms. Vernon. I am not asking about who 13 А Yes. 0 Okay. And that if you're not truthful you got a hold of. 14 14 and honest in your accounts to your claimants, 19 Α Okav. 15 My question is directed to the people you will be doing them a disservice, right? 16 16 you did not get a hold of. You have a situation 17 17 18 where you had no communications during the And in all of your communications that you sent to IPG's claimants you were completely 19 confirmation process with the claimant, and you went ahead and included the claimant as an IPGtruthful, is that right? represented claimant -- I'm sorry, the recipient 21 21 22 of an email as an IPG claimant. 22 Q So, if one were to look at all of

		73		75
1	those comm	unications, your email updates or	1	A Right. Right.
2		the claimants, one should not find	2	Q Now, just above that, the background
,	misleading	information in those summaries, right,	3	section, in caps and bold it says, this text and
.,	in those a	ccounts, correct?	4	caps and bold says, "Please note that the failure
ъ,	А	I believe so, yes.	5	to execute the attached form acknowledgment
٥	Q	Let's look again at, let's go back to	6	could subject your company's claim to
7	Exhibit 30	8.	7	forfeiture."
н	А	Okay.	8	Do you see that?
و ا	o	I think we looked at 308 just a second	9	A Yes.
10	ago.	•	10	Q What did you mean by that?
11	A	Yes.	11	A Well, basically, just what it says.
12	Q	And let's look at tab 27 this time.	12	I mean, if there's not a claim, then it means
13	A	All right.	13	they're forfeiting their rights for whatever
1.1	0	Do you see it?	14	particular year.
15.	A	Yes.	15	Q But it's not true, though, is it, if
16	 Q	And is this an example of one of your	16	the email recipient is not an IPG-represented
17		hat you provided to IPG-represented	17	claimant?
18	claimants?		18	A Pardon me?
19	A	Yes.	19	Q This statement is not true if the
20	0	Okay. And this is a report that	20	person or entity that received this email is not
21	-	be after the evidentiary hearing in	21	represented by IPG, is it?
22		roceeding, correct, but before the time	22	A Well, if they're not represented by
**	tue ooos b	roceeding, correct, but before the time		A Well, if they to not represented by
		74		· 76
1	of the ter	74 mination, if you can tell?	1	. 76
1 2	of the ter		1 2	
		mination, if you can tell?		IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send
2	A	mination, if you can tell?	2	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we
2	A in	mination, if you can tell? Yes, this would have been sent out	2	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it
2 4	A in Q	mination, if you can tell? Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct	2 3 4	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true.
3 4 6	in ··· Q	Wes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March.	2 3 4 5	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an
2 3 9 6	in ··· Q A Q	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year?	2 3 4 5	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television?
2 1 1 5 6 7	in ··· Q A Q A	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year?	2 3 4 5 6	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television? A No, I don't.
2 3 5 6 7 8	A in Q A Q A Q	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year? 2014. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television? A No, I don't. Q Okay. Now let's go down, still in tab
2 4 5 6 7 8	A in Q A Q A Q	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year? 2014. Okay. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just want ut this is the same as Exhibit 109 that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television? A No, I don't. Q Okay. Now let's go down, still in tab 27. Under the background section, let's look at
2 3 5 7 8 9 10	in ··· Q A Q A Q to point o	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year? 2014. Okay. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just want ut this is the same as Exhibit 109 that ence.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television? A No, I don't. Q Okay. Now let's go down, still in tab 27. Under the background section, let's look at the third paragraph.
2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	in ··· Q A Q A Q to point o	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year? 2014. Okay. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just want ut this is the same as Exhibit 109 that ence. JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television? A No, I don't. Q Okay. Now let's go down, still in tab 27. Under the background section, let's look at the third paragraph. A Under background? Q Yes.
2 3 5 7 8 9 10	in ··· Q A Q A Q to point o	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year? 2014. Okay. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just want ut this is the same as Exhibit 109 that ence. JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television? A No, I don't. Q Okay. Now let's go down, still in tab 27. Under the background section, let's look at the third paragraph. A Under background? Q Yes.
2 4 4 5 6 7 8 10 11 12 13	in ··· Q A Q A Q to point o	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year? 2014. Okay. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just want ut this is the same as Exhibit 109 that ence. JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television? A No, I don't. Q Okay. Now let's go down, still in tab 27. Under the background section, let's look at the third paragraph. A Under background? Q Yes. A Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 10 11 12 13 14	in ··· Q A Q A Q to point o is in evid	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year? 2014. Okay. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just want ut this is the same as Exhibit 109 that ence. JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television? A No, I don't. Q Okay. Now let's go down, still in tab 27. Under the background section, let's look at the third paragraph. A Under background? Q Yes. A Okay. Q And in the first sentence, you
2 4 5 5 6 7 8 2 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	in Q A Q A Q to point of is in evid	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year? 2014. Okay. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just want ut this is the same as Exhibit 109 that ence. JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. OLANIRAN: And if you look at the background	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television? A No, I don't. Q Okay. Now let's go down, still in tab 27. Under the background section, let's look at the third paragraph. A Under background? Q Yes. A Okay. Q And in the first sentence, you characterize the hearing as coming to counter-
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	in Q A Q A Q to point o is in evid	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year? 2014. Okay. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just want ut this is the same as Exhibit 109 that ence. JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. OLANIRAN: And if you look at the background ich says, "As noted in our recent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television? A No, I don't. Q Okay. Now let's go down, still in tab 27. Under the background section, let's look at the third paragraph. A Under background? Q Yes. A Okay. Q And in the first sentence, you characterize the hearing as coming to counter-intuitive, inexplicable rulings. And later in
2 4 5 6 7 8 5 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	in Q A Q A Q to point o is in evid	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year? 2014. Okay. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just want this is the same as Exhibit 109 that ence. JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. OLANIRAN: And if you look at the background ich says, "As noted in our recent ence to you on June 13, IPG appeared in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television? A No, I don't. Q Okay. Now let's go down, still in tab 27. Under the background section, let's look at the third paragraph. A Under background? Q Yes. A Okay. Q And in the first sentence, you characterize the hearing as coming to counterintuitive, inexplicable rulings. And later in that paragraph, you assert that claims were
2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 2 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	in Q A Q A Q to point o is in evid Q section wh correspond Washington	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year? 2014. Okay. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just want ut this is the same as Exhibit 109 that ence. JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. OLANIRAN: And if you look at the background ich says, "As noted in our recent	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television? A No, I don't. Q Okay. Now let's go down, still in tab 27. Under the background section, let's look at the third paragraph. A Under background? Q Yes. A Okay. Q And in the first sentence, you characterize the hearing as coming to counterintuitive, inexplicable rulings. And later in that paragraph, you assert that claims were randomly dismissed. Do you see that? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	in Q A Q A Q to point o is in evid	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year? 2014. Okay. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just want ut this is the same as Exhibit 109 that ence. JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. OLANIRAN: And if you look at the background ich says, "As noted in our recent ence to you on June 13, IPG appeared in , D.C. for a five-day evidentiary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television? A No, I don't. Q Okay. Now let's go down, still in tab 27. Under the background section, let's look at the third paragraph. A Under background? Q Yes. A Okay. Q And in the first sentence, you characterize the hearing as coming to counterintuitive, inexplicable rulings. And later in that paragraph, you assert that claims were randomly dismissed. Do you see that? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 2 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q A Q to point o is in evid washington hearing."	Yes, this would have been sent out I'm going to direct probably around March. I'm sorry. March of what year? 2014. Okay. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just want this is the same as Exhibit 109 that ence. JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. THE WITNESS: Thank you. BY MR. OLANIRAN: And if you look at the background ich says, "As noted in our recent ence to you on June 13, IPG appeared in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	IPG, then, for one, we probably wouldn't send them the email to begin with. You know, if we did, it was an error. And, no, of course it would not be true. Q Do you know whether that you sent an email to A&E Television? A No, I don't. Q Okay. Now let's go down, still in tab 27. Under the background section, let's look at the third paragraph. A Under background? Q Yes. A Okay. Q And in the first sentence, you characterize the hearing as coming to counterintuitive, inexplicable rulings. And later in that paragraph, you assert that claims were randomly dismissed. Do you see that? A Yes. Q And do you consider this a truthful

```
77
                                                                                                                    79
                                                                               JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated.
     everybody is ever 100-percent pleased by any
                                                                               I apologize, I'm breaking the rule by
     ruling, you know, that they get. But, yes, there
                                                                   bringing my coffee.
     were some instances where I felt that we had
                                                                               Mr. Olaniran?
     ample and adequate evidence and documents showing
                                                                               MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
     that these claimants were IPG claimants and had
                                                                               BY MR. OLANIRAN:
     been for years and years and years and years.
                                                                               Ms. Vernon, before the break, we had
     And some of those got dismissed.
                                                                   talked about the extent to which your accounts to
                 I mean, well, Maureen Millen, you
     know, was an example. And so, there were plenty
                                                                   your claimants were completely truthful. And
                                                                   right after that, I handed you an exhibit
     of instances where I had communicated fully with
10
     these people, and they had been cooperating with
                                                                   premarked as 358. Do you recognize that
11
                                                                   document?
12
     me during this entire time and cooperated with
     Raul long ago, that had gotten dismissed. So, I
                                                              13
                                                                         Δ
     felt, yes, there were instances like that.
                                                              14
                                                                         0
                                                                               And please describe the document.
                 So, let me ask you a little bit
                                                              15
                                                                               It's an email regarding the
                                                                   proceedings for the June 2013.
16
     differently. Do you think this is a completely
                                                              16
17
     truthful account of that proceeding?
                                                              17
                                                                               Okay. And was this email prepared by
18
                 Completely truthful. Subjective
                                                              18
                                                                   you?
                                                                               Actually, Raul and I.
19
     maybe, but truthful.
                                                              19
                                                                               Okay. And it went out under your
20
           0
                 Okav.
                                                              20
21
                 MR. OLANIRAN: Approach, Your Honor?
                                                              21
                                                                   name, correct?
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.
                                                                               Pardon me?
                MS. PLOVNICK: I'm sorry. This isn't
                                                                               It went out under your name?
                                                                               Yes.
                MR. OLANIRAN: Oh.
                                                                               Okay.
                                                                               MR. OLANIRAN: Move to admit Exhibit
                MS. PLOVNICK: It's been marked.
                MR. OLANIRAN: It's just a whole bunch
                                                                   358, Your Honor.
                                                                               MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I object on
     of stuff. I'm sorry.
                MS. PLOVNICK: It's been marked. So,
                                                                   several grounds.
                                                                               One is relevance. This is an email by
    I mean, do you want me to --
                                                                   IPG to its claimants describing IPG's opinion as
                MR. OLANIRAN: Let me ask a question.
                                                                   to the outcome of the 2000-2003 hearing. It has
10
                MS. PLOVNICK: Okay.
                                                                   nothing to do with anything that was talked about
11
                     [Whereupon, the document was
                    marked MPAA Exhibit No. 358 for
                                                                   in direct, and therefore, is outside of the
                                                              12
12
13
                    identification.]
                                                              13
                                                                   scope.
                                                                               In addition, it's irrelevant in the
                JUDGE BARRETT: This is a good place
                                                              14
14
                                                                   sense that this is not offered or is not a
15
     to take our morning recess?
                                                                   statement of truth or falsity. It's an opinion.
16
                MR. OLANIRAN: Sure.
                                                                   And to the extent that there is a legitimate
                JUDGE BARRETT: Let's do that, a 15-
                                                              17
17
                                                              18
                                                                   desire to impeach a witness, it should go to
                                                                   something that the witness has testified about.
19
                MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                              19
                (Whereupon, the foregoing matter went
                                                                              And simply saying, "Well, we just want
20
                                                              20
                                                                   to know if you're telling a lie or have ever told
     off the record at 10:30 a.m. and went back on the
21
                                                              21
                                                                   a lie in your life," I don't think that's proper.
    record at 10:48 a.m.)
22
```

```
81
                                                                                                                      83
                                                                    believe, about her truthfulness?
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Mr.
                                                                                MR. OLANIRAN: Yes. Your Honor.
     Boydston.
                                                                                JUDGE BARRETT: And is there a
                 Any objection, Mr. MacLean?
                                                                    statement of fact in this email that would
                 MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, may I voir
                                                                    impeach her truthfulness?
     dire?
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.
                                                                                MR. OLANIRAN: Yes. Yes.
         VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
                                                                                MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I would
                                                                    like to know what he is referring to because this
                 BY MR. MacLEAN:
                 Ms. Vernon, I see at the top of this
                                                                    is a recitation of --
     page in the "From" and "To" lines, this is from
                                                               10
                                                                                JUDGE BARRETT: I understand. You
     worldwidesg@aol.com. That is an email address
                                                               11
                                                                    don't have to give me a narrative, Mr. Boydston.
                                                                                I am going to allow it provisionally,
     that you use?
                                                               12
13
           Α
                                                               1.3
                                                                    but I have to find a fact statement in here, and
                                                                    you have to point that out to me. Mr. Olaniran.
                 Since January 23rd, 2014, did you send
                                                               14
                                                                    or it will be withdrawn.
     this email on January 23rd, 2014?
                                                               15
                                                                                    (Whereupon, the document marked as
10
                 Apparently.
                                                               16
                                                                                    MPAA Exhibit No. 358 for
17
                 It is sent to Jean McBride, Paramount.
                                                               17
     Did you send this email to Jean McBride at
                                                                                    identification was provisionally
18
                                                               18
                                                                                    received in evidence.]
19
     Paramount?
                                                               19
                                                                     CONTINUED CROSS-EXAMINATION
                 I'm quessing that was the case. If it
                                                               20
20
           Α
     was sent to our claimants, then it was another
                                                               21
                                                                                BY MR. OLANIRAN:
21
     mass email.
                                                                               Ms. Vernon, if you look at the third
                  That's at Paramount Pictures?
                                                                    paragraph of Exhibit 358 and you go to the second
                  I'm not really sure. I've never
                                                                    line -- are you there?
     spoken directly with a Jean McBride. So, as I
                                                                               Yes.
     said, it was one of those mass emails that I'm
                                                                               You characterized the proceedings as
     not sure exactly who this particular one went to
                                                                    "pre-ordained, irrespective of what evidence was
     because I'm sure it went to hundreds.
                                                                    presented at trial". Now what was your basis for
                 The first line starts, "As the
                                                                    stating that the proceeding was pre-ordained?
     designated representative of your company, ... "?
                                                                               MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, objection.
                  That is what the first line says.
                                                                    That is clearly a subjective expression of
                  MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, this is
                                                                    opinion, not fact.
                                                                                JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled.
     going nowhere. I mean, we have already said this
                                                               11
     is -- there is no dispute this has been written
                                                                               THE WITNESS: Well, as I said, this
                                                               12
13
     by the witness.
                                                                    was something that Raul and I prepared. And I
                                                               13
14
                  MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I have no
                                                                    can tell you at the time, then, even though on
15
     objection to this exhibit.
                                                                    the back page where it says, "While IPG
16
                  JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Thank you.
                                                               16
                                                                   recognizes that this update might appear as sour
17
                 And, Mr. Olaniran, you're offering
                                                                    grapes," I can tell you there was a feeling a lot
                                                               17
18
     this for what purpose?
                                                              18
                                                                    of sour grapes at that time and it was very
                 MR. OLANIRAN: Impeachment purposes.
19
                                                              19
     I had asked the witness about the accounts that
20
                                                                               And I had told him that I thought this
                                                              20
21
     she makes to --
                                                                    might be a little -- some of his statements and
                                                              21
                  JUDGE BARRETT: You were asking, I
                                                              22
                                                                    some of the sentences in there, you know, were
```

87 85 "extraordinarily tainted and how these figures going a little too far, but, you know -were adopted by the CRB defies reason". And you BY MR. OLANIRAN: are referring to the numbers that you talk about, So, you have no basis -the allocation numbers you talk about on the This was the feeling at the time. It previous page. was subjective. What is your factual bases or basis You had no basis for stating that the 7 for that characterization? proceeding were "pre-ordained, irrespective of MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, the same what evidence was presented at trial"? 9 objection. Well, like I said, it was just an opinion, you know. I mean, there were --10 HIDGE BARRETT: Noted. 10 THE WITNESS: Well, clearly, as we Whose opinion? 11 11 0 said in the previous paragraph, it just seemed 12 There was a thing -- well, everyone extraordinarily skewed as compared to the got different opinions, you know, but there was 13 percentage of the 1997 royalties. just a feeling, at least with myself, and I know 14 Raul felt that way, too, that a lot of baggage BY MR. OLANIRAN: 15 was brought in to the trial, despite everything Okay. 16 that had been presented at trial. 17 And I think we described that pretty Let me ask you about the next line. clearly in the previous paragraph. This also stated that "The CRB issued an opinion 19 So, in this account, though, you don't mention at all in this account that the judges 20 that disregarded each and every argument made by 20 IPG, no matter how obvious." 21 found that Mr. Galaz's criminal history compromised his credibility? Did you mention 22 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, for the 88 86 that in here at all, in this email? record, the same objection. I think this is an Oh, in this email, no. expression of subjective opinion, not fact. Therefore, I don't think it is appropriate for Okav. But our claimants are familiar -impeachment. That's fine. Let me finish. JUDGE BARRETT: Noted. BY MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. What is your basis for that statement? Let me finish. And you don't mention any of the Well, the ruling. I mean, it felt like so many -- oh, like each and every argument judges' findings about the methodological problems that they had with IPG's presentation, we had made, you know, was disregarded. . 10 11 Okay. So, that was just a feeling? 11 do vou? MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, object, as You don't have a factual bases for that 12 12 13 statement, right? 13 being argumentative. JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. Well, you know, we can get down and 14 14 THE WITNESS: No, we didn't get --15 count exactly every single argument IPG made. 15 Are you trained as a lawyer? BY MR. OLANIRAN: 0 16 Okay. And --17 No. -- into the specifics of everything 18 Okay. Let's move on to the next one. that was done at trial. I mean, we certainly If you flip over to paragraph one, two, three, four, paragraph five, which is the first weren't going to, nor I doubt if they would 20 paragraph on the following page, the very first 21 understand if we started getting into 21 sentence, you describe the CRB's decision as methodology.

91 89 Okay. And you don't actually mention

I am Matthew MacLean. I represent the also that IPG could not substantiate some of its

representations, it representation of some of the Settling Devotional Claimants.

claims? Do you mention that in here?

MR. BOYDSTON: Objection. Your Honor.

Argumentative and the document speaks for itself.

JUDGE BARRETT: The latter objection

is sustained.

BY MR. OLANIRAN:

Do you mention at all in this email 10

that the judges found that IPG could not

substantiate its representation of some of the

MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor.

The same objection. The document speaks for

10 itself.

17 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.

MR. OLANIRAN: Okay.

BY MR. OLANIRAN: 19

In fact, do you mention at all in this 20

email any of the problems that the judges had 21

with IPG's presentation?

Good morning, Ms. Vernon.

Now I believe you testified that you

are very careful to only file claims on behalf of

claimants who have authorized IPG to file on

their behalf, is that right?

That's my intention.

Well, aside from being your intention,

are you, in fact, very careful to file claims

only on behalf of claimants who have authorized

IPG to file?

A I try to be.

Have you ever filed a claim on behalf

of a claimant that has sent IPG a termination?

16 If I hadn't -- well, it's probably

17 happened, but it just -- but, if we didn't know

about the termination, then it could have

happened. I mean, clearly, there have been some 19

20 instances that we have seen here where people

21 have come up with termination letters that we had

no idea there had ever been a termination letter,

MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor.

90

The same objection. The document speaks for

itself.

JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.

Having heard the testimony, Exhibit

358 is rejected.

[Whereupon, the document marked as

MPAA Exhibit No. 358 for

identification was rejected. 9

MR. OLANIRAN: No further questions, 10

11 Your Honor.

12

JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.

13 Mr. MacLean, questions for Ms. Vernon?

MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I would 1.1

15 like to just have one moment to collect the

documents that I need.

JUDGE BARRETT: You may.

18 (Pause.)

19 MR. MacLEAN: All right. Thank you,

20 Your Honor. I'm ready.

21 CROSS-EXAMINATION

22 BY MR. MacLEAN: you know, for a particular client.

And I've been communicating with a lot

of those clients to this day, and they never said

anything about having once sent a termination

letter, I'll say 10 years ago.

So, right, I mean, I don't do it

before the fact, but if I filed a claim for them,

it was because I had not known anything about

them terminating.

10 0 So, you have filed claims on behalf of

claimants who have terminated IPG? 11

12 Not knowingly. I mean, if it was a

valid termination. 13

So. Feed the Children you didn't know 14

15 had terminated IPG at the time you filed a claim

for the 2013 year? 16

17 I don't know if we did or not, but it

just depends on the contract.

19 All right, but I'm asking with respect

20 to Feed the Children.

21 I'm not sure. I know we filed a

22 claim.

96

93

```
And --
                And I saw the termination letter that,
     you know, was sent in July.
                You received this termination letter
     in July. You filed the claim later in July,
    right?
                Correct.
                JUDGE STRICKLER: Excuse me. If I may
     ask. Ms. Vernon, the documents in question that
    we referred to are 356 and 357 in evidence, and
10
    you signed both of those right?
11
12
                THE WITNESS: Correct.
1.3
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: And the date on both
    of them is July 31st, 2013.
14
                THE WITNESS: Right.
```

JUDGE STRICKLER: Did you in fact sign

THE WITNESS: Oh. Oh, yes. Should

JUDGE STRICKLER: I'm sorry. Are you

1 there's a reason why it's unclear.

2 MR. MacLEAN: I was just quoting Mr.

3 Boydston, so I was --

4 JUDGE BARRETT: Well, this proceeding

5 I took you to mean the present proceeding, the

6 one in which we are holding hearings.

7 MR. BOYDSTON: Right. Exactly.

8 MR. MacLEAN: And I did, too.

9 BY MR. MacLEAN:

10 Q So is that correct, Ms. Vernon?

11 A Pardon me? Say again?

12 Q Is it correct that Feed the Children

is not claimed in this proceeding that we're

14 holding today?

15 A Well, it -- I thought it was claimed,

16 but it -- I thought it was claimed for just

17 particular years.

18 Q And are the years at issue in this

19 proceeding claimed on behalf of Feed the

20 Children?

21 A I'm not sure. Tell you the truth,

2 I've gotten so many of them so mixed up because

94

out whether you understand that you signed these

have been '14, right, because this is for 2013

able to answer my question? I'm trying to find

in July of 2013 or July of 2014.

those on July 31st, 2013 or 2014?

3 THE WITNESS: I think it should have

been '14.

10

17

1 11

19

20

21

JUDGE STRICKLER: So when you say it

should have been, it's your recollection then

that you did in fact sign them in 2014 --

n THE WITNESS: Exactly.

JUDGE STRICKLER: -- and 2013 was just

10 an erroneous marking?

11 THE WITNESS: Correct. Yes.

JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.

13 BY MR. MacLEAN:

14 O Now, within MPAA Exhibit 307, which

includes the termination notice that IPG received

16 from Feed the Children, was -- well, first of

17 all, I heard your counsel say that Feed the

1 Children is not claimed in this proceeding. Is

1: that an accurate statement?

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I think

21 it's vague as to "proceeding." Could we have a

22 little definition as to "proceeding?" And

there are so many of them that I'm losing track.

Q In fact, IPG --

3 A Because I could tell you -- let me

4 just explain. You know, with regard to Feed the

5 Children, I used to deal with them, you know, and

6 then they had this whole shake-up of people. And

7 to tell you the truth, I really don't know that

8 much about, them because Raul and Brian have been

9 dealing with -- with them exclusively, you know,

10 for the longest time. Certainly this summer.

11 Since they came here and testified before the

12 Judges.

13 Q In fact --

14 A I really haven't had that much contact

with them.

16 Q -- Feed the Children is claimed by IPG

in this proceeding here today.

18 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor,

19 argumentative. She said she does not know.

20 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.

21 BY MR. MacLEAN:

22 Q Have you ever filed a claim on behalf

```
97
                                                                                                                   99
                                                                 So -- but she validated, yes, you know, made the
    of a claimant for with whom you have no written
                                                                 filings.
    contract or correspondence in IPG's files?
                                                                             BY MR. MacLEAN:
                 I don't believe so, I -- I mean, at
                                                                             Did you file a claim on behalf of IWV
    -- you know, no contact? I -- I don't believe
                                                                  Media at a time when you didn't have a written
                 Did you file a claim on behalf of IWV
                                                                  contract with IWV Media in your files?
     Media at a time when you had not written contract
                                                                             Yes, we've said that consistently.
                                                                  That's why a lot of the --
                 No. Well, you said no written
                                                                        0
                                                                             Have you filed --
                                                             10
                                                                             -- claims got dismissed last time.
10
     correspondence.
11
           Q
                All right.
                                                             11
                                                                             Have you ever filed a claim on behalf
12
                I -- and we had had -- we -- we did
                                                             12
                                                                 of a claimant whose representation agreement with
13
    have correspondence. You know, we just couldn't
                                                             13
                                                                 IPG has expired?
    find the actual contract with IWV. But, yes, we
14
                                                             14
                                                                             MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'll
                                                                 object. I think it calls for a legal conclusion.
15
    -- we did have correspondence.
                                                             15
                You had written correspondence with
                                                                  because even I had to think about what it meant
16
                                                             16
17
    IWV Media at the time you filed IPG's claim on
                                                                 to expire. Objection. Calls for a legal
                                                             17
    behalf of IWV Media?
18
                                                             18
                                                                  conclusion.
                Which time? I'm -- I'm -- I'm not
19
                                                             19
                                                                             JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained, but she may
    sure. Are you talking -- which time we filed?
                                                                  answer. We won't take her testimony as that of a
20
                                                             20
              I asked you if you've ever done that.
          0
                                                                 legal expert.
                                                                             So if you can answer the question, you
```

100

```
1
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'm
     confused. I don't think he said ever. I think
     he first said since you filed. So I'm confused.
                JUDGE BARRETT: Well, I think he did.
     Overruled.
                THE WITNESS: Well, there's -- there's
     always been written correspondence with IWV. I
     mean, so I -- I guess I'm not understanding the
     question, you know, because -- because clearly,
     you know, it had -- you know, there had been
     filings in the past and everything. I didn't
     make every filing for IWV, you know? Marian
12
13
     Oshita had made them. Lisa Deloss had made them
     in the past, you know? So, you know, there was,
14
15
    you know, consistency, you know, that it had been
16
    filed year after year after year after year. You
17
    know, there had been contact and correspondence
18
    between them. You know, so there was a history,
    you know? And I said, you know, with -- with her
19
    yes, but we didn't have -- you know, when we came
20
    to the 2000, 2003 proceedings, we didn't have the
21
```

contract, you know, and Maureen didn't either.

THE WITNESS: Well, I guess I don't 2 really know because I've got a question, too, as I'm not exactly what you mean by -- by "expired." Because all of the contracts are -- they're not all exactly the same. Some have -- you know. some have term limits that are, you know, like just for a year. Or, you know, some have, you know, language in there that -- you know, they're 10 just for three years or some are just, you know, perpetual. BY MR. MacLEAN: 13 All right. And --14 15 Ms. Vernon, I'll clarify. Have you 16 ever filed a claim on behalf of a claimant after 17 the term of years that's set forth in the 18 representation agreement? 19 Well, I have not knowingly done that, you know, unless they had -- had given me, you 20 know, permission or, you know, that indicated 21 22 that, yes, they want to keep on going. So --

may answer the question.

101 103 again? I mean, you said you're very careful 0 Six-zero-two. not to do it, right? Okay. I'm there. I try to be. And you'll see that this is a But has it ever happened that you've filed a contract after -- filed a claim after the declaration of Walter Kowalski. And it has term of years set forth in the contract is over? attachments to it. And if you'll turn to I don't know. Attachment F of that declaration. MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. He asked her before. She said not knowingly. I Q This is an email from you to Mr. think it's been asked and answered. 10 Kowalski, is that right? 11 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 11 12 BY MR. MacLEAN: 12 Did you write this email? 13 Did you file a claim on behalf of Bob 13 Α 14 Ross, Inc. after the term of years set forth in 14 And you sent it to Mr. Kowalski? 15 the representation agreements had expired? 15 Yes. On March 12th, 2013? 16 It's hard for me to recall exactly 16 0 17 what the situation is, but as I recall, we had 17 That's, yes, what the email says. MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I move 18 been filing for him for guite a number of years. 18 19 admission of Attachment F to SDC Exhibit 602. And then at some point he had said -- and we had 19

102

20

104

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I object on

ago. And all of a sudden he just revealed that at some point. And I don't -- I don't know where

been paying him and accounting to him for a

number of years. And then at some point he had

said, oh, well, I had terminated so many years

- it came from, but like I said, he didn't have a
- problem with it when we were paying him and
- accounting to him for -- for all of those years.
- But I can't remember all the -- all of the
- details or the circumstances to it. So --
- But --
- -- like I said, so, you know, we -- we
- had been making claims for him, but, you know, if
- he had, you know, terminated, we had no idea of
- his termination. You know, we wouldn't have been
- 13 making claim for him if we, you know, had
- 14 realized he had terminated any time soon before
- 15

20

- 16 So does this fall under the category
- 17 of your answer not knowingly?
- 18 Well, I would say so, yes.
- If you could take a look at SDC 19
- 20 Exhibit 602, which is in the SDC exhibit binder.
- 21
- 22 I'm sorry. Could you say that number

- at this time on behalf of this entity, Bob Ross,
- Inc. The only thing that's ever even been made

the grounds of relevance. There's no evidence

that any claim is being made in this proceeding

- by IPG on behalf of that entity has been in the
- public broadcasting category, not this one. So
- this is -- it was just irrelevant.
- MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, this is
- impeachment evidence. She said she did not
- knowingly file a claim on behalf of a claimant
- after learning of the expiration of a contract,
- and I intend to show that that's not a truthful
- 11
- 12 JUDGE BARRETT: Are you asking that
- 13 the exhibit be admitted or only the attachment?
- 14 MR. MacLEAN: At this time, Your
- Honor, I am only asking for Attachment F to SDC 15
- 16 602
- JUDGE BARRETT: Any objection? Mr. 17
- 18 Olaniran, any --
- 19 MR. OLANIRAN: No objection. Your
- 20 Honor.
- JUDGE BARRETT: All right. At this 21
- 22 point we will admit Attachment F. And the clerk

105 107 Yes. will make a note of that. After you knew that Bob Ross, Inc.'s (Whereupon, the above-referred to 2 representation agreements with IPG had expired, document was received into evidence as Attachment F to SDC Exhibit No. 602.) BY MR. MacLEAN: Well, I'd have to go back and look at Ms. Vernon, in Attachment F you are the -- the situation, but, yes, I -- I mean, I responding to Bob Ross, Inc.'s contention that don't know what years we made claim for. I mean, it -- because it show it on this. You know, it's IPG's representation agreements with Bob Ross, Inc. had expired, is that right? just for during that period, but, you know, we --I'm sorry. I was -- I was trying to we -- we could have been making claim for, you read it. 11 know, 1999, you know? So --Well, in MPAA Exhibit 356 IPG is 12 In Attachment F you are responding to 12 Ω making claim for 2013, correct? 13 Bob Ross, Inc.'s contention that its 13 Oh, that's right. You know, I was representation agreements with IPG had expired, 14 15 is that right? 15 looking at something else. Yes. And if you take a look at MPAA Exhibit 16 16 357, which is IPG's joint claim for satellite 17 0 And in fact IPG has no record of any 17 signed continuation of the representation retransmission royalty fees in 2013, if you again 18 look at line 23 of Exhibit A to this exhibit, 19 agreements with Bob Ross, Inc., is that right? 19 you'll see that IPG made claim for Bob Ross, Inc. 20 Yes, that's correct. 20 for 2013 satellite retransmission royalty fees, You wrote this email in March of 2013. 21 21 correct? is that right? 106 108 1 Correct. 5 So by March of 2013 you were aware MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, at this time that you were outside the term. that IPG was I would like to attempt to lav a foundation of outside the term of its representation agreements relevance for MPAA Exhibit 358. with Bob Ross, Inc., is that right? JUDGE BARRETT: Go right ahead. BY MR. MacLEAN: Yes. I believe so. You still have MPAA Exhibit 358 in There was never any continuation of front of you, Ms. Vernon? those agreements signed, is that right? I believe so. Α And if you take a look at MPAA Exhibit 10 Now, I asked you before if this email 10 was sent to Jean McBride at Paramount Pictures. 11 356, this is IPG's joint claim for cable retransmission of royalty fees for the year 2013, 12 And it was, correct? 13 is that right? 13 That's what the email says, yes. The first line of this email says, "As Which you testified you signed and 15 filed in July of 2014, correct? the designated representative of your company we 16 16 17 are contacting you to update you on the status of 17 18 Which is after March of 2014, right? 18 proceedings before the U.S. Copyright Office for the distribution of 2000 to 2003 cable 19 Right. 19 And if you take a look at line 23 of retransmission royalties, " correct? 20 20 Exhibit A to MPAA Exhibit 356, you'll see IPG 21 21 Correct. 22 made a claim for Bob Ross, Inc., is that right? 22 Q Is IPG the designated representative

```
109
                                                                   authority to represent the claimants it's
     of Paramount Pictures?
                 It doesn't sound familiar to me, so I
                                                              2
                                                                   claiming.
                                                                              MR. ROYDSTON: Your Honor, I don't
     don't think so, but --
                                                                   think that answers the objection, the original
           0
                 So Paramount Pictures --
                                                                   objection, but moreover Mr. MacLean's statements
                 -- like I said, it's -- things get
                                                                  are full of facts not in evidence. Paramount.
     sent out in error sometimes. It's not unusual.
                                                                   this Jean McBride from Paramount is a successor
                 MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I move the
                                                                   in interest to a number of different entities
     admission of MPAA Exhibit 358.
                                                                   including those which were IPG claimants. So him
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, objection
                                                                   saying there's no connection, there's no evidence
                                                             10
10
     on the same grounds as before.
                                                                   in support of that. And if we want to get into a
11
                 MR. MacLEAN: Should I make a proffer
                                                             11
                                                             12
                                                                   full evidentiary hearing on that, it can be
12
    as to relevance?
                                                                   established that, yes, there is.
13
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, if you would,
                                                                              So back to the original objection, the
14
                                                             14
     please.
15
                 MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, the
                                                             15
                                                                   original objection I think still stands and I
                                                                   made in response to Mr. Olaniran's attempt to
     relevance of this is IPG has its list of
     claimants, its list of contact information, its
                                                             17
                                                                   admit it. I renew that objection now.
     listed email addresses. It's the same list year
                                                             18
                                                                              MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, if IPG has
     after year after year. They don't update it.
                                                             19
                                                                   an explanation for this, they can make it, but it
20
     They don't strike claimants whose contracts
                                                             20
                                                                  is relevant. It goes to show the points that I'm
21
     expired. They don't strike claimants who have
                                                             21
                                                                  making here.
```

110

22

claims year after year after year until they are challenged on them, and sometimes even continue filing them after that. Their email --MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, this is testimony. He's saying things that aren't in evidence. MR. MacLEAN: This --JUDGE BARRETT: That's right. MR. MacLEAN: This piece of evidence shows, MPAA 358 shows that IPG is sending out its 1.0 11 emails to its alleged claimants, including people -- no connection whatsoever with IPG and then 12 13 getting correspondence back showing that the correspondence that IPG sends out and receives 14 15 back from these claimants means nothing. It does not imply in the least that there is a contract 17 principle agency relationship between IPG and the

claimants, the alleged claimants that it's

sending these emails to that rebutts Ms. Vernon's

IPG's -- the sufficiency of the evidence of IPG's

testimony. It also goes directly to the points

that both SDC and MPAA are making relating to

terminated them. They just keep on filing the

112 my colleagues. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 11:27 a.m. and resumed at JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. Exhibit 358 is now admitted for impeachment purposes only subject to cross-examination or rehabilitation of the witness, as would be the case in any proceeding. 10 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 11 document was received into evidence as MPAA 358 Exhibit No. 358.) 12 13 JUDGE BARRETT: We want the record to be clear that Mr. MacLean's proffer regarding 14 IPG's business practices is not part of this 15 16 document, and this document does not establish 17 that proffer. It's simply offered for the impeachment based upon the first line of the 18 exhibit. 20 Now to follow up, Ms. Vernon, I think 21 this has been asked and I'm not sure that any of 22 the Judges are clear on the answer, so let me

JUDGE BARRETT: I need to confer with

18

19

20

21

22

```
following year you do not file for that claimant?
    just ask straightforwardly when IPG receives a
                                                                               THE WITNESS: Tell the truth, I -- I
     notice of termination of relationship with a
                                                               2
                                                                    can't tell you one specific thing. You know, it
     client with whom IPG has had an ongoing
    relationship, what is the business process at IPG
                                                                    would be notes or, you know, things that we would
                                                                    put up, you know, as notes to ourselves and our
     with regard to your internal database, your
                                                                    emails basically that would go into that
    accounting database, your email LISTSERV? Across
                                                                    particular claimant's file, you know, of
     the board what is the IPG business practice when
                                                                    everything with them. I can't tell you one
     you receive a notice of termination?
                                                                    particular thing. There -- there is not one
                 THE WITNESS: I've got to say we don't
                                                                   particular set of this, this, this and this.
10
    have anything set in stone, you know, and that
     may just be poor organizational problems, but,
                                                                                JUDGE FEDER: When you prepare the
11
                                                                    exhibit sheet that is attached to your claims, do
12
     too, because it just depends on who -- on the --
                                                              12
     on the client and when the termination is, you
                                                                    you review all of the files of all of the
                                                                    whatever, 100 or 200 -- I guess we're looking at
    know? If -- if the -- I mean, because if -- if
     the termination is, okay, we're terminating now,
                                                                    about a little over 200 claimant's. Do you
                                                                    review all of those files before preparing that
     but due to the contract and the terms that means
17
     that we still are in the term to where we have to
                                                              17
                                                                    attachment and putting it on that claim form?
     file the claim for that year then even though
                                                              18
                                                                                THE WITNESS: Should, but, you know,
     they have terminated. So we wouldn't file for
19
                                                              19
                                                                   I don't. And I'm not sure how detailed Raul goes
     them the next year, we would still want to keep
20
                                                              20
                                                                   into -- into it as well.
                                                                               JUDGE FEDER: Who prepares that
21
     them, you know, on our list so they would receive
                                                              21
    all of the information that all of our -- all of
                                                                   attachment?
```

113

114

116

```
the other clients got. So --
                 JUDGE FEDER: Who makes that call as
     to when you stop filing on behalf of a particular
                 THE WITNESS: That would normally be
     Raul. And sometimes would discuss it with Brian
    because the first thing we would do is -- is look
     at the - the individual contract to see what the
 9
     terms are for each individual client.
                 JUDGE FEDER: So Raul perhaps in
10
11
    consultation with counsel makes a determination.
12
    What process do you have internally for ensuring
13
     that that determination, whatever it is, is
     carried out? In other words, that in year one
15
     you receive a termination. You make a
16
     determination internally that you still need to
17
     file for that year. Whether or not that's
18
     correctly termination. I'm not expressing an
19
     opinion.
20
                THE WITNESS: Yes.
21
                JUDGE FEDER: But not for the
22
    following year. How do you ensure that the
```

THE WITNESS: Raul does, yes. But it's one, you know, that I see all the time because it's, you know, the list of people I start calling. So I'm familiar with them. BY MR. MacLEAN: Just to make sure the record is clear. when you say "Raul," you're referring to Raul Galaz? Δ Oh, I'm sorry. Raul Galaz, yes. And similarly when you say "Brian." 10 0 11 you're referring to --Brian Boydston. 12 Α 13 0 -- IPG counsel Brian Boydston? 14 Correct. 15 Okay. 16 А Yes. 17 Before we leave MPAA Exhibit 358, I 18 need to ask this: I heard your counsel say that 19 Paramount Pictures is the successor in interest to authorize claimants of IPG. Do you have any 20 21 knowledge of that? 22 No, that -- that may be the case. I

119 117

```
claimants, correct?
     -- but I'm not familiar with it.
                                                               2
                                                                         Α
                                                                               Correct.
2
          Q
                Have you ever filed with the Copyright
    Royalty Board a notice that Paramount Pictures is
                                                                               When IPG sends out an email to its
     the successor in interest to any IPG claimant?
                                                                   claimants is it intended only to send them to its
                                                                   claimants?
                I don't recall if I -- if I have.
                                                                               That's the intention.
                Are you aware of the Copyright Royalty
    Board rule that requires claimants in the event
                                                                               Does IPG ever intentionally send
     of a legal name and/or address change to notify
                                                                   emails to non-claimants?
     the Copyright Royalty Board of the change?
                No, I am not.
                                                              10
                                                                               And what circumstances does IPG
16
                MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, I would ask
                                                              11
                                                                   intentionally send an email to a non-claimant?
11
     that the Board take judicial notice of 37 CFR
                                                              12
                                                                               Intentionally send one to a
12
13
     Section 360.3(c), this Board's rule, "In the
                                                              13
                                                                   non-claimant?
     event that the legal name and/or address of the
                                                              14
                                                                         0
                                                                               Right. My initial question was does
                                                                  IPG ever intentionally send an email to a
15
     copyright owner entitled to royalties or the
                                                              15
     person or entity filing the claim changes after
                                                                   non-claimant?
16
                                                              1.6
17
     the filing of the claim, the Copyright Royalty
                                                              17
                                                                         Α
                                                                               Not intentionally.
     Board shall be notified of the change."
                                                                               Thank you. Now with regard to Bob
1.8
                                                              18
                                                                  Ross, Inc., I believe that you said that you
19
                MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
                                                              19
                                                                   recalled that IPG had made claims on behalf of
                MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.
20
                                                              20
                                                                   Bob Ross, Inc. for a number of years. And I
21
                JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean, we can
                                                              21
```

118

```
the promotion, but we can't take judicial notice.
                 (Laughter)
                 MR. MacLEAN: I understand, Your
     Honor. In that case I ask that you take official
     notice.
 6
                JUDGE BARRETT: Will do. Or maybe
     it's not a promotion. I'm not sure.
                 (Laughter)
 9
                BY MR. MacLEAN:
                Ms. Vernon, in addition to being the
10
     99 percent owner of IPG, you are also Raul Galaz'
11
     sister, is that right?
12
13
                That's correct.
                 MR. MacLEAN: No further questions.
          REDIRECT EXAMINATION
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
17
                Sorry. I forgot my glasses, but I
     think I can ask the first question.
18
19
                Ms. Vernon, you were asked about the
20
    veracity of IPG's agreements and your knowledge
```

-- actually strike that. You were asked about

whether or not emails ever went out to non-IPG

take official notice, but -- and think you for

pursuant thereto. Is that true? 2 And that until 2013 Bob Ross, Inc. 4 never raised any issue about the legitimacy of question. BY MR. BOYDSTON:

think you said had paid money to Bob Ross. Inc.

IPG's conduct in that regard. Is that the case? MR. MacLEAN: Objection. Leading. 6 MR. BOYDSTON: I'll re-ask the 7 8 Prior to 2013 were you aware of Bob 10 Ross at any time objecting to IPG collecting 11 12 money and passing it on to Bob Ross? 13 Now you saw the attachment to the notice about termination which was a piece of 15 16 correspondence from Bob Ross, Inc. Actually it was IPG back to Bob Ross, Inc., I think, 17 18 discussing Bob Ross, Inc.'s -- whatever it was. 19 Bob Ross, Inc. saying you shouldn't represent us 20 anymore. 21 JUDGE BARRETT: Excuse me. Let's be

precise.

21 22

121 123 MR. BOYDSTON: And, Your Honor, I'd MP BOYDSTON: Thank you. JUDGE BARRETT: It's SDC Exhibit 602, like to move that Exhibit 126 be admitted. MR. OLANIRAN: Objection, Your Honor. Attachment F. This letter is dated July 10th, 2014. They had MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, I'd like to mark what would be next plenty of opportunity to produce it in discovery in line for IPG, which is 127. I'll pass them and they never did. MR. MacLEAN: It's the first time I've JUDGE BARRETT: Actually 126 is next. seen this letter, Your Honor. MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, you're right. I MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, this letter have 126 I'm anticipating, but that would make 10 is not responsive to any discovery. Moreover, 11 this 126. You're right. 11 this is being brought in response to impeachment JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. 15 12 evidence that was just brought up now and just delivered to -- and just made part of their 14 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 13 document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 126 for impeachment attempt. It's merely an attempt to 14 14 identification.) 15 rehabilitate and/or answer impeachment. 15 16 BY MR. BOYDSTON: JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is 16 17 First of all, this is a letter from my 17 overruled. office dated July 10th, 2014. Is that what you 18 18 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you. Your Honor. 19 see there? JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry. So the 19 20 record is clear, 126 is admitted. And on the second page there is a CC 21 (Whereupon, the above-referred to to Independent Producers Group. You see that? document was received into evidence as IPG 122 124 1 А Exhibit No. 126.) Do you recall seeing this letter? BY MR. BOYDSTON: JUDGE BARRETT: Who is the addressee? IPG filed 2013 claims in July of 2014, MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry. The correct? addressee is Mary Rasenberger, Esquire of Cowan, Α Correct. DeBaets, Abrahams & Sheppard in New York City, a 6 And I know the date that is on it: we law firm. just looked at that exhibit a minute ago, it was JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. misdated 2013, but that was in July of 2014, 9 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 10 Actually before you answer that, let That's correct. 11 me ask you to just take a minute to read the 11 And are you aware as to whether or not 12 letter first. 12 counsel for anyone else for Feed the Children had responded to this letter at the time that IPG 13 Okay. I've read it again. 13 14 Before I ask you about the letter, do filed these July claims? you recall the circumstances the letter 15 15 I don't believe so. Yes --16 discusses? 16 Thank you. 17 Yes, it's as we were discussing 17 Yes, after we sent this letter, then 18 earlier the Feed the Children email termination 18 I don't -- it -- yes. No. 19 that they sent. You were asked about the processes 19 20 And do you recall seeing this letter that IPG takes once termination happens, and 20 21 when you got a copy of it? 21 Judge Feder asked you specifically once a 22 22 determination has been made as to the term

	125		127
1	internally by IPG, what does IPG do?	1	you filled in the date or whether it was already
2	With regard to IPG's claimants	2	there when you signed it?
3	which I think are in excess of 200, is that	3	THE WITNESS: That I can't remember.
4	correct?	4	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. All right.
5	A That's correct.	5	Thank you.
6	Q Out of that number of claimants are	6	THE WITNESS: Yes.
7	terminations common or are they not common?	7	JUDGE BARRETT: Any additional
8	A Not common.	8	questions?
و	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.	9	MR. OLANIRAN: No further questions
10	I have nothing further.	10	FOR US, Your Honor.
11	JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Vernon, I have	11	MR. MacLEAN: I do have a single
12	some questions for you.	12	question based on yours, Your Honor.
13	THE WITNESS: Okay.	13	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
14	JUDGE BARRETT: Are you right- handed	14	BY MR. MacLEAN:
15	or left-handed?	15	Q To your knowledge does Mr. Galaz have
16	THE WITNESS: I'm right handed.	16	a rubber stamp with your signature on it?
17	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. And is Mr.	17	A Not to my knowledge.
18	Galaz right-handed or left-handed?	18	MR. MacLEAN: No further questions.
19	THE WITNESS: He's right-handed.	19	JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston?
20	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Do you have a	20	MR. BOYDSTON: No, nothing further.
21	rubber stamp with your signature on it that you	21	IPG rests its defense of the rebuttal by MPAA.
22	use for massive communication?	22	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
	126		128
1	126 THE WITNESS: No, I don't.	1	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be
1		1 2	
N	THE WITNESS: No, I don't.		MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be
3	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up	2	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.)
2	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions?	2	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of
2 4	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response)	2 3 4	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if
2 4 5	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask	2 3 4 5	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so.
2 4 5	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits	2 3 4 5	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've
3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits 356 and 357.	2 3 4 5 6	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've completed your cross of IPG with regard to its
2 4 5 6 7	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits 356 and 357. THE WITNESS: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've completed your cross of IPG with regard to its rebuttal of the MPAA claims?
2 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits 356 and 357. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE BARRETT: Those are the claims	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've completed your cross of IPG with regard to its rebuttal of the MPAA claims? MR. OLANIRAN: Correct.
2 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits 356 and 357. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE BARRETT: Those are the claims for cable and satellite	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've completed your cross of IPG with regard to its rebuttal of the MPAA claims? MR. OLANIRAN: Correct. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits 356 and 357. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE BARRETT: Those are the claims for cable and satellite retransmission	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've completed your cross of IPG with regard to its rebuttal of the MPAA claims? MR. OLANIRAN: Correct. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. MR. OLANIRAN: And Mr. Galaz I think
2 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits 356 and 357. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE BARRETT: Those are the claims for cable and satellite retransmission THE WITNESS: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've completed your cross of IPG with regard to its rebuttal of the MPAA claims? MR. OLANIRAN: Correct. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. MR. OLANIRAN: And Mr. Galaz I think will probably come back up and I'd have to do
2 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits 356 and 357. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE BARRETT: Those are the claims for cable and satellite retransmission THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE BARRETT: royalty fees for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've completed your cross of IPG with regard to its rebuttal of the MPAA claims? MR. OLANIRAN: Correct. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. MR. OLANIRAN: And Mr. Galaz I think will probably come back up and I'd have to do additional cross.
2 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits 356 and 357. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE BARRETT: Those are the claims for cable and satellite retruncmission THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE BARRETT: royalty fees for 2013.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've completed your cross of IPG with regard to its rebuttal of the MPAA claims? MR. OLANIRAN: Correct. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. MR. OLANIRAN: And Mr. Galaz I think will probably come back up and I'd have to do additional cross. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Understood.
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits 356 and 357. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE BARRETT: Those are the claims for cable and satellite retransmission THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE BARRETT: royalty fees for 2013. THE WITNESS: Right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've completed your cross of IPG with regard to its rebuttal of the MPAA claims? MR. OLANIRAN: Correct. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. MR. OLANIRAN: And Mr. Galaz I think will probably come back up and I'd have to do additional cross. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Understood. Mr. Boydston, you may call your first
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits 356 and 357. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE BARRETT: Those are the claims for cable and satellite retransmission THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE BARRETT: royalty fees for 2013. THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE BARRETT: Do you have a specific	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've completed your cross of IPG with regard to its rebuttal of the MPAA claims? MR. OLANIRAN: Correct. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. MR. OLANIRAN: And Mr. Galaz I think will probably come back up and I'd have to do additional cross. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Understood. Mr. Boydston, you may call your first witness with regard to IPG's challenges to MPAA
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits 356 and 357. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE BARRETT: Those are the claims for cable and satellite retransmission THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE BARRETT: royalty fees for 2013. THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE BARRETT: Do you have a specific recollection of having signed those forms?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've completed your cross of IPG with regard to its rebuttal of the MPAA claims? MR. OLANIRAN: Correct. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. MR. OLANIRAN: And Mr. Galaz I think will probably come back up and I'd have to do additional cross. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Understood. Mr. Boydston, you may call your first witness with regard to IPG's challenges to MPAA claims.
2 4 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 16 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits 356 and 357. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE BARRETT: Those are the claims for cable and satellite retransmission THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE BARRETT: royalty fees for 2013. THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE BARRETT: Do you have a specific recollection of having signed those forms? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've completed your cross of IPG with regard to its rebuttal of the MPAA claims? MR. OLANIRAN: Correct. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. MR. OLANIRAN: And Mr. Galaz I think will probably come back up and I'd have to do additional cross. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Understood. Mr. Boydston, you may call your first witness with regard to IPG's challenges to MPAA claims. MR. BOYDSTON: And may Ms. Vernon
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits 356 and 357. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE BARRETT: Those are the claims for cable and satellite retransmission THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE BARRETT: royalty fees for 2013. THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE BARRETT: Do you have a specific recollection of having signed those forms? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, I do. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've completed your cross of IPG with regard to its rebuttal of the MPAA claims? MR. OLANIRAN: Correct. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. MR. OLANIRAN: And Mr. Galaz I think will probably come back up and I'd have to do additional cross. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Understood. Mr. Boydston, you may call your first witness with regard to IPG's challenges to MPAA claims. MR. BOYDSTON: And may Ms. Vernon stand down?
2 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: No, I don't. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Any follow-up questions on the basis of those questions? (No audible response) JUDGE BARRETT: I actually want to ask again another question with regard to Exhibits 356 and 357. THE WITNESS: Okay. JUDGE BARRETT: Those are the claims for cable and satellite retrunsmission THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE BARRETT: royalty fees for 2013. THE WITNESS: Right. JUDGE BARRETT: Do you have a specific recollection of having signed those forms? THE WITNESS: Yes. Yes, I do. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. THE WITNESS: Yes, because I sign them	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BOYDSTON: It would now be (Simultaneous speaking.) MR. BOYDSTON: IPG's rebuttal of the MPAA, which should last 10 minuets. And if you'd like to start, we can do so. JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. So you've completed your cross of IPG with regard to its rebuttal of the MPAA claims? MR. OLANIRAN: Correct. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. MR. OLANIRAN: And Mr. Galaz I think will probably come back up and I'd have to do additional cross. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Understood. Mr. Boydston, you may call your first witness with regard to IPG's challenges to MPAA claims. MR. BOYDSTON: And may Ms. Vernon stand down? JUDGE BARRETT: She may. You can just

129

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.) MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, IPG calls Raul Galaz. JUDGE BARRETT: You remain under oath. Thank you, Mr. Galaz. You may be seated. MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, we have our witnesses planning on this afternoon, however, I beginning to get the sense we might not get there and I'm wondering if I could get a time estimate from Mr. Boydston and Mr. Olaniran so that I can make a determination as to whether to tell them they can hold off until tomorrow. JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, I'm sorry. I perhaps misunderstood your representations at the commencement of the hearing that all parties have agreed that your witnesses would come on 16 17 Wednesday afternoon. 1 4 MR. MacLEAN: So, okay. Let me just clear up any confusion about that. We did have 19 two witnesses that were both going to be very, 20 21 very short that were going to come at the

conclusion of lunch today. And that's what I

JUDGE BARRETT: You may have all the 2 time you need, Mr. Boydston. MR. BOYDSTON: I appreciate that, Your Honor. I think that we probably have an hour or so of direct cross-examination of Mr. Galaz, depending upon the intensity of objections. But I think an hour, even with the usual roar of objections, is probably pretty close. JUDGE BARRETT: And Mr. Olaniran, do you have an estimate of the cross-examination of 11 Mr. Galaz in this phase of the proceeding? 12 MR. OLANIRAN: I had estimated about an hour at the beginning when we were planning this, and I'm sure Your Honor understood that I'm 15 not sure that these things go according to plan. Actually, I had every intention of asking for 17 some extra time, given the somewhat lengthy, unusually lengthy cross-examination that I had 18 with Mr. Galaz yesterday. So I'll try for an

hour. I'm hoping that we can stick to hour or

JUDGE BARRETT: So given that the

130

20

21

...

132

```
told you at the beginning.
                Since that time Mr. Boydston has
     graciously agreed to stipulate to the
    authenticity of the two exhibits that we were
    going to put on through those two witnesses.
 6 Therefore, they will not be here. However, we
   have other witnesses whom we intend to present
     that I've told to come this afternoon, but I'm
     sure would appreciate being told to come tomorrow
     if I can safely do that. And so I'm asking if we
11
    can get a time estimate sufficient that I can
     rely upon to tell the witnesses to wait until
12
1 4
     tomorrow.
                JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you for clearing
14
     that up.
                Mr. Boydston, what is your anticipated
     direct examination time for this portion of your
17
     case for Mr. Galaz?
19
                MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, just give
20
    me two seconds. I'm in the midst of reorganizing
```

for the next phase here, but --

(Off mic comment.)

```
afternoon session is two hours and 15 minutes,
     Mr. MacLean, I think you're safe in letting your
     witnesses know they should come to nine o'clock
     tomorrow, and if we have to squander 15 minutes
     of hearing time this afternoon, we'll do so.
                 MR. MACLEAN: I appreciate that, Your
 6
    Honor.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston?
 8
     DIRECT EXAMINATION
9
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
10
11
                 Thank you, Your Honor, Mr. Galaz.
     have you reviewed the electronic files produced
12
     by the MPA in this matter?
13
15
                 And let me ask you to take a look at
     what's been marked as IPG Exhibit 1, or excuse
17
     me, 12. Tell me if you recognize that document?
18
                 Yes, I do.
19
                 And is that a document you created?
20
                 Yes, it is.
21
                 And what does it purport to represent?
22
                 It purports to represent a list of
```

21

i

```
133
    MPAA claim copyright owners, for which we have
    received no substantiation of representation in
     one or more years, because they were an
    underlying -- purported to be an underlying
     copyright owner represented by an agent, and
    also to clarify, it was derived from the Excel
     spreadsheet that was provided by the MPAA
     pursuant to the Judge's order of July 30th, which
    required the MPAA to produce a document that
     couples a particular program claim with a
     particular claimant.
                 In these circumstances, the Excel
12
13
     spreadsheet that we received would indicate
1.4
     whether or not the particular claimant in a
```

project for the program was represented by an

this. Number one is the designation. It says

"First Miracle Productions, Inc.," and then in

contents, are you going to offer this one too?.

So let's look at the first name in

JUDGE BARRETT: Before we get into the

(Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 12.)

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

Q So Mr. Galaz, looking at the first

item there, it says -- when I said -- oh no. I
beg your pardon. I've got a bad pen. It was
"First Miracle Productions," and then Compact in
parenthetical. Given the explanation you just

10 gave us, please explain first Miracle, where you
11 came up with the title or the entity First
12 Miracle Productions, Inc.?

13 A Well, as I indicated, there was an 14 Excel spreadsheet that was produced by the Motion

15 Picture Association of America, pursuant to the 16 Judge's July 30th order. That spreadsheet

indicated which program claims were being made for particular -- tied to particular copyright

19 owners.

 $^{21}\,\,$ whether or not -- it actually did it differently,

Within that spreadsheet, it indicated

22 depending upon the year. In some cases, it would

134

20

```
1
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Yeah, I would think --
 2
     excuse me. Yes, Your Honor I am. I just thought
     I'd probably need a little more examination
     first, and I'll make the offer right now. We'd
 5
     like to move this into evidence.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: And for the record, I
 6
     think this is what he was going to testify to.
     But this is something that I think he created
     derived from a record that MPAA produced. So
10
     this specific document was not produced by MPAA,
11
     but was created by Mr. Galaz.
12
                 JUDGE BARRETT: I think the testimony
     identified it as that.
13
14
                 MS. PLOVNICK: As long as that is
15
     clear, we have no objection.
16
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Mr.
17
    MacLean?
18
                 MR. MACLEAN: No objection.
19
                 JUDGE BARRETT: 132 is admitted.
```

MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry, 12 is

1 have an additional column to indicate if the

2 claim was being made vis-a-vis an agent.

In other instances, such as -- and you

see the example here, First Miracle Productions,

5 it would indicate in the same column, next to the

6 purported copyright owner, who the agent was.

7 So for instance, in First Miracle 8 Productions here, it would indicate that we have

9 a circumstance in which First Miracle Productions

 ${\tt 10} - {\tt was}$ being claimed on behalf of the MPAA vis-a-vis

the MPAA's agreement with Compact Collections, not vis-a-vis any agreement between the MPAA and

13 First Miracle Productions for one or more years.

Q And is it your understanding that the MPAA does have an agreement with Compact for --

16 A I'm not sure if they have it for all

17 years, but yes, I know that they have it, an 18 agreement with Compact. I mean I don't know

19 whether or not made claim in each of those years.

20 I presume that they did, I'm not certain. But I

21 know that in any event, the MPAA does have an

22 agreement with Compact.

admitted.

20

21

15,

16

17

12

19

20

21

22

agent or not.

0

parentheses "Compact."

140

137

```
Q And based upon the database that you
```

- referred to that the MPAA provided, that database
- 3 allowed you to connect Compact with First Miracle
- Productions; correct?
 - A That is correct.
- O And that you were also able to
- determine that First Miracle Productions is the
- 8 actual owner of a copyright -- of copyright
- 9 rights, if you will?
- 0 A To programs that are being claimed by
- 11 the MPAA in these proceedings, that's correct.
- 12 Q So it would appear -- is it your
- 13 understanding that Compact doesn't own the rights
- 14 to First Miracle Productions; rather, Compact is
- 15 an agent of First Miracle Productions, and it's
- 16 First Miracle Productions that owns the rights?
- 17 A To the programs that are associated
- •
- $\ensuremath{\text{18}}$ $\ensuremath{\text{\ }}$ with the claims being made by the Motion Picture
- 19 Association of America.

20

- Q Okay. Now you said that this was a
- 21 list of the claims, excuse me -- this is a list
- 22 of MPAA-represented claimants making program

- for that, it came up with a list of I think of
- 2 600, roughly 650. I think I identify it in our
- 3 moving papers, roughly 650 unique copyright
- 4 claimants on whose behalf there were program
- 5 claims being made by the MPAA.
- This is just a subset of that, of
- those 650, the number that are being claimed
- 8 vis-a-vis agents. So it's a distinction between
- the 582 and the 650, that that number that
- 10 identifies parties that are not being claimed
- 11 through agents in any year. These are the
- 12 underlying copyright owners who are being claimed
- 13 by agents for one or more years.
- 14 JUDGE STRICKLER: If I might interrupt
- 15 for just a minute. May I Judge?
- 16 JUDGE BARRETT: You may.
- 17 JUDGE STRICKLER: In the very first
- 18 line of IPG P-016, in parentheses you have
- 19 "Parent/Agent." I understand your testimony
- 20 about agent, what you mean by agent. But what
- 21 did you mean by parent?
- THE WITNESS: That was actually, I

- claims in this proceeding, for which there is no
- contract directly with the owner of the
- 3 copyright, in this case First Miracle
- 4 Productions, Inc.?
- 5 A Correct, and there's one thing I need
- 6 to clarify about the list, because it was very
- 7 confusing. When we received the Excel
- 8 spreadsheet, it was very simple to dilute it down
- 9 just to determine a number of you might say
- unique names that were being presented, and I
- 11 think when I did that, it came out to somewhere
- 12 around 1,500 or something.
- 13 But when you went through it, you
- 14 would see that names would come up differently,
- 15 and I'll use Compact as an example. I think it
- 16 Said "Compact Collections, Limited," "Compact
- 17 Collections Limited," "Compact Collections Ltd.,"
- 18 "Compact Collections Ltd.," with nothing else.
- 19 Each of those in those for instances
- $20\,$ $\,$ would come out as a different entity. So when
- 21 you actually took the 1,500 unique copyright
- owners that were identified and distilled it down

- think actually taken from the MPAA Excel
- 2 spreadsheet. I didn't put that in. So I think
- 3 it was -- I had taken to indicate that that's the
- underlying copyright owner.
- 5 JUDGE STRICKLER: I don't need you to
- 6 speculate.
- 7 (Simultaneous speaking.)
- 8 THE WITNESS: We think that the --
- 9 says owner. That's how I took it.
- 10 JUDGE STRICKLER: So you just took it
- 11 from the Excel spreadsheet. You don't have any
- 12 independent knowledge --
- 13 THE WITNESS: Correct.
- .4 JUDGE BARRETT: And your question was
- 5 addressed to Exhibit 12, is that right? I think
- 16 you said 16.
- JUDGE STRICKLER: Oh, I'm sorry. I
- 18 meant 12.
- 19 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you.
- 20 We're going to break at this time. We will
- 21 reconvene at 1:00. Mr. MacLean, if your status
- 22 conference runs long, just send up smoke signals.

We'll attend your return. MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor. (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 12:02 p.m. until 1:15 (.m.q JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. Our Attorney Advisor pointed out to me that I did a cut and paste on the length of time we have on our afternoon session, based on 16 years of experience from the afternoon session, it was two 11 hours and 15 minutes, as I pointed out. 12 But actually our afternoon session is 13 longer, because we start a half hour earlier and 14 go a half hour longer than we used to do back in 16 the day, as they say. So Mr. MacLean, did you call off your witnesses this afternoon? 16 17 MR. MACLEAN: I did Your Honor, and I should say a large portion of our exhibits are 15 being offered without a sponsoring witness, or if 19

there is a sponsoring witness, that sponsoring

So I do think that we will have

witness may be me.

22

- Whereupon, 2 RAUL GALAZ was recalled as a witness and, after having been previously duly sworn, was examined and further testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION (resumed) BY MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Galaz, 9 before we broke for lunch, we had been looking at 10 Exhibit 12. 1.1 It had been admitted, and you were explaining that you had gone through MPAA 12 13 information that was produced, and from that you 14
- came up with approximately 650 different

 MPAA-represented claimants who owned programs, or

 who owned -- who owned programs.

 Then of those, 582 were in fact

 represented by agents who contracted with the

 MPAA. Do you recall that?

 A That's correct.

 So the balance of the MPAA-represented

claimants who are not represented by agents, have

142

22

144

sufficient business at the beginning of our case. to at least make use of some of that time. JUDGE BARRETT: Okav. I note that none of you squander time. MS. PLOVNICK: Also just pursuant to our agreed order, MPAA has a rebuttal period after IPG. So that would need to come before SDC also. So I think we definitely probably --JUDGE BARRETT: I think we'll be okay. I'm not at all concerned. 11 MR. BOYDSTON: And Your Honor, after lunch we discussed we're going to try and finish 12 14 up this aspect today hopefully, so we can begin promptly with the SDC tomorrow, if not today. If 14 15 so, Mr. MacLean and I are -- hopefully we could finish tomorrow and that was our goal. 16 JUDGE BARRETT: So I'm not at all 17 concerned. Of course, it totally disregards 18

what's happening across the street.

MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, I understand.

JUDGE BARRETT: So Mr. Boydston, you

you seen evidence of their ownership of the copyrighted material being claimed? The difference between 582 and the larger number, yes I have. Well, I've seen evidence of their ownership. In some circumstances, yes; in some circumstances, no. That brings in circumstances where a party is making claim as an owner, and not necessarily as an agent of an underlying owner. 10 I have seen evidence. I disagree with some of it, because that brings up circumstances 11 in which just from eyeballing or personal 12 knowledge, I may know that that particular entity 13 14 is not the owner. But yes, I've seen at least 15 entities purporting to be the owner of programs. Okay. Focusing back on the 582, in 16 which for those 582, just to confirm, there's 17 nothing in the record that you've been provided 18 or seen of those 582, whereby those 582 identify 19 a contractual agreement with an agent, such as 20 Screenwrites or EGEDA, that in turn has an 21 agreement with the MPAA; is that correct?

may continue.

19

20

145

- 1 A In those circumstances in which 2 they're being represented by an agent, you want
- 3 me to explain this, then that's correct. I
- haven't seen the agreement, if there is one
- 5 between the agent and the underlying copyright
- 6 owner.
- When I say the underlying copyright
- 8 owner, the underlying copyright owner as
- identified by the MPAA. So and I want to be
- 10 clear that the interpretation of what I prepared
- 11 and what's number 12, this is for one or more
- 12 years.
- 13 So as an example, I would take the
- 14 first one. First Miracle Productions Compact.
- 15 What I can demonstrate is that in one or more
- 16 years, First Miracle Productions is being claimed
- 17 vis-a-vis Compact, and dependent upon the
- 18 agreement between the MPAA and Compact.
- 19 That doesn't mean that in some of
- 20 those years, First Miracle Productions has
- 21 contracted directly with the MPAA. It's usually
- 22 not the case, but there are some instances in

- representation of First Miracle Productions.
- Q Now I presume that IPG accepts that
- 3 Compact, the agent, has in fact manifested an
- intent for the MPAA to represent it and its
- 5 signees in these proceedings?
- A Correct
- Q But what you're saying is we don't
- 8 have that same thing between who? Between who?
 - A Between the agent, in this instance,
- 10 $\,$ it would be Compact, and the entity that the
- 11 MPAA, Compact, everybody acknowledges as the
- 12 actual owner of the particular programs that are
- 13 being claimed by, in this case, First Miracle
- 14 Productions.
- 15 Q Now in addition to not having any
- 16 evidence that First Miracle Productions has -- or
- 17 excuse me, that Compact represents First Miracle
- 18 Productions, is there any evidence that First
- 19 Miracle Productions has affirmed ownership of
- 20 certain programs to Compact?
- 21 A No, none.
- Q Is there any evidence that First

146

- which that is the case.
- Q Okay. Staying on that same subject --
- A And we're not challenging those for
- 4 purposes of this argument.
- Q Understood. Well, explain to us under
- what circumstances IPG is challenging the rights
- of First Miracle Productions, Inc. in these
- proceedings?
- 9 A It would be those claims that are
- 10 serving those particular years, where it's
- 11 derived vis-a-vis the agreement with Compact.
- 12 The obvious focus is, you know, untimeliness. We
- 13 have literally nothing, not one piece of
- 14 correspondence, not an agreement, not anything
- 15 between Compact and First Miracle Productions.
- 16 We have not an agreement or any
- 17 correspondence, not one shred of evidence between
- 18 First Miracle Productions and the MPAA. So
- whatever claims are being attributed by the MPAA
- $2^{\rm n}$ to First Miracle Productions, is all based on
- 21 representations that are being made by Compact,
- 22 without our ability to scrutinize any actual

- Miracle Productions has any knowledge that it is
- the MPAA, as opposed to Compact, that's pursuing
- 3 these claims?
 - A Well, you said as opposed to MPAA,
- 5 excuse me, as opposed to Compact. There's
- 6 literally nothing authored by -- in this instance
- 7 it would be for those particular years, there
- 8 would be absolutely nothing authored by First
- 9 Miracle Productions, attesting to either a
- 10 contractual relationship with Compact, and
- awareness or contractual relationship with MPAA,
- identification of any of its programming,
- 13 literally nothing tying to this proceeding.
- 14 Q And is there any evidence in the
- 15 record that First Miracle Productions, excuse me,
 16 strike that.
- 17 Is there any evidence in the record
- 18 that Compact, in which Compact identifies First
- 19 Miracle Productions as an entity it represents?

characterization that there's nothing in the

- MS. PLOVNICK: I object to the
- 22 record, because it mischaracterizes MPAA's

149

```
production. But I might be a little premature,
because the witness hasn't really answered. So
that's halfway up.

JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, then you can go.
```

MS. PLOVNICK: Halfway back down.

THE WITNESS: In the record? No,

there's nothing in the record.

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

Q And we've been focusing just on First
Miracle Productions as an example. Would your
answers to these questions be the same for all
the entities in Exhibit 122

the entities in Exhibit 12?

A It would, with the exception of those circumstances for certain years in which certain entities have contracted direct with the MPAA, and there are some. But we haven't challenged those. These are those where the circumstance

exists, that we didn't identify particular years,
which would identify which claims we're

15 which would identify which clair

20 challenging.

21 That was in a separate document that $22 \qquad \text{the Judges request that we prepare, and we gave I}$

151

only by an agent, rather than having made claims

2 themselves?

A Well, the way I do it, because I thought it would be a lot more relevant, is I

5 calculated program claim combinations. So we

6 would take a particular program associated with a

7 particular claimant and for a particular year,

8 and I counted those up. I think I had it in the

declaration and I won't --

.0 Q Please take a look at what's been ... marked as Exhibit 11.

A All right.

Q And I believe Exhibit 11 is a

14 declaration drafted by yourself?

A Yes

15

Q And Your Honor, I'm not going to move
to admit this, but I would like to direct -- if I
may, I'd like to direct the witness' attention to
a paragraph, simply to refresh his recollection
on a calculation he made.

JUDGE BARRETT: You may do so.

22 BY MR. BOYDSTON:

150

think about a week ago or something like that.

So let me give you an example. If you were two

3 -- because this is going to come up over and over

again probably.

If you look at the second page, you'll

see BBC Worldwide Americas. BBC Worldwide
Americas is -- for certain years has contracted

directly with the MPAA.

9 . In other 'years, it's claimed through 10° an agent, and that was actually a big issue that

11 came up and will come up here again in the

12 2000 2003 proceedings, where BBC was claimed by

13 the MFAA, but you might say that the chain of

14 agency was the MPAA represented Fintage, a Dutch

15 entity, which represented EGEDA, a Spanish

16 collection entity, which purported to represent

17 BBC.

And it's one of our exhibits, but BBC

19 had no knowledge who even Fintage was.

Q Have you calculated the percentage of

21 IPG, excuse me. Have you calculated the

22 percentage of MPAA claimants who are represented

Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a

look at page two, paragraph four, and tell me if

3 that refreshes your recollection as to the result

of that calculation?

5 A Right. The calculation I made was an

aggregate of the MPAA program claims, and again

7 this is based on the Excel spreadsheet that they

8 provided to us. There were 43,628 unique program

9 claimant year combinations.

10 Of those, 19,527 were being made by

11 agents purporting to represent the underlying

12 copyright owner. That underlying copyright

owner, then in those situations it was an

14 underlying copyright owner who had not submitted

15 any direct evidence, any offered evidence of its

16 own, indicating what program titles it was

17 making claims for or confirming the agency

18 relationship.

19 Your question was percentage, 44.75

20 percent. That was the calculation.

21 Q Thank you. Are you familiar with an

22 entity known as Screenwrites?

153 155 -- each of these would be, for instance, a program claimant year combination. So for And what is Screenwrites to your Screenwrites, there's 79 program claimant year 3 knowledge? combinations. А Screenwrites is -- and I've always It would represent the -- in the first referred to them as a quasi-governmental agency, column, the purported copyright owner I should but I know they're private, that's based in say, the title for which claims being made and Australia. They collect a variety of secondary for the particular year. So you would actually - what I would generalize as secondary rights see in that first column like, well look at the rovalties for Australia and New Zealand. first example, Avalon Films. 1.0 They also will, at your request, 11 JUDGE BARRETT: Uhh, we're just 11 collect royalties as an agent, or they're not 12 acting as an agent in that circumstance, but as 12 identifying the document, Mr. Galaz, and there's an agent in territories beyond Australia and New no question pending. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to Zealand. However, the significance to this 14 admit Exhibit 13. proceeding is that they are neither a producer 15 16 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection, as long nor a distributor of programming. They are not 17 ever a copyright owner. 17 as it's clear that MPAA didn't create this 18 You have any understanding as to document 18 19 whether or not they are an agent in these 19 MR. BOYDSTON: So stipulated. 20 proceedings? 20 MR. MACLEAN: No objection. 21 Α They have executed documents with the 21 JUDGE BARRETT: IPG 13 is admitted. 22 (Whereupon, the above-referred to document 22 MPAA representing themselves, I believe always as 154 156 was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. an agent. Nonetheless, in the Excel spreadsheet that we got from the MPAA, they're being attributed as the owner of several programs, BY MR. BOYDSTON: where the underlying actual owner has not been Mr. Galaz, can you explain to us what identified. this depicts in terms of -- well, what it MR. BOYDSTON: Please take a look at depicts, what it explains? what's been marked as Exhibit 13, and are you The first column identified, this familiar with this document? would have been a heading taken from the MPAA (Whereupon, the above-referred to Excel spreadsheet, Owner Current Agent, and 10 document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 13 for identifies the purported copyright owner. So identification.) you'll see in that first instance it says "Avalon 11 11 Films Corporation (AVCS)." THE WITNESS: Yes. 12 12 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 13 AVCS, as the heading indicates, would 1 4 be either the parent or the agent. Well, we know Did you create this document? 1.1 14 10 I created this based on the same Excel it's not a parent corporation: it's an agent. spreadsheet that I was referring to before, that AVCS stands for Audio Visual Collection Society, 16 17 was produced by the MPAA in response to the also known as Screenwrites, the entity in Australia. 114 Judge's July 30 order. 19 19 And this is a list of -- references of So in that first instance, the 20 apparent agent and the right title. Explain to 20 purported owner of the title bullet "Down Under" 21 us what this represents. 21 is Avalon Films Corporation. Its agent is AVCS.

22

This first column identifies, and this

22

If you look lower, and it was just the

. "

```
157
                                                                  by the acronym EGEDA. E-G-E-D-A?
     way it was organized, towards I think it starts
     at number 49 on page two, Program Claimant Year
                                                                              Yes. I am.
     49, you'll see that with everything from 49
                                                                              And what is that entity to your
                                                                  knowledge?
     through Item 79, the particular program for the
                                                                              EGEDA would be a comparable entity but
     particular year is attributed to Screenwrites as
                                                                        Α
                                                                  for the territory of Spain. Comparable to
     the copyright owner.
                 So and this is -- this kind of goes
                                                                   Screenwrites in Australia. They collect various
                                                                   secondary rights, royalties. It's different than
     towards my prior exhibit, when I was saying when
     you distill down the 1,500 down to actual owners,
                                                                  -- different royalties than what Screenwrites
                                                                   collects, but at the same time includes
     you'll see that this is an example where at 49 it
     says "Audio Visual Copyright Society Limited,
                                                             11
                                                                  retransmission royalties.
12
                                                              12
                                                                              In reviewing the MPAA materials
     trading as Screenwrites."
13
                 But then when you go down to 56, it
                                                             13
                                                                  provided to you, did you -- and other materials
14
     says "Screenwrites AVCS," and then you go down to
                                                                   in this proceeding, are you aware as to whether
15
     71 and it just says "Screenwrites." Those are
                                                             15
                                                                  or not EGEDA is a contracted agent with the MPAA
16
     all the same entity, the significance being here
                                                             16
                                                                  for these proceedings?
17
     at least that Screenwrites is being attributed as
                                                             17
                                                                              I know that -- no. I don't believe
     the owner of these particular programs, which no
                                                                  that they've actually contracted directly with
18
                                                             18
                                                                  the MPAA. I think in each circumstance, they
19
     possible way that they could actually be the
                                                             19
                                                                  have contracted through Fintage as the Dutch
20
     owner. They could only be an agent, and the
                                                             20
21
    underlying agent isn't identified.
                                                             21
                                                                  entity.
```

158

Look at on that page two, Item 65, it

160

```
says "Owner Screenwrites AVCS," and then the
     program is "Outdoorsman with Buck McNeely." Are
     you familiar with the program "Outdoorsman with
     Buck McNeely"?
           Α
                 Yes, I'm very familiar with it.
                And how are you familiar with it?
                 Buck Neely and his company, Timberwolf
     Productions, was one of our earliest clients.
     He's out of St. Louis. It's an outdoor hunting
10
11
                 Is that Timberwolf still a claimant.
    an IPG claimant?
12
1.3
                Yes, and they submitted -- we'll get
     to it. They submitted a declaration here.
1.1
                 Have you discussed with Mr. McNeely or
     his staff the fact that Screenwrites has listed
     him in certain documents in this proceeding?
18
19
                 And what is -- what did he tell you?
20
                 What he informed me is he has
21
    absolutely no idea who Screenwrites is.
```

Are you familiar with an entity known

agent with the MPAA, for the MPAA to collect Fintage House properties, is what you're saying? That's correct. But then what you're saying is in turn, Fintage House has contracted with EGEDA? Correct. So Fintage is an agent, not an earner, not a distributor. EGEDA is an agent, 8 not an owner or distributor. 9 MR. BOYDSTON: Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 16, and tell if 10 you're familiar with that? 11 12 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 1.3 document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 16 for THE WITNESS: Sixteen? BY MR. BOYDSTON: 16 17 18 Okay. 19 0 And are you familiar with that 20 document? 21 Δ Yes, I am.

And did you create that document?

So Fintage House has contracted as an

22

0

22

```
This should have been 385 program year
                 Yes. I did.
                                                                   combinations identified in 16, and when I went to
                 And what did you create it from?
                 Again, this was created from the Excel
                                                                    the end of 16 here, mine stops at 351. So it
                                                                    appears that there may be a missing page.
     spreadsheet that was produced by the MPAA
                                                                                MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, mine goes
     pursuant to the Judge's July 30 order this year.
                                                                    all the way through to 385, but does anyone
                And so from looking at that
     information, you're able to see upon what
                                                                                MS. PLOVNICK: Mine ends at 351.
     entities Fintage House is making claim; correct?
                                                                                MR. MACLEAN: Yes, at the bottom of
                And was one of those EGEDA?
                                                                    page eight.
                EGEDA was one of the parties on whose
                                                               11
                                                                                MR. BOYDSTON: Well, unfortunately it
     behalf Fintage House was making claim.
                                                               12
                                                                    appears that page nine has flown the coop. Mine
12
13
                And then did that information also
                                                               13
14
     display upon whose behalf EGEDA was making claim?
                                                               14
                                                                                JUDGE BARRETT: Could you provide that
11,
                Actually, I think that it went back
                                                               15
                                                                   to all of us then please, Mr. Boydston?
                                                                                MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor, I
16
    and forth. In some circumstances, at least in
                                                               16
                                                                   will.
17
     these circumstances that are identified in this
                                                              17
     particular Exhibit 16, these are all
                                                                                BY MR. BOYDSTON:
1 8
                                                               18
    circumstances in which EGEDA has also been
                                                                                Mr. Galaz, I think you may have just
19
                                                              19
    attributed as the copyright owner of these
                                                              20
                                                                    said this, but I want to clarify. Based upon
20
    particular programs for these particular years.
                                                                   what I see in this chart, it appears that what
21
          Q Now you say that EGEDA to your
                                                                   you're reflecting is that EGEDA is purporting to
```

161

162

164

```
knowledge functioned like Screenwrites did, but
     only in Spain, i.e., collecting royalties, not
     producing movies and TV shows; is that correct?
                 I know for a fact that they're not an
     owner or distributor of programming.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, we've got
    a little bit past it, but I'd like to move to
     admit Exhibit 16.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: With the same
     clarification, that MPAA didn't create this
11
     document, we have no objection.
12
                 MR. MACLEAN: No objection.
13
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Sixteen is admitted.
14
                 (Whereupon, the above-referred to
15
    document was received into evidence as IPG
16
    Exhibit No. 16.)
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
17
18
                 Thank you, Your Honor.
19
                 I would make a qualification of 16,
    though, and I only know because I'm looking at,
21
    to refresh my recollection paragraph six of what
```

would have been my declaration.

```
be the owner of these individual programs; is
     that correct?
           Α
                 That's correct.
                 And I think you just testified that
     you know of your own personal knowledge that's
     not the case?
 6
           Α
                 Correct.
                 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, relating to
 9
     this exhibit, I was just checking as Mr. Boydston
     said that, to see if I have the last page of my
     exhibit, and mine goes through page eight. But
     in following page eight, there's another in my
     copy a notice, as part of the same exhibit, and
     it appears to be actually the next exhibit in
15
     order, or identical to the next exhibit in order,
     IPG P-17.
16
17
                 So I just wanted to say that I had
18
     understood the only thing being admitted into
19
     evidence was the Excel spreadsheet and not these
20
     additional pages following the Excel spreadsheet.
     if it's in their binder.
21
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Well actually that's
```

165 167 MS. PLOVNICK: I also do not. our intent. THE WITNESS: That may be Exhibit 17. THE WITNESS: Ma'am, if I could MR. BOYDSTON: Yeah. clarify, and maybe it's included with these. I MR. MACLEAN: My copy also has an just noticed the declaration I was looking at, Exhibit 17, which appears identical. So if it's which is 11. Page nine of what should be 16 is all right with you, I'll just take it out. at the end of my page -- at the end of my MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. exhibit. MR. MACLEAN: So if anything, I won't MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I bother anybody with it. 9 approach? 10 10 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Mr. JUDGE BARRETT: You may. 11 MR. MACLEAN: It's in mine too, Your 11 Boydston. 12 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you. Please take Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: Yeah, there it is. 13 a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 14, and 13 14 (Pause.) 14 by its -- on its face, this appears to be a 15 MR. BOYDSTON: Ahh, I see. So with 15 declaration of Mr. McNeely. Are you familiar with this declaration? 16 the Court's permission, maybe I'll move that page 16 17 17 (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 14 for 1.8 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. I'll ask the 18 19 Clerk to make a note that the last -- what is 19 identification.) currently the last page behind Tab 11 should be THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. 20 20 21 the last page behind Tab 16. BY MR. BOYDSTON: 21 22 22 MR. BOYDSTON: I'll observe the And did you discuss the topics therein 166 168 individual who I believe is responsible for that with Mr. McNeely? is trying to give birth to her second child a 2 Yes, I did. week from Friday, and has become a little And again, when you did discuss this forgetful. with Mr. McNeely, Screenwrites with Mr. McNeely, JUDGE BARRETT: No, Mr. Boydston. If what was the nature of his comments and your office put these together, you are the conversation? individual who's responsible. А It was whether -- my question was MR. BOYDSTON: Absolutely, I was only whether he had any familiarity with Screenwrites or AVCS, Audio Visual Collection Society. He y joking. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, this is part communicated he had none. 10 10 11 of everybody else's exhibit binder. Are we also 11 I indicated that they were being removing the pages following the Excel 12 attributed as the owner of The Outdoorsman, and 12 13 spreadsheet, that in my copy it says Exhibit 7, 13 McNeely asked if he had any familiarity as to why and then there's a page that says "Notice 14 this would be the case. He said he never heard 14 Regarding Representation of BBC Worldwide of Screenwrites or AVCS before, and that's why he 16 Americas," none of which appear to be part of the willingly provided this declaration. 17 17 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to MR. BOYDSTON: Those would need to go 18 move Exhibit 14 into evidence.

19

20

21

22

to the next exhibit.

notice as part of 16.

JUDGE BARRETT: I do not have that

MR. MACLEAN: Okay. That might be --

10

20

21

22

MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, we have an

objection to numbered paragraph three, especially the particular sentence that says "I have

reviewed the complete program claims at hearing

171 169 this document? between my represented entity and other claimants" and it goes on. 2 (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 15 for 3 There's no documents attached to this declaration. So we do not have a copy of what identification.) THE WITNESS: Yes. I am. It appears exactly was reviewed by Mr. McNeely, and it was 5 to be a declaration by Jennifer Valle. not produced to us. BY MR. BOYDSTON: And so we object, that this is an Who is Jennifer Valle, if you know? incomplete document, and it also has prejudiced She's an employee with Lawrence Welk our ability to prepare our rebuttal, in that we 9 Α Syndication. 10 10 don't know exactly what the programs being And does IPG have a connection with reviewed are, other than what is referenced in 11 0 11 12 paragraph four. Otherwise, we don't have a list. Lawrence Welk Syndication? MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I think We are representing claimant. 13 Did you discuss certain matters that goes to weight rather than admissibility, 14 and by way of illustration, I would remind the regarding these proceedings with Ms. Valle? Yes, and specifically that the program Court that a number of the IPG, excuse me, none of the MPAA exhibits which -- or declarations 17 "From the Heart" was being claimed by AVCS as rather, which have been admitted, referred to well. 18 misrepresentations made by IPG, with no further 19 Q When you say "AVCS," do you mean 20 detail, no attachments, nothing at all. 20 Screenwrites? 21 I didn't object to admissibility on 21 Α Correct. 22 And what was Ms. Valle's reaction to those, because I thought it was admissible. It Ω 170 172 simply went to there weight. If it's not described, it's not described, and I think that's Same as Mr. McNeely's. They didn't up to the reader to make a judgment on it, and know who Screenwrites was, and offered actually not exclude the statement in toto. the declaration or to testify about it. MS. PLOVNICK: If I may respond, all MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to of MPAA's affidavits had attachments. move Exhibit 15 into evidence. MR. BOYDSTON: But they did not --MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I'd just 8 there's no explanation in the declaration as to renew the same objection for the record, 9 what misrepresentations were made, and none of regarding the language in numbered paragraph those attachments identified the 10 10 three. Otherwise, I have no objection. 11 misrepresentation. 11 MR. MACLEAN: No objection, Your JUDGE BARRETT: Well, just based on 12 12 Honor, 13 the reading of this, the objection's overruled. 13 JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 15 is Exhibit --14 admitted. 14 15 MR. BOYDSTON: Fourteen. 15 (Whereupon, the above-referred to JUDGE BARRETT: Fourteen is admitted. document was received into evidence as IPG 16 17 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 17 Exhibit No. 15.) 18 document was received into evidence as IPG MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 19 Exhibit No. 14.) Please take a look at what's been marked Exhibit 20 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 17, and tell me if you're familiar with this? 20 Please take a look at what's been marked as 21 21 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 22 Exhibit 15, and tell me are you familiar with 22 document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 17 for

175 173 represent EGEDA, also an agent. identification.) JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry, has it been 2 THE WITNESS: I am. offered and admitted? We're still getting into BY MR. BOYDSTON: the content. And it purports to be a "Notice MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry, Your Honor. Regarding Representation of BBC Worldwide Sometimes I think we need more, perhaps more Americas." It's executed by a Mr. Vernon Chiu. punishment than we do. Your Honor, I'd like to Has IPG entered into any contracts with BBC Worldwide Americas? move Exhibit 17 into evidence. MS. PLOVNICK: I have an objection to Yes. we did. Exhibit 17. Actually, I have two. First is And has IPG filed claims on behalf of BCC Worldwide Americas? 11 relevance, because this is -- appears to be related solely to 2000 and 2003 cable royalties. Yes, we have, 12 And did you discuss this declaration 13 Second is that it references an attached Exhibit A that my copy does not have. with Mr. Chiu? 14 15 Yes. This was actually -- not only 15 The majority of the document is about the 16 16 was a declaration, but it was actually filed in attached Exhibit A, which is not included in 17 the court record in the 2000 and 2003 17 Exhibit IPG 17, and so it is incomplete. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I object on 18 proceedings, when it was revealed that there was 18 19 also being -- there was also a claim being made 19 the grounds of completeness. My copy also does not include an Exhibit A. as referenced in the 20 on behalf of the BBC by MPAA. 20 21 21 declaration. This is for calendar years 2000 and 2003. So this was not an instance in which BBC MR. BOYDSTON: Mine does not either. 174 176 had contracted directly with the MPAA. It was JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit, I'm sorry, is rather a claim purportedly on behalf of BBC being this 17? Exhibit 17 is not admitted at this made by agents. time, for reasons of incompleteness. But if it When we looked further into it, it was was in fact filed in the prior proceeding, I revealed that the sequence -think it would be possible to make it complete. MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay. But So I won't foreclose that possibility. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. also I believe he's getting into the content of this declaration and the content of ---Given that possibility, may I ask a few more 8 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, sustained. 9 questions of the witness? BY MR. BOYDSTON: JUDGE BARRETT: You may. 10 10 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 11 Thank you, Your Honor. This is dated 11 May 17th, 2013. Did you discuss the content of 12 12 Do you know --1.3 this with Mr. Chiu in or around that time? 13 I'm sorry. I was actually still Yes, I did. responding. 14 14 15 And did you explain to Mr. Chiu what Please continue. 16 you were just saying a minute ago, that based on The question that was -your review of certain records, that it appeared MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor --17 that BRC Worldwide was being represented in part 18 JUDGE BARRETT: Part of your objection 18 by EGEDA? was noted, and that's also granted. Ask a 10 19 2:0 Correct. The sequence of events was 20 question, Mr. Boydston. 21 that the MPAA was representing with an agent 21 BY MR. BOYDSTON: heading, branded with Fintage. Was purporting to Oh, I didn't remember his objection. 22 Q

177 179 of drafting a declaration such as what would be I think I asked you about whether or not you seen in this limited format here? communicated to Mr. Chiu that your view of the Are you talking about this one or for Α records with regard to the 2000-2003 proceeding this proceeding? included, or had -- there was information in I'm talking about this one. those records that demonstrated that EGEDA was making claims for BBC; is that correct? Yes. I spoke to him about this. He was willing to execute a declaration to clarify There was -the rights and given, I think it was actually his MR. MACLEAN: Objection, leading. JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled this time. suggestion, that it actually be filed as part of THE WITNESS: There was evidence that the record, which is why it ended up being EGEDA was making claim for the BBC, that Fintage 11 submitted by --. was making claim on behalf of EGEDA, and then 12 Now obviously this is a different 12 Fintage was the party that contracted with the 13 proceeding than what this was originally created 14 14 for. To your knowledge, in the proceedings that 15 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 15 we're in now, for the years we're in now, do you know whether or not EGEDA has also claimed in 10 When you explained that to Mr. Chiu, 16 this proceeding -- made claims on behalf of BBC? 1.7 what was his reaction? 17 They had no idea who Fintage is. I know that they've actually withdrawn 18 18 And did you discuss -- and Mr. Chiu, 19 19 Okay. Do you recall receiving this what is his position at BBC? 211 20 document complete with the Exhibit A at any time : 1 А General counsel. 21 And that sounds like he's an attorney. from Mr. Chiu? 27 178 180 Is it your understanding he's an attorney? Yes, and that's what ultimately got Yes, it is. filed with the -- actually, I think BBC filed it And prior to that time, had you spoken directly themselves, but I've got a copy before or communicated before to Mr. Chiu? somewhere with the attachment. Thank you. Now in your review of the Δ I've communicated several times with Mr. Chiu over the last decade. MPAA material that was provided to you, have you In part, were some of those observed any instances in which the MPAA was communications to obtain information to file attributing that it represented broadcasters, as 8 claims on behalf of BBC? opposed to an agent or anyone else, with 9 The best part of it. He was actually ownership of certain programming? 1υ 10 the individual who we originally spoke with when 11 11 Several circumstances. they contracted with IPG. 12 MR. BOYDSTON: Let me ask you to take. 12 13 Did Mr. Chiu, when you had those 13 a look at what's been marked Exhibit 18. communications with him prior to this time (Whereupon, the above-referred to 14 document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 18 for period, appear to have a command of this 15 information, as far as you could tell? 16 identification.) 17 He did have a command of the 17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 information, and just so I am clear about this, 18 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 19 the contract that we entered into with BBC 19 This appears to be a declaration by Heather Cochran. Do you know who Heather Cochran 20 doesn't distinguish between cable and satellite. 20 21 It's cable and satellite retransmission --. 21

Did you discuss with Mr. Chiu the idea

22

22

Yes, she's an employee. I think she's

184

181

1 the I think they actually say here that she -2 I think she's the chief financial officer for the
3 Academy of Television Arts and Sciences.
4 Q And does IPG have a relationship with
5 the Academy of Television Arts and Sciences?
6 A Yes, a long-time client claimant we've
7 represented since 1998 maybe.
9 Q And did you -- in reviewing the
9 materials provided by the MPAA, did you see
10 anything in those materials referencing the
11 Academy of Television Arts and Sciences, in
12 connection with any broadcaster?
13 A Well, did I see anything with regard

to ATAS, yes. ATAS has basically just one

program, it's the Emmy Awards, and sometimes in

Emmy Awards, dot Emmy Awards, Emmy Awards dot.

any given particular year. I saw within the

MPAA data reference to the fact that they were

attributing ownership to four different entities

in four different years. In the other years,

the daily we have it. It shows up as the awards,

But it's basically the Emmy Awards for

show the Emmy Awards as Mr. Galaz just testified,
 Prime Time Emmy Awards.

MPAA is not claiming the title "Prime

Time Emmy Awards" or "Emmy Awards," if you look

5 through Ms. Saunders' Appendix B to her cable and

6 satellite testimony, it's not listed.

We checked for the ones that are
listed and that are listed here in paragraph five

9 of this declaration.

JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry. Ms.

11 Plovnick, what's the legal basis of your

12 objection?

MS. PLOVNICK: So this is irrelevant,

Your Honor, because there is no claim being made

15 $\,$ in this proceeding by MPAA for the title "Prime

16 Time Emmy Awards" or Emmy Awards Prime Time.

18 Boydston?

17

MR. BOYDSTON: May I establish that by

JUDGE BARRETT: The relevance, Mr.

20 questions?

JUDGE BARRETT: You may question the

2 witness.

182

they were not making claim for it.

2 One year it was ABC, one year it was

 ${\tt 3}$ ${\tt CBS},$ one year it was NBC, another year it was

4 Content International, and I think that was

5 actually vis-a-vis an agent. Content

6 International is not even a broadcaster. That's

actually the foreign distributor of the Emmy

8 Awards.

14

15

16

17

18

15

20

21

9 Q And did that surprise you?

10 A Very much it surprised ATAS' -- the

11 Academy of Televison Arts and Sciences as well.

MR. MACLEAN: Objection, Objection.

Objection first of all as to relevance of Mr.

14 Galaz's surprise. Objection second of all to Mr.

Galaz's speculation as to whether somebody else

16 was surprised.

MS. PLOVNICK: I have another

18 objection just in general to this --

JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained, Mr.

20 MacLean. You want to put yours on the record?

MS. PLOVNICK: Yeah, I do. This

 $\,$ 22 $\,$ affidavit, as I understand it, is regarding the

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

Q Mr. Galaz, what was the basis -- did

3 you have a belief that in fact the MPAA was

making claim for this?

5 A Yes. Based on the Excel spreadsheet

6 that was provided to us, which all this was based

on, it indicated that Emmy Awards was being

8 claimed by the MPAA for the years that I -- I

9 should say the years that ultimately were

11 Specifically, that for calendar year

12 2000, it was being attributed to American

13 Broadcasting Companies; for 2001, CBS

Broadcasting Inc.; for 2002, NBC Universal, Inc.;

5 and for 2009, Content Film International.

I can't attest, because I haven't gone

17 back to look, as to what appears in the written

18 direct statement, the appendix to Ms. Saunders'

19 declaration. But I can attest to what appeared

within the Excel spreadsheet that was produced by

21 the MPAA pursuant to the Judge's July 30, 2014

22 order.

```
MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd submit
that it's relevant. It may be a question of
evidence as to whether or not such claim is in
fact made. There seems to be information on both
sides of the matter.

MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, the written
direct statement lists, you know, Jane Saunders'
testimony that was filed as part of our written
direct statement, has our title list. Those are
the titles that we are claiming.

The fact that a title may have been on
```

The fact that a title may have been on
a certification and was stricken out at some
point, if it was, we're not claiming it. So I
think it's not relevant.

MR. BOYDSTON: The last thing I'll say
to is this is exactly the same situation as what we

is this is exactly the same situation as what we
were attacked with, with regard to A&E
Television. Yes, it may have been on some of our

19 claims. We did not include it in our written -- $_{\rm 200}$ $\,$ in our rebuttal statement.

21 And yet that evidence came in anyway, 22 in support of certain points that MPAA is trying 187

JUDGE BARRETT: Well, Ms. Plovnick is

2 making a record of her objection to the exhibit.

3 MS. PLOVNICK: And the line of

questioning.

5 JUDGE BARRETT: And the line of

6 questioning.

7 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I don't

8 believe the exhibit has been offered into

evidence.

10 MR. BOYDSTON: No, I have not gotten

11 there.

12 JUDGE BARRETT: To the line of

13 questioning, that one is sustained.

14 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to

15 move Exhibit 18 into evidence.

MS. PLOVNICK: Renew my objection,

17 Your Honor.

18 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. Exhibit 18

19 is rejected.

20 (Whereupon, the above-referred to

21 document identified as IPG Exhibit No. 18 was

22 rejected.)

186

to make. I submit this should come in. It's the

exact same scenario, to try to support the point

that we're making, which is that the MPAA is

sometimes representing MDs that claim to have

Now granted, they're not pushing it
here just like we weren't pushing A&E. But to
the extent they can bring in evidence that at one
point in time we claimed A&E, we can bring in
evidence at one point in time they claimed the
Emmys.

MS. PLOVNICK: We have never claimed
these titles in this proceeding at all, unlike
A&E, which they did have on a list.

If I believe it is possible I lost the thread of
this conversation. However, my recollection is
that the initial objection was to a question as
to whether Mr. Galaz was surprised.

MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I apologize.

20 (Simultaneous speaking.)

21 MR. MACLEAN: Now I'm not clear on

what is exactly being objected to.

188

MS. PLOVNICK: I'm sorry. Can you

2 repeat that?

10

3 JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 18 is

rejected. Or excuse me, refused is the

5 appropriate word, I believe.

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

Q Are you aware of other broadcaster,

8 broadcasters that have attributed ownership to

9 various programs that you believe is incorrect?

that what I'm relying on is the Excel spreadsheet

Well, prefacing my response by saying

12 that was provided by the MPAA, that was

purporting to indicate which programs were being

14 claimed and associated with a particular owner

and agent for a particular year, I would say yes.

16 But that would be the same situation

17 as with regard to the Emmy Awards. When I

18 reviewed the spreadsheet, the Excel spreadsheet

19 that was provided electronically because it's

20 voluminous, I found numerous circumstances in

21 which the MPAA was making claim for programs that

22 I know firsthand are being represented by IPG,

189

```
pursuant to an agreement with an IPG-represented
claimant, and in circumstances where those
individuals in some cases hadn't even been
```

broadcast by the particular network.

Q Let's stop for a second, for a little clarification of the spreadsheet that we've been talking about. Once again, where did you obtain this or who gave it to you?

PA The MPAA provided it. In the -- the problem is that in the MPAA written direct statement, it indicates, and it's about a 100 page-long document, that's the appendix in this Jane Saunders' testimony, it says "We're making

14 claim for all these programs."

16 And it's not just on their page, which

16 is I think four columns in very small type and so

17 forth; it's literally thousands of programs. But

18 it doesn't indicate on that appendix who the

19 claimant is, on whose behalf the MPAA is making

20 the claim, or whether it's an agent or whether

21 it's actually the owner, whoever. Doesn't make

with ABC, CBS, etcetera, was for this proceeding; correct?

2 proceeding; correct:

A That's correct. So it's -JUDGE BARRETT: Excuse me. Ms.

5 Plovnick, I thought you represented to the court 6 moments ago that MPAA had never filed a claim or

7 was not claiming or representing a claimant?

8 MS. PLOVNICK: We are not, and if you

9 look at our written direct testimony, and it's

.0 Saunders Exhibit, I think it's B is the title

list for cable and satellite, the Prime Time Emmy

Awards is not there. That is our testimony.

We did produce electronic copies of

that to them pursuant to the Judge's order. So they have it in paper and they have it in

16 electronic copy. We also, as Ms. Saunders

17 testified, created an Excel workbook to digitize

18 the certifications, which as you see have a lot

of handwritten notes on them, and we can't

capture handwritten notes in that way, although

21 we could try.

20

But so what we've got is a file that

190

We requested and discovered that

information; it was denied. We moved to compel

3 it, and the Judges said, of course, you know, you
4 have - ir you're acting as an agent, you have to

identify which claimant, on whose behalf you're

making the program claim.

Pursuant to the order of July 30th,
2014, the Judges ordered the MPAA to then provide
to us an Excel spreadsheet that information, that
specifically identifies the underlying owner, the
agent, the program and the year for which the

12 claim is being made. So we have all that

13 information.

That's the information from which I derived all of this. That's the information from

16 where I derived, for example, that the Emmy

17 Awards has been claimed by the MPAA for four

years and on whose behalf. So to the extent --

Q Just to clarify if I may, let me ask a question. Just to clarify, this is in this

21 proceeding. So in other words, the information

22 you were given by the MPAA connecting the Emmys

192

was created, pursuant to the Judge's order, to

help assist them with dealing with the paper

3 documents that were produced to them already,

4 which were voluminous and link up each MPAA

5 claimant with the titles that they had certified

6 and had all those notes on them.

7 But what I was representing to you is

in this proceeding, we have never claimed the
Prime Time Emmy Awards, because it's not in the

10 list of titles that we submitted as a part of our

11 written direct statement.

MR. BOYDSTON: The problem is it's in

13 this thing they gave us pursuant to discovery.

Now it may be there was a mistake on their part, but if so, we should be able to get it into

evidence, to explain that there's been a mistake.

17 MS. PLOVNICK: It's an Excel workbook

and you saw, looking at the ones that were

19 already admitted, they're handwritten notes and

20 sometimes they strike through and things like

21 that.

22 JUDGE STRICKLER: Is that information

][100	ĺ	195
	193		
1	on the in the Excel workbook, the one the	1	marked as Exhibit 20, and it purports to be a
2	witness is testifying to?	2	declaration b Andre Fair. Are you familiar with
3	MS. PLOVNICK: To the extent that	3	Mr. Fair?
-1	to the extent it can be, but	4	(Whereupon, the above-referred to
- 5	JUDGE STRICKLER: Well, I'm not asking	5	document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 20 for
6	for can be. He said it is, and you're apparently	6	identification.)
7	saying it is not. Are you fact saying he's	7	THE WITNESS: Yes, I am.
,	wrong, it's not in any document you've provided	8	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
2	in discovery?	9	Q And do you know what entity he works
10	MS. PLOVNICK: We have paper documents	10	with?
11	that have all of that in there.	11	A He works with Willie Wilson
12	JUDGE STRICKLER: So it is in the	12	Productions. He's an executive there.
1.3	discovery?	13	Q And does IPG have a relationship with
1-1	MS. PLOVNICK: It is provided in	14	Willie Wilson Productions?
15	discovery, absolutely Your Honor. But it's in	15	A Yes. We've been representing them for
16	discovery, but the title was not claimed by us.	16	several years.
17	It is not being claimed by us. It may have been	17	Q And are you familiar with this
1×	on a certification as not being claimed, but we	18	declaration?
15	are not well pursuing a claim.	19	A Yes, I am.
24	JUDGE BARRETT: What the witness is	20	Q Did you discuss this declaration with
21	testifying is that it was on a spreadsheet. Now	21	Mr. Fair?
22	Mr. Galaz, was it on a spreadsheet that lined out	22	A Yes, I have.
		 	
11	194		196
1	194	1	
1 2		1 2	Q And in what context did this come up?
1 2 3	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and		Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the
2	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not	2	Q And in what context did this come up?
2	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and	3	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that
2 3 4	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or	3 4	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to
3 4	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no.	2 3 4 5	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar
2 3 4 5	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no.	2 3 4 5	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000.
2 3 4 5 6	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined	2 3 4 5 6	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000. Q And when you brought that to Mr.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined out? Do you know or you don't recall?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000. Q And when you brought that to Mr. Wilson, or not Mr. Wilson. When you brought that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined out? Do you know or you don't recall? MS. PLOVNICK: I do not. I do not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000. Q And when you brought that to Mr. Wilson, or not Mr. Wilson. When you brought that to Mr. Fair's attention, what was his response?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined out? Do you know or you don't recall? MS. PLOVNICK: I do not. I do not know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000. Q And when you brought that to Mr. Wilson, or not Mr. Wilson. When you brought that to Mr. Fair's attention, what was his response? A That it was absolutely
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined out? Do you know or you don't recall? MS. PLOVNICK: I do not. I do not know. THE WITNESS: I have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000. Q And when you brought that to Mr. Wilson, or not Mr. Wilson. When you brought that to Mr. Fair's attention, what was his response? A That it was absolutely MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay, his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined out? Do you know or you don't recall? MS. PLOVNICK: I do not. I do not know. THE WITNESS: I have MR. BOYDSTON: There's no question	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000. Q And when you brought that to Mr. Wilson, or not Mr. Wilson. When you brought that to Mr. Fair's attention, what was his response? A That it was absolutely MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay, his response.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined out? Do you know or you don't recall? MS. PLOVNICK: I do not. I do not know. THE WITNESS: I have MR. BOYDSTON: There's no question pending. Your Honor, I renew my offer or my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000. Q And when you brought that to Mr. Wilson, or not Mr. Wilson. When you brought that to Mr. Fair's attention, what was his response? A That it was absolutely MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay, his response. MR. BOYDSTON: It is, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 14	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined out? Do you know or you don't recall? MS. PLOVNICK: I do not. I do not know. THE WITNESS: I have MR. BOYDSTON: There's no question pending. Your Honor, I renew my offer or my request to admit Exhibit 18.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000. Q And when you brought that to Mr. Wilson, or not Mr. Wilson. When you brought that to Mr. Fair's attention, what was his response? A That it was absolutely MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay, his response. MR. BOYDSTON: It is, Your Honor. There's no question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 14	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined out? Do you know or you don't recall? MS. PLOVNICK: I do not. I do not know. THE WITNESS: I have MR. BOYDSTON: There's no question pending. Your Honor, I renew my offer or my request to admit Exhibit 18. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 18 is going to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000. Q And when you brought that to Mr. Wilson, or not Mr. Wilson. When you brought that to Mr. Fair's attention, what was his response? A That it was absolutely MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay, his response. MR. BOYDSTON: It is, Your Honor. There's no question. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 14 14 15	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined out? Do you know or you don't recall? MS. PLOVNICK: I do not. I do not know. THE WITNESS: I have MR. BOYDSTON: There's no question pending. Your Honor, I renew my offer or my request to admit Exhibit 18. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 18 is going to be admitted. We're going to have to eventually	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A It was in the context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000. Q And when you brought that to Mr. Wilson, or not Mr. Wilson. When you brought that to Mr. Fair's attention, what was his response? A That it was absolutely MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay, his response. MR. BOYDSTON: It is, Your Honor. There's no question. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 14 16 16	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined out? Do you know or you don't recall? MS. PLOVNICK: I do not. I do not know. THE WITNESS: I have MR. BOYDSTON: There's no question pending. Your Honor, I renew my offer or my request to admit Exhibit 18. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 18 is going to be admitted. We're going to have to eventually slog through this apparently, so go ahead.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000. Q And when you brought that to Mr. Wilson, or not Mr. Wilson. When you brought that to Mr. Fair's attention, what was his response? A That it was absolutely MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay, his response. MR. BOYDSTON: It is, Your Honor. There's no question. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 20 be admitted.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 16 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined out? Do you know or you don't recall? MS. PLOVNICK: I do not. I do not know. THE WITNESS: I have MR. BOYDSTON: There's no question pending. Your Honor, I renew my offer or my request to admit Exhibit 18. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 18 is going to be admitted. We're going to have to eventually slog through this apparently, so go ahead. (Whereupon, the above-referred to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A It was in the context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000. Q And when you brought that to Mr. Wilson, or not Mr. Wilson. When you brought that to Mr. Fair's attention, what was his response? A That it was absolutely MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay, his response. MR. BOYDSTON: It is, Your Honor. There's no question. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 20 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: We have no objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 14 16 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined out? Do you know or you don't recall? MS. PLOVNICK: I do not. I do not know. THE WITNESS: I have MR. BOYDSTON: There's no question pending. Your Honor, I renew my offer or my request to admit Exhibit 18. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 18 is going to be admitted. We're going to have to eventually slog through this apparently, so go ahead. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A It was in the context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000. Q And when you brought that to Mr. Wilson, or not Mr. Wilson. When you brought that to Mr. Fair's attention, what was his response? A That it was absolutely MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay, his response. MR. BOYDSTON: It is, Your Honor. There's no question. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 20 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: We have no objection. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, may I voir
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 14 14 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, and that's not JUDGE BARRETT: I know, it's a yes or no. THE WITNESS: Absolutely not, no. JUDGE STRICKLER: Was it lined out? Do you know or you don't recall? MS. PLOVNICK: I do not. I do not know. THE WITNESS: I have MR. BOYDSTON: There's no question pending. Your Honor, I renew my offer or my request to admit Exhibit 18. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 18 is going to be admitted. We're going to have to eventually slog through this apparently, so go ahead. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 18.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q And in what context did this come up? A It was in the context of again, the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet, indicating that the program Singsation was being attributed to CBS Broadcasting, for I think it was calendar year 2000. Q And when you brought that to Mr. Wilson, or not Mr. Wilson. When you brought that to Mr. Fair's attention, what was his response? A That it was absolutely MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay, his response. MR. BOYDSTON: It is, Your Honor. There's no question. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 20 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: We have no objection. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, may I voir dire?

197 199 witness finish answering. BY MR. MACLEAN: JUDGE BARRETT: It was, I think, a yes 0 Mr. Galaz, do you personally know or no answer. So he doesn't need to say anymore Andre Fair? No. Well I mean from the standpoint А BY MR. MACLEAN: of have I'ever met him in person? No. How have you communicated with Andre Who drafted it? Who drafted this Fair? declaration? Well, we certainly sent out the form Through several people at Willie Wilson Productions that we periodically deal after speaking with them. So I would say that the initial draft was ours. If you'll give me a with, and he's one of them. What is -- do you know what position 11 moment, I'll tell you whether anything was --And when you say "it was ours," do you 12 Mr. Fair has with Willie Wilson Productions? 12 13 My understanding was he was an 13 mean it was vours? I'd say it was initially drafted by 14 executive. The initial person we were dealing 14 15 with was Roxanne Jackson, then Mr. Wilson and 15 TPG. they directed us to have Mr. Fair execute this 0 By who in IPG? 16 16 17 particular declaration. 17 That would be me. My question was do you have an 18 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I object on 18 understanding as to what position -the basis of hearsay and also foundation. 19 19 20 I don't have a firsthand knowledge of There's no foundation in this declaration 20 what his position is with Willie Wilson establishing Mr. Fair's basis for knowledge for 21 21 Productions. what he says. That's my objection. 200 1 In the declaration, he says "I am an MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, he says authorized representative." Do you know what he's an authorized representative. That authority he has as a representative of Willie indicates that he is representing that he is Wilson Productions? authorized to represent the company in this MR. BOYDSTON: The person who's context and make these statements. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, being authorized. authorized to say something doesn't mean you're MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I object to saying something that you have personal that. 9 MR. BOYDSTON: I apologize. 9 knowledge. Withdrawn. 10 JUDGE BARRETT: Except for the 10 11 JUDGE BARRETT: It's stricken. preceding paragraph says "I have personal 12 knowledge." 12 THE WITNESS: Do I know exactly the --13 MR. MACLEAN: But without foundation 13 I'm sorry, what his position is or --14 for how he has personal knowledge. 1.1 BY MR. MACLEAN: I'm just asking if you know in what 15 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Exhibit 20 is 1°. 16 capacity he is an authorized representative? 16 admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to 17 No. I just know that Willie Wilson 17 1# and other individuals I've dealt with --18 document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 20.) You don't know. 19 1., 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION (resumed) Can I finish? 20 21 BY MR. BOYDSTON: Well my question --21 22 Did you ask Mr. Fair whether or not 22 MR. BOYDSTON: You need to let the

201 203 CRE Broadcasting was authorized to make claim on recall? Was it this spreadsheet that was produced pursuant to discovery, or other material behalf in these matters? if you know? Yes. Well yes, certainly that. But --What did he say? 0 He said that they had --That meaning? MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay. I'm sorry. It was the Excel spreadsheet that the MPAA produced. It indicated MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, it is hearsay, and it's merely what's in the -- I can have him read that a broadcaster was being attributed ownership what's in here. I think -of this particular program by AFI, that was (Simultaneous speaking.) 10 authored by AFI and owned by AFI. 11 JUDGE BARRETT: Well, if the 11 Did you obtain the documents that comprise Exhibit 21 off the Copyright Office's 12 declaration is admitted into evidence and all Mr. 12 13 Galaz is going to do is to tell us what's in the 13 Internet site? declaration, I think it's completely unnecessary. 14 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to 15 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 move that Exhibit 21 be admitted. I understand. Please take a look at what's been 16 16 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor. I mean I 17 marked as Exhibit 21, and are you -- you 17 understand what that document is? 18 think we have an objection to this. I mean this is -- it is just a search. It's a Copyright 19 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 19 20 document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 21 for Office record. So it's really a public record. 20 identification.) MR. MACLEAN: No objection. THE WITNESS: Yes, it is. Yes, I do, JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 21 is 202 204 1 I'm sorry. admitted. 2 BY MR. BOYDSTON: (Whereupon, the above-referred to 3 And what is it? document was received into evidence as IPG This is a printout from the online Exhibit No. 21.) records of the U.S. Copyright Office, identifying 5 MR. BOYDSTON: Please take a look at 6 the copyright claimant to the title "AFI Life what's been marked as Exhibit 22, and tell me if Achievement Award: A Tribute to Barbara you are familiar with that document? Streisand, an AFI Production." (Whereupon, the above-referred to And what does say in it to that document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 22 for 10 effect? 11 It indicates that American Film THE WITNESS: That's a comparable 12 Institute is the copyright claimant to that document for the title "DragonBall Z." 13 particular work. BY MR. BOYDSTON: 14 Now have you seen any representations And does -- DragonBall Z's the title 15 in the MPAA materials to the contrary of that? 15 of a program; correct? 16 Yes, I have, and I'm trying to recall 16 А Yes. 17 which broadcaster it was off the top of my head, 17 And do you know who owns DragonBall Z? 18 that made claim to that particular program. I 18 Yes. For the United States it's think it actually appears in some of the MPAA 19 Funimation, which is an IPG-represented claimant. 19 20 materials that they've or have had introduced to Q Now have you seen in the MPAA 20 21 this point. materials information indicating that the 21 And which materials were those if you 22 broadcaster is claiming the right to collect

205 207 royalties for DragonBall Z? And does IPG have a relationship with Mark Anthony Entertainment? Yes, and that was actually one of the Yes, a contractual relationship. items were addressing yesterday, that I believe They're an IPG client and have been for several was ABC Family Worldwide. And did you obtain this document off the Copyright Office Internet site? And in reviewing the MPAA documents and spreadsheet, do you see some indication that And what does this demonstrate, in the television show Main Floor was being claimed terms of the ownership of the program involved? by a broadcaster? That the copyright claimant for the 10 Yes. It indicated that -- and I say 11 United States is Toei Animation Company, but with "it." Again, the Excel spreadsheet that was DragonBall Z, the U.S. owner is Funimation provided to IPG indicated that it was being 12 13 Productions. attributed to American Broadcasting Companies for That's why it's also identified as -calendar years 2001 and 2002. 1.4 11. well, it's interesting, because up here it says 15 0 Did you discuss that with Mister --"Copyright claimant." But a co-copyright I'll just call him Mr. I? 16 16 17 claimant is Funimation Productions. Yes, I did. 17 18 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to 18 Q And what was his reaction? move Exhibit 22 into evidence. He confirmed --19 19 MS. PLOVNICK: I object to the answer, MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay. 20 where he was trying to -- Mr. Galaz characterized MR. BOYDSTON: Withdrawn. ownership for the U.S. in this. I don't really JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. 208 see how that came from this document. We don't MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 23 be entered into evidence. have any objection to this document, but his MR. MACLEAN: No objection, Your characterization. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you. The Honor. S document is admitted. Exhibit 22 is admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: Our only objection is (Whereupon, the above-referred to the same objection we're going to renew for document was received into evidence as IPG paragraph three, regarding the conflicting Exhibit No. 22.) program claims appearing -- that haven't been 9 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. listed or produced to us. Otherwise, no 10 Please take a look at what's been marked as objection. 11 Exhibit 23. It appears to be a declaration by an JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 23 is 11 12 individual Tony Intelisano, with Mark Anthony 12 admitted. Entertainment. Have you communicated with Mr. 13 13 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 14 Intelsiano? 14 document was received into evidence as IPG 15 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 15 Exhibit No. 23.) document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 23 for 16 16 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. identification.) 17 Please take a look at what's been marked Exhibit 17 THE WITNESS: Intelsiano. 18 18 19 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 19 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 20 Thank you. 20 document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 24 for 21 Yes, I have. He's the owner of Mark 21 identification.) Anthony Entertainment. THE WITNESS: Okay.

211 209 BY MR. BOYDSTON: Honor? 2 TUDGE BARRETT: You may. And are you familiar with that (Pause.) document? BY MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. I am. The last -- there should be two pages And it appears to be another Copyright only in Exhibit 24. If you have a third, it Office printout from the website, and what is indicates the David Letterman Show. That should this regarding? What title and what owner? be the first page 25. Again, my apologies. Mine Right. This is for the television show Beast Wars. As I testified yesterday, it's is not like that, but that one was, so some may, owned by Mainframe Entertainment, which is an some may not be. 10 IPG represented claimant. Mr. Galaz, thank you for pointing that 11 out. Do you have an understanding as to whether 12 And have you seen material in the MPAA 12 materials that indicate that a broadcaster is or not the title represented here on the first page, David Letterman, is that a television show? making claim through the MPAA for this program? That's correct. Off the top of my 15 Yes, it's a television show. head I can't recall exactly which one, but again 16 And to your knowledge, who is it owned 16 17 this is based on the Excel spreadsheet that was 17 produced to us, pursuant to the Judge's order, 18 Worldwide Pants, consistent with our 19 with an associated particular program with a 19 own agreements with Worldwide Pants. And so IPG has had agreements with 20 particular claimant. 20 0 21 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to 21 Worldwide Pants; correct? move Exhibit 24 he entered into evidence. 22 Correct, pursuant to which they've 210 212 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. attested to in their own spreadsheet. The Late MR. MACLEAN: No objection. Show with David Letterman and Late Late Show as JUDGE BARRETT: 24 is admitted. well, which has had a variety of hosts. (Whereupon, the above-referred to And I see the second page is another document was received into evidence as IPG Copyright printout that references the Late Late Exhibit No. 24.) Show: correct? MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. That's correct, and we presented this as sort of the Late Late Show as being with Please take a look at what's been marked as 9 Exhibit 25, and are you familiar with that different hosts, Tom Snyder, Craig Kilborn. I can't recall who after that. 10 document? 10 11 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 11 0 Have you seen -document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 25 for I think we're missing Craig Ferguson. 12 12 13 identification.) 13 Have you seen in the MPAA materials THE WITNESS: Yes, but I want to bring information indicating that the broadcaster was 14 14 making claim for these programs through the MPAA? 15 to your attention that part of 25, I think, may 15 have ended up at the end of the prior exhibit, 16 Yes. In the MPAA materials, it 16 17 because the prior exhibit, the first page is the 17 indicates that CBS Broadcasting is being attributed ownership of all the Worldwide Pants copyright search for Beast Wars, and then the programming, despite the fact -- well, our second page is also for Beast Wars. But they're two different copyright documents. The third contract with Worldwide Pants expressly 21 warranties that there has been no grant of those 21 page ·-22 MR. BOYDSTON: May I approach, Your rights specifically to any broadcaster or

	213		215
		1	document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 26 for
1	distributor.	2	identification.)
2	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to	3	THE WITNESS: All right, yes.
3	move Exhibit 25 be entered into evidence.	4	BY MR. BOYDSTON:
4	MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.	5	O And what title does that relate to?
,	MR. MACLEAN: No objection.	6	•
,	JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 25 is		A Martha Stewart Living and other titles. But for our purposes, it's Martha
7	admitted.	7	• •
A .	(Whereupon, the above-referred to	8	Stewart Living that we're focusing on.
"	document was received into evidence as IPG		Q And do you know who owns Martha
10	Exhibit No. 25.)	10	Stewart Living, or who does this purport to say
11	THE WITNESS: And I apologize again.	11	owns Martha Stewart?
12	I have a fourth page that's the last page of the	12	A Martha Stewart Living Omnimedia, Inc.
11	declaration by John Cosgrove of Cosgrove Meurer	13	Q And does IPG have any relationship
1.1	Productions.	14	with that entity?
15	MR. BOYDSTON: Well no. The apology	15	A We represented them for calendar year
16	is mine. May I approach, Your Honor?	16	2000 exclusively.
17	JUDGE BARRETT: You may.	17	Q And have you seen any information and
18	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you.	18	materials provided by the MPAA which indicate
19	(Pause.)	19	that a broadcaster is making claim for this
20	MR. BOYDSTON: At least in this	20	program?
21	version, that is redundant and can be removed,	21	A CBS Broadcasting for the calendar year
22	because it's properly in Exhibit 27, where it's	22	2000, for which we're making claim on behalf of
11			
	214		216
1		1	216
1 2	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27	1 2	216 Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that		Martha Stewart Living.
2	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25.	2	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted.
2	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version	2	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
2 3 4	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25.	2 3 4	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.
2 3 4 5	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the	2 3 4 5	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection.
2 3 4 5	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it.	2 3 4 5	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is
2 3 4 5 6	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it. JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about	2 3 4 5 6	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. FLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is admitted.
2 3 4 5 6 7	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it. JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about Exhibit 25 or 26?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it. JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about Exhibit 25 or 26? MR. BOYDSTON: 25 and 27. In the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it. JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about Exhibit 25 or 26? MR. BOYDSTON: 25 and 27. In the witness' binder, 25 had an extra page at the end,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 26.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it. JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about Exhibit 25 or 26? MR. BOYDSTON: 25 and 27. In the witness' binder, 25 had an extra page at the end, which was a the second page of a declaration.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. FLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 26.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it. JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about Exhibit 25 or 26? MR. BOYDSTON: 25 and 27. In the witness' binder, 25 had an extra page at the end, which was a the second page of a declaration. JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 26.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it. JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about Exhibit 25 or 26? MR. BOYDSTON: 25 and 27. In the witness' binder, 25 had an extra page at the end, which was a the second page of a declaration. JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, okay. MR. BOYDSTON: It appears that maybe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 26.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 27.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it. JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about Exhibit 25 or 26? MR. BOYDSTON: 25 and 27. In the witness' binder, 25 had an extra page at the end, which was a the second page of a declaration. JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, okay. MR. BOYDSTON: It appears that maybe that was the only one messed up.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 26.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 27. (Whereupon, the above-referred to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it. JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about Exhibit 25 or 26? MR. BOYDSTON: 25 and 27. In the witness' binder, 25 had an extra page at the end, which was a the second page of a declaration. JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, okay. MR. BOYDSTON: It appears that maybe that was the only one messed up. (Off mic comment.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 26.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 27. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 27 for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it. JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about Exhibit 25 or 26? MR. BOYDSTON: 25 and 27. In the witness' binder, 25 had an extra page at the end, which was a the second page of a declaration. JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, okay. MR. BOYDSTON: It appears that maybe that was the only one messed up. (Off mic comment.) MR. BOYDSTON: May I continued, Your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 26.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 27. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 27 for identification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it. JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about Exhibit 25 or 26? MR. BOYDSTON: 25 and 27. In the witness' binder, 25 had an extra page at the end, which was a the second page of a declaration. JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, okay. MR. BOYDSTON: It appears that maybe that was the only one messed up. (Off mic comment.) MR. BOYDSTON: May I continued, Your Honor?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Martha Stewart Living. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 26.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 27. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 27 for identification.) THE WITNESS: All right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it. JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about Exhibit 25 or 26? MR. BOYDSTON: 25 and 27. In the witness' binder, 25 had an extra page at the end, which was a the second page of a declaration. JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, okay. MR. BOYDSTON: It appears that maybe that was the only one messed up. (Off mic comment.) MR. BOYDSTON: May I continued, Your Honor? JUDGE BARRETT: You may.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. FLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 26.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 27. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 27 for identification.) THE WITNESS: All right. BY MR. BOYDSTON:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it. JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about Exhibit 25 or 26? MR. BOYDSTON: 25 and 27. In the witness' binder, 25 had an extra page at the end, which was a the second page of a declaration. JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, okay. MR. BOYDSTON: It appears that maybe that was the only one messed up. (Off mic comment.) MR. BOYDSTON: May I continued, Your Honor? JUDGE BARRETT: You may. MR. BOYDSTON: Please take a look at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. FLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 26.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 27. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 27 for identification.) THE WITNESS: All right. BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q And that appears to be a declaration
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	supposed to be. However, if your Exhibit 27 doesn't have a second page, it may be like that version errantly being in 25. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, my version has three pages, and it appears to be as the witness explained it. JUDGE BARRETT: We're talking about Exhibit 25 or 26? MR. BOYDSTON: 25 and 27. In the witness' binder, 25 had an extra page at the end, which was a the second page of a declaration. JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, okay. MR. BOYDSTON: It appears that maybe that was the only one messed up. (Off mic comment.) MR. BOYDSTON: May I continued, Your Honor? JUDGE BARRETT: You may. MR. BOYDSTON: Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 26, and are you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to move that Exhibit 26 be admitted. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 26 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 26.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 27. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 27 for identification.) THE WITNESS: All right. BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q And that appears to be a declaration by John Cosgrove?

217 219 and New Vision Syndication. And is that entity -- does that entity And who does Mr. Cosgrove work for? have a relationship with IPG? Well, he's a principal of Cosgrove JUDGE BARRETT: Hang on, excuse me. Meurer Productions. Their claim to fame is Go ahead. Unsolved Mysteries is a series that they produced BY MR. BOYDSTON: for several years. And does IPG have a relationship with Does that entity have a relationship with IPG? Mr. Cosgrove's company? Yes. We represented them for several They're an IPG-represented client. vears under a contract. 10 Have you seen materials in the MPAA 11 Have you seen materials in the -- or 11 materials which indicate that a broadcaster is making claim for that entity's programming? 12 information in the MPAA materials that indicate 12 13 that a broadcaster is making claim for this in 13 Yes, ABC, American Broadcasting Company is attributed ownership of, let's see, 14 the programming? 1.4 Inside the Ropes at the Open Championship, Inside 15 Yes, actually a variety of entities. 15 the Ropes plain, the Bob Hope Chrysler Classic, 16 Allied Communications, Equator Films, Westside 16 17 Studios and I think CBS Broadcasting is also. 17 Countdown to Daytona, Mountaintop Ski and Did you bring that to Mr. Cosgrove's Snowhoarding. 18 0 18 I'm sorry, I take that back. It's 19 attention? 19 only one of those. I think Inside the Ropes at 20 А Yes, I did. 20 21 0 And did Mr. Cosgrove provide you with 21 the Open Championship attributable to ABC this declaration? Broadcasting. The other programs I listed are 218 220 1 being attributed to the U.S. Ski and Snowboard MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to Association and PGA Tour, Inc. move that Exhibit 27 be admitted. 0 Did you bring that to the attention of MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. Mr. Jacobs? MR. MACLEAN: No objection. Δ Ves. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 27 is Did you discuss with him executing 0 7 admitted. this declaration? (Whereupon, the above-referred to Yes, and we also -- I pointed -- I 8 document was received into evidence as IPG offered a lot of materials, including the -- and 9 Exhibit No. 27.) that's some of stuff attached to his declaration. 10 10 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. the warm sheets that get offered when they go to 11 12 Please take a look at what's been marked Exhibit 12 television markets like NATPE or MIPCOM, that are 28. That appears to be a declaration by Rodney used to hand out two prospective licensees. 13 13 14 Jacobs. Are you familiar with Rodney Jacobs? MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to 19 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 15 move that Exhibit 28 be admitted. document was marked as IPG Exhibit No. 28 for 16 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. 17 identification.) 17 MR. MACLEAN: No objection, sorry. 18 THE WITNESS: Yes, I am. 18 JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 28 is. 19 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 19 admitted. 20 And who does Rodney Jacobs worth with 20 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 21 or for? 21 document was received into evidence as IPG 22 He's a principal of Freewheelin' Films 22 Exhibit No. 28.)

224

221

17

20

```
Q Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Galaz, in the instances we've just been discussing, do you have an understanding as to whether or not a
```

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

broadcaster did in fact broadcast these programs?

A In most circumstances, there was a network broadcaster that broadcast these. In

other circumstances, it wasn't even met.

Q Let me ask you to pause. You say in other circumstances, there wasn't even that.

Please make that a bit more specific.

12 A That was a circumstance, for instance,

13 with Singsation. Singsation has always been a

14 syndicated show for 25 years. It's not part of

 $1^{f_{\mathrm{c}}}$ the CBS Network. Nonetheless, CBS was -- CBS

16 Broadcasting was attributed ownership of

17 Singsation for one or more years.

1 M Q And when you say it was attributed,

10 who was attributing that?

26 A In the Excel spreadsheet that was

produced by the MPAA, that linked the programs

22 that were being claimed with a particular

1 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, if it's a

voluminous record, you know, I don't have the

3 spreadsheet in front of me. If it's a voluminous

4 record, then a summary of voluminous records is

5 perfectly acceptable. But a witness ordinarily

6 is not permitted to just testify about the

contents of a document, without either having the

8 document present or accounting for its absence.

9 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, I don't think

10 that's a valid objection.

JUDGE BARRETT: We do not either have in front of us the spreadsheet, and there's no

13 way we can cross-reference that spreadsheet is

14 done, this 40 minutes of oral testimony.

15 . MR. BOYDSTON: May I ask a question of

16 the witness to clarify the base of his knowledge?

JUDGE BARRETT: You may.

18 BY MR. BOYDSTON:

19 Q Mr. Galaz, please give us the basis

for the knowledge you've been expressing here for

21 the last 40 minutes?

2 A Certainly it's by running searches of

222

claimant, CBS Broadcasting was being attributed

2 ownership of Singsation.

-1

Q Are you aware --

MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, there's been

a lot of -- Mr. Galaz has given a lot of

6 testimony about the contents of an MPAA

spreadsheet. I'm going to at this point have to

object on the basis of best evidence. I think

it's a significant issue, and I'm not objecting

on relevance. But I would like to see a

spreadsheet, so that I have a better

understanding of what he's referring to.

MR. BOYDSTON: Well, Your Honor, the

14 spreadsheet was produced in discovery to all

15 parties. So it's certainly within the SDC's

16 possession. I don't think there's any mystery

17 about it. Mr. Galaz is testifying as to what he

18 saw there and his summation thereof.

10 I don't think we always need to put

20 every gazillion page document into evidence

al necessarily. It's fair for a witness to say I

 22 read the gazillion pages. This is what I saw.

that spreadsheet. To respond to one point that

was made, it can't be summarized. It lists every

3 program for every claimant for every year, and as

4 I was indicating early on in my testimony,

there's 44,000 of those.

6 JUDGE STRICKLER: I know it couldn't

be -- you say it couldn't be summarized, but it

could be excerpted, couldn't it, to identify the

9 lines that you are testifying to.

10 THE WITNESS: It could be excerpted,

where I could print out and format it, in order

12 to actually put on a sheet. But it would have to

be formatted to then, and I guess falling to that

 $14\,$ $\,$ particular sheet to show that, and we could do

15 that.

JUDGE STRICKLER: But you didn't do

it?

17

18 THE WITNESS: I didn't think it was

19 necessary.

20 JUDGE STRICKLER: How many lines will

21 you be required to excerpt and manipulate

22 computer-wise in that manner?

228

225

```
THE WITNESS: Well, the document
     itself, as I was indicating, has 44,000 and I
     quess divided by 50, however many pages that
     would be that we would be going through and
     printing out whatever pages were being addressed,
     particularly by these particular challenges.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Well the challenge
     -- I'm sorry.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Go ahead.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: The challenges
     you're referring to are all the ones that you've
11
12
     just testified to, right?
13
                 THE WITNESS: That's correct.
14
                JUDGE STRICKLER: So how many? I
15
     wasn't keeping count. How many are we talking
16
     about, ballpark?
17
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I'd say ballpark.
18
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay. So you would
19
    need to excerpt from this voluminous Excel
20
     spreadsheet?
21
                THE WITNESS: Yeah, or we could
     produce it. I suppose, if the Judges would
```

```
Yes. Your Honor. Mr. Galaz, can you
     identify the spreadsheet?
                 If I could actually get up and pull
     something on my desktop, I can actually give you
     the description of how it was provided to us
     electronically.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor I --
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Just do you know
     whether it is -- it was produced to you as a
     discovery response, or if it was copied to you
10
11
     because it was provided to the Judges?
12
                 THE WITNESS: It was produced in
13
     discovery pursuant to the Judge's July 30, 2014
14
     order.
15
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I observe its 2:31.
16
17
     Theoretically we could do this on a break.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Well then keep going,
18
19
     because we're going til 4:30. Let's not break
     this early in the afternoon. During the break.
20
21
     if you want to do a little more digging, Mr.
```

Boydston, you may.

226

```
prefer, because maybe it would enlighten them
     about, that we could simply give them what was
     provided to us, which is a single program -- not
     a single program. A single file that can be
     opened in Excel. It has multiple tabs, 2000,
     2001, 2002 and all the information that I've
     described previously.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: May I ask if we can
     identify the spreadsheet? The Judges did request
10
     a spreadsheet with specifics about claims. There
11
     might have been there spreadsheets that are
12
     involved here.
13
                 I mean we're discussing a spreadsheet,
14
     and I think spreadsheets have been, you know,
19
     lousy in this or this proceeding has been lousy
16
     with spreadsheets. So let's ask the witness
17
     which spreadsheet. We might have it.
18
                MR. BOYDSTON: I will, Your Honor.
                JUDGE BARRETT: It doesn't make it any
19
20
    easier for us to peruse it or to find what Mr.
21
    Galaz is testifying about, but we might have it.
```

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

1 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Plovnick, I'm sorry. I beg your indulgence. Is the O in your name a long O or a short O? 5 MS. PLOVNICK: Just Plov-nick. JUDGE BARRETT: Plovnick, thank you. 6 Ms. Plovnick. 8 MS. PLOVNICK: Yeah. I was just going 9 to say, the particular discovery production, the cover letter showing where it was produced was MPAA Exhibit 333, which was already produced, and has the name of the file and the date we produced 12 13 it in discovery. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you. But 14 15 that doesn't mean the Judges have it. 16 MS. PLOVNICK: I know, Your Honor. 17 But just for purposes of clarifying, I think that 18 is not the file that --JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank for that. We 19 20 have a rule, 351.10(c)(3) about summary exhibits, and it says in part "The contents of voluminous 21 writings, recordings or photographs which cannot

229 231 conveniently be examined in the hearing, may be your own files. MS. PLOVNICK: This wasn't our presented in the form of a chart, summary or objection. calculation." (Laughter.) The witness sounds like he's done a summary of the excerpts that he's been talking MS. PLOVNICK: We do have it, yes Your about, and the rule goes on and says "The Honor, and we did produce it, and I believe we

originals or duplicates shall be made available produced it to SDC. JUDGE STRICKLER: I'm advised that it for examination or copying or both by other

parties at a reasonable time and place." was not your objection. So let me direct the Now in this particular case, the line of questioning, then, to Mr. MacLean. Mr.

documents in question, the Excel spreadsheet, is 11 MacLean, you can do the same, right?

MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, it was my something that you provided in discovery. 12

MS. PLOVNICK: Yes, Your Honor. 13 objection. I did not mean to sidetrack the

proceedings. JUDGE STRICKLER: Do you have access 14

15 to it electronically now? 15 (Simultaneous speaking.)

MR. MACLEAN: And at this point, I THE WITNESS: I do, Your Honor. 16 16

JUDGE STRICKLER: Well, let me just 17 will withdraw the objection. 17

MS. PLOVNICK: I mean we could obtain

JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Go ahead, 18 18 have her answer. Mr. Boydston.

19

a copy. We have one laptop here. I did not 20 MR. BOYDSTON: Has Exhibit 28 been

admitted? That was a long way back to where we 21 bring a disk or anything with it on with me.

However, our offices are in D.C. We could obtain

admitted.

230

Very well.

a copy. We might be able to pull it up on our TUDGE BARRETT. Exhibit 28 is laptop.

JUDGE STRICKLER: I think we're going MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

to have to talk about this during the break Let me ask you to take a look at

amongst ourselves. But my point was not Exhibit 29.

necessarily that we need to see it. MR. GALAZ: All right.

BY MR. BOYDSTON: But the point, as it relates generally

to summary exhibits or in this case, apparently And Exhibit 29 appears to be -- it's ŝ

summary testimony, to the extent that's entitled Notice Regarding Programing of

Watercourse Road Productions. And it is sufficient, is that you have an opportunity to

essentially a testimonial by Tom Moyer. cross-examine, to determine whether or not the

summaries, excerpted summary can be attacked or Are you familiar with Mr. Moyer? 12

impeached in any way, by having the underlying 13

14 And are you familiar with Watercourse

documents and you have access to them --

15 15 Road Productions? Since they're your underlying

16

17 MS. PLOVNICK: Yes, Your Honor, and we 17 0 And is there a relationship between

18 18 those two?

JUDGE STRICKLER: Let me just finish Tom Mover is the principal of 1.9 Δ

it up. You're not necessarily hamstrung by the Watercourse Road Productions. This document was 20

witness' testimony about this, summarizing 21 actually filed in the 2000 and 2003 proceedings 21 22 excerpts, because you have the whole thing within 22 at the request of Mr. Moyer who was if the Judges

12

13

14

19

20

2

4

16

10

235 233 may recall going to be one of the witnesses at we were just looking at that ultimately got banned from the record. And which he was very the final distribution hearing. But ultimately his testimony was animated about. Well I think it's obvious to me why it disallowed. And does IPG have a relationship with raised his ire. But perhaps did he explain --MR. MacLEAN: Objection. Watercourse Road Productions? MR. BOYDSTON: I haven't gotten it out They've been a long time IPG yet. represented claimant. Are you aware of what programming Did he explain to you what raised his 10 Watercourse Road Productions owns? 10 ire? MR. GALAZ: Yes. 11 It has a single program entitled 11 MR. MacLEAN: Move to strike what is 12 12 Critter Gitters that has been on air since I think 1998 through the better part of the first 13 obviously Mr. Boydston's. 1 4 MR. BOYDSTON: Fair enough. decade of you know, 2003, 2010 I believe. 14 And did Litton and IPG ever have a stipulated. 15 15 contractual relationship? Did he explain that? 16 16 17 MR. GALAZ: Yes. He indicated as is 17 Litton Syndications was also represented by IPG. And it was also I think. consistent with the agreement that IPG had 18 18 entered into with Litton Syndications that Litton perhaps the second or third client that we ever 19 19

234

distributor for one year. And consistent

only had a relationship with him for a brief

period of time. I think he said that they were

about what program Litton owned or didn't own? Yes. Or was -- had rights to? Yes. The contract that was entered into between IPG and Litton had some specified which program they had at that point in time. And Critter Gitters was one of them. And for what years did Litton have the

with Litton, did IPG obtain from Litton details

And when IPG entered into an agreement

Allow me to refresh my recollection. 10 11 The contract between IPG and Litton, Litton 12 specifically specified that it only had rights

rights to Critter Gitters?

13 through June 1999. Now in review of the MPAA documents.

and the spreadsheet et cetera, have you seen any 15 16 indication of any entities making claim for the 17 program Critter Gitters? 18 Critter Gitters is attributed for each and all years to Litton Syndications. And that 19

was actually the case also in 2000 and 2003 proceedings, which is what raised the ire of Mr. 21 Moyer and resulted in him preparing the document therewith that their rights concluded in June

1999. 3

Subsequent to that Mr. Moyer and Watercourse Road Productions was a -- they selfdistributed the program Critter Gitters. And they're the owner, they're the distributor.

there's literally no one else in the chain of

title or having anything else to do with it. So when they discovered that in the

10 2000 and 2003 proceeds that it was being claimed

on behalf of Litton, and continues to be

attributed to Litton and claimed by Litton in

these proceedings, throughout these proceedings, he's obviously upset.

15 BY MR. BOYDSTON:

16 Now once again, you said that IPG had an agreement with Critter Gitters for one year, 17

18 '98, '99 I think you said one of those. And

Critter Gitters was properly being credited to 1.9

20 Litton at that time, correct?

21 You misspoke. What we had, an 22 agreement with Litton Syndications. The

20

20

237 239 agreement with Litton Syndications reflects on Yes I am. This is the agreement that I was just referring to in my testimony, the the face of the document that their rights to original agreement between Worldwide Subsidy Critter Gitters expire in June 1999. Group and Litton Syndications. And yet what you've seen in the MPAA information is that despite that for these years And the specific aspect that I was since then, they continue to receive the credit. referring to is the last page of it which Every year. identifies the schedule of programs for which And that's why Mr. Moyer is miffed? Litton was making claim. Is, if you see the next 9 to last one, it indicates Critter Gitters until 10 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to 10 June 15, 1999. 11 move Exhibit 29 be entered into evidence. 11 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to MS. PLOVNICK: I have an objection 12 12 move that Exhibit 30 be admitted. 13 Your Honor. The bottom of the first page of IPG 13 MS. PLOVNICK: We have no objection. MR. MacLEAN: No objection, Your Exhibit 29, there is a legal conclusion at the 7.1 14 bottom of that page and the sentence continuing 15 15 Honor. over to the following page. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 30 is 16 16 17 So we think that this is improper 17 admitted. opinion testimony by a fact witness that isn't 18 18 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 19 19 document was received into MR. BOYDSTON: I think I stipulated to 20 evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 30.) that. You're referring to the underscored MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been 238 240 1 MS. PLOVNICK: Yes. marked as Exhibit 31. 2 MR. BOYDSTON: I would stipulate that MR. GALAZ: All right. that be stricken. BY MR. BOYDSTON: JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. And are you familiar with that MR. BOYDSTON: Is it admitted document? otherwise? Yes I am. JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. Exhibit 29 is It purports to be the declaration of admitted with the last sentence on the first page Steven Hoyt of an entity called Global Response. carrying over to the second page. Actually the Are you familiar with Mr. Hoyt and Global 9 first clause of the last sentence, beginning on Response? 10 11 the bottom of the first page carrying over to the Yes I am. 12 top of the second page stricken. Is Global Response -- does Global 13 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 13 Response have a relationship with IPG? 1.4 document was received into 14 Yes, as -- I think most of these evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 29.) 15 15 declarations are predicated on that, it's 16 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 referenced in his paragraph three. 17 Mr. Galaz, please take a look at 17 And are you familiar with programming 18 what's been marked as Exhibit 30. 18 for Global Response, LLC? 19 MR. GALAZ: All right. 19 Α Yes. They only had a single program, BY MR. BOYDSTON: 20 Jaw Droppers. 21 And tell me, are you familiar with 21 O And at some point did you see that document? information in the MPAA materials that indicated

		,	
	241		. 243
1	that an entity other than Global Response was	1 se	veral years as her other companies as well.
2	being credited with Jaw Droppers?	2	Q And at some point did you come to the
,	Λ Yes.	3 со	nclusion from looking at the MPAA materials
-4	Q And what was that?	4 th	at some entity other than IWV Media Group was
5	A Hawthorne Communications.	5 ma	king claim to IWV Media Group programming?
٢	Q And did you bring that to the	6	A Yes.
7	attention of Mr. Hoyt?	7	Q And what was that?
٦.	λ Yes.	8	A Specifically the program Healthy
9	Q And did he confirm that that was the	9 Li	ving was being attributed to Transworld
10	case or disagree?	10 In	ternational.
11	A He confirmed that ownership has always	11	Q Did you bring that to Ms. Millen's
12	been with Global Response, LLC. He was actually	12 at	tention?
13	familiar with Hawthorne Communications and	13	A Yes.
14	indicated that they'd been hired to render	14	Q And did she affirm or deny that?
11,	production services in connection with the	15	A She affirmed that Healthy Living was
16	production of the infomercial Jaw Droppers.	16	
17	But that was the only basis of their	17	MR. MacLEAN: Objection. Hearsay.
18	communication the only basis of their	18	JUDGE BARRETT: Is this information in
19	involvement.	19 th	e declaration?
20	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to	20	MR. BOYDSTON: I believe so.
21	move to admit Exhibit 31.	21	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
22	MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.	22	MR. BOYDSTON: Let me ask. I believe
H			
			<u> </u>
	242		244
1	MR. MacLEAN: No objection.	1 th	244 at information is consistent with the
1 2			
11	MR. MacLEAN: No objection.		at information is consistent with the
2	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is	2 de	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct?
2	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted.	2 de	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes.
2 3 4	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to	2 de	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move
2 3 4 5	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into	2 dec 3 4 5 to	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32?
2 3 4 5	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.)	2 dec 3 4 5 to	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is
2 3 4 5 6	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.	2 dec 3 4 5 to 6 7 sus	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is stained. Ms
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been	2 dec 3 4 5 to 6 7 sus 8	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is stained. Ms MS. PLOVNICK: I have an additional
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 32, which purports to be a	2 dec 3 4 5 to 6 7 sus 8 9 obj	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is stained. Ms MS. PLOVNICK: I have an additional jection. And this is regarding the numbered
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 32, which purports to be a declaration by Maureen Millen. And are you	2 dec 3 4 5 to 6 7 sus 8 9 obj	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is stained. Ms MS. PLOVNICK: I have an additional jection. And this is regarding the numbered ragraph three. She makes a reference to having
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 32, which purports to be a declaration by Maureen Millen. And are you familiar with this document? Strike that.	2 dec 3 4 5 to 6 7 sus 8 9 obj	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is stained. Ms MS. PLOVNICK: I have an additional jection. And this is regarding the numbered ragraph three. She makes a reference to having viewed the competing program claim information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 32, which purports to be a declaration by Maureen Millen. And are you familiar with this document? Strike that. Are you familiar with Maureen Millen?	2 dec 3 4 5 to 6 7 sus 8 9 obj 10 par 11 rev 12 And 13	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is stained. Ms MS. PLOVNICK: I have an additional jection. And this is regarding the numbered ragraph three. She makes a reference to having viewed the competing program claim information.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 32, which purports to be a declaration by Maureen Millen. And are you familiar with this document? Strike that. Are you familiar with Maureen Millen? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Very familiar with	2 dec 3 4 5 to 6 7 sus 8 9 obj 10 par 11 rec 12 And 13 14 wit	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is stained. Ms MS. PLOVNICK: I have an additional jection. And this is regarding the numbered ragraph three. She makes a reference to having viewed the competing program claim information. di that's not attached. And it's also, I raise it specifically
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 32, which purports to be a declaration by Maureen Millen. And are you familiar with this document? Strike that. Are you familiar with Maureen Millen? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Very familiar with Ms. Millen.	2 dec 3 4 5 to 6 7 sus 8 9 ob; 10 par 11 rec 12 And 13 14 wit 15 you	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is stained. Ms MS. PLOVNICK: I have an additional jection. And this is regarding the numbered ragraph three. She makes a reference to having viewed the competing program claim information. d that's not attached. And it's also, I raise it specifically th regard to this entity because I think as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 32, which purports to be a declaration by Maureen Millen. And are you familiar with this document? Strike that. Are you familiar with Maureen Millen? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Very familiar with MS. Millen. BY MR. BOYDSTON:	2 dec 3 4 5 to 6 7 sus 8 9 ob; 10 par 11 ret 12 And 13 14 wit 15 you 16 name	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is stained. Ms MS. PLOVNICK: I have an additional jection. And this is regarding the numbered ragraph three. She makes a reference to having viewed the competing program claim information. d that's not attached. And it's also, I raise it specifically th regard to this entity because I think as a'll see later, there's some confusion over the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 32, which purports to be a declaration by Maureen Millen. And are you familiar with this document? Strike that. Are you familiar with Maureen Millen? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Very familiar with MS. Millen. BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q And I believe her company is IWV, is	2 dec 3 4 5 to 6 7 sus 8 9 obj 10 par 11 rec 12 And 13 14 wit 15 you 16 nam 17 bei	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is stained. Ms MS. PLOVNICK: I have an additional jection. And this is regarding the numbered ragraph three. She makes a reference to having viewed the competing program claim information. d that's not attached. And it's also, I raise it specifically th regard to this entity because I think as a'll see later, there's some confusion over the mes of these titles and whether or not what is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 32, which purports to be a declaration by Maureen Millen. And are you familiar with this document? Strike that. Are you familiar with Maureen Millen? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Very familiar with Ms. Millen. BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q And I believe her company is IWV, is that correct.	2 dec 3 4 5 to 6 7 sus 8 9 obj 10 par 11 ret 12 And 13 14 wit 15 you 16 nam 17 besi 18 in	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is stained. Ms MS. PLOVNICK: I have an additional jection. And this is regarding the numbered ragraph three. She makes a reference to having viewed the competing program claim information. If that's not attached. And it's also, I raise it specifically the regard to this entity because I think as a lill see later, there's some confusion over the mes of these titles and whether or not what is ling you know, MPAA attributed to Transworld is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 32, which purports to be a declaration by Maureen Millen. And are you familiar with this document? Strike that. Are you familiar with Maureen Millen? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Very familiar with MS. Millen. BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q And I believe her company is IWV, is that correct. A She's got several companies. IWV	2 dec 3 4 5 to 6 7 sus 8 9 obj 10 par 11 ret 12 And 13 14 wit 15 you 16 nam 17 besi 18 in	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is stained. Ms MS. PLOVNICK: I have an additional jection. And this is regarding the numbered ragraph three. She makes a reference to having viewed the competing program claim information. If that's not attached. And it's also, I raise it specifically the regard to this entity because I think as a lill see later, there's some confusion over the mes of these titles and whether or not what is ling you know, MPAA attributed to Transworld is fact the same program that IWV Media Group is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 32, which purports to be a declaration by Maureen Millen. And are you familiar with this document? Strike that. Are you familiar with Maureen Millen? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Very familiar with Ms. Millen. BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q And I believe her company is IWV, is that correct. A She's got several companies. IWV Media Group is one of them.	2 dec 3 4 5 to 6 7 sus 8 9 obj 10 par 11 rev 12 And 13 14 wit 15 you 16 nam 17 bei 18 in 19 cls 20	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is stained. Ms MS. PLOVNICK: I have an additional jection. And this is regarding the numbered ragraph three. She makes a reference to having viewed the competing program claim information. And it's also, I raise it specifically the regard to this entity because I think as a lill see later, there's some confusion over the mes of these titles and whether or not what is ling you know, MPAA attributed to Transworld is fact the same program that IWV Media Group is assiming.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MacLEAN: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 31 is admitted. (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 31.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 32, which purports to be a declaration by Maureen Millen. And are you familiar with this document? Strike that. Are you familiar with Maureen Millen? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Very familiar with Ms. Millen. BY MR. BOYDSTON: Q And I believe her company is IWV, is that correct. A She's got several companies. IWV Media Group is one of them. Q And is IWV Media Group an IPG	2 dec 3 4 5 to 6 7 sus 8 9 obj 10 par 11 rec 12 And 13 14 wit 15 you 16 nam 17 bed in 19 cla 20 21 rec 20	at information is consistent with the claration, is that correct? MR. GALAZ: Yes. Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I move admit Exhibit 32? JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is stained. Ms MS. PLOVNICK: I have an additional jection. And this is regarding the numbered ragraph three. She makes a reference to having viewed the competing program claim information. di that's not attached. And it's also, I raise it specifically the regard to this entity because I think as a lill see later, there's some confusion over the mes of these titles and whether or not what is ling you know, MPAA attributed to Transworld is fact the same program that IWV Media Group is animing. And so we don't know exactly what she

```
particular party, the Excel spreadsheet was
     object on that basis.
                                                                    produced by the MPAA to all parties.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I ask
                                                                                MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, just for a
     some questions and lay that further foundation?
                 JUDGE BARRETT: You may.
                                                                    point of clarification, this declaration is dated
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
                                                                    October 13, 2014. But MPAA's written rebuttal
                 Did you provide Ms. Millen with any
                                                                    statement was filed on October 15, 2014.
     materials when you discussed this other entity
                                                                                So this, I think was in IPG's written
                                                                    rebuttal statement, not responding to MPAA's.
                                                                                MR. MacLEAN: And Your Honor, I want
                 And what did you provide her?
                                                               10
                                                                    to point out first of all, the parties have
                                                                    continuing discovery obligations. The date when
11
                 It was in fact excerpts from the Excel
                                                               11
                                                                    this excerpt was produced or was generated, is
1.2
     spreadsheet that had been provided to us by the
                                                               12
11
     Motion Picture Association of America. It
                                                               13
                                                                    irrelevant to the question of whether it should
     indicated that Transworld International was
                                                                    have been produced.
1.1
                                                               14
15
    making claim not just for Healthy Leaving, but
                                                               15
                                                                                Secondly, my objection is not only a
     for Healthy Leaving Parenting and Beyond, which I
                                                                    discovery objection, it's an evidentiary
16
                                                               16
    would argue is not an IWV Media Group Production,
17
                                                                    objection, best evidence. We should -- if
                                                               17
     but Five Star Productions, another IPG
                                                               18
                                                                    there's going to be testimony about a summary of
    represented claimant.
                                                                    voluminous documents that summary should be in
19
                                                               1.9
                But then they were also making claim
                                                               20
                                                                    front of us so that the parties can read it.
     for the Healthy Living series that is owned by
                                                                                I don't read the Judge's rules
```

245

246

248

```
1
                 MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, at this
     point I am going to renew my objection based on
    best evidence. That excerpt was not provided to
     us in discovery and it should have been.
                MR. BOYDSTON: May I ask when the
 6
     excerpt was obtained? I don't think it was
     consistent with the discovery production. But I
     may ask questions to establish a foundation.
c,
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.
10
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
11
                 The date -- I know that the date of
12
    this declaration is October 13, 2014. Do you
     recall roughly at what point in time you provided
13
1.4
    Ms. Millen with the materials you just described?
                Yes. It was approximate to the
15
16
    declaration. And it was only in response to the
17
    issues that were raised by the MPAA rebuttal.
18
                As I indicated, it was information to
19
    confirm the MPAA produced Excel spreadsheet that
20
    came mid-August following the Judge's July 30
```

Order. And the -- while the excerpt wasn't --

that page wasn't printed out and given to any

IWV Media Group.

meaning a witness can just orally testify about the contents of voluminous documents. I read it to say that the summary itself will be the document. And it's not a substitute. It's not to allow witnesses to simply testify. We need that summary. The summary that these witnesses are testifying to. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor -- oh, I'm 10 11 MS. PLOVNICK: I was just going to 12 say. We certainly have the whole spreadsheet. 13 So, and I think maybe -- that's not a question. 14 We just don't know what Ms. Millen reviewed. 15 I think that's my objection. Is that 16 I don't know what was shown to Ms. Millen. I know what the whole 44 -- the whole record looks 17 18 like, but I don't know what she saw. JUDGE STRICKLER: I think that 19 objection is well placed. But she does say in 20 21 paragraph four of her certification, she does

have this to say, identifies two particular

regarding summaries of voluminous records as

21

251 249 attributable to each of the foregoing series. I series, Healthy Living and Healthy Living take that as a direct reference to the preceding Mysteries of the Mind. naragraph. Now she claims that she's been shown And you know. I could be wrong. We or sees a conflict between different documents as to who is entitled to make the claim on her can only look at what we have. We can only interpret it and give it whatever weight is company's behalf. There is no information in there to tell us what she relied on. appropriate under the circumstances. So Exhibit 32 is admitted and the So it may well be the case that IPG Judges will weigh it and determine whether it has has to prove that they -- or assert that there was a conflict. And then you can rebut that as value or not. Probative value. to whether there indeed was a conflict. (Whereupon, the above-referred to 11 document was received into 12 But her self-serving statement without 13 more, will be given whatever weight we can give evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 32.) it in the absence of anything to corroborate it. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. But it may be it's not a question of best Please take a look at what's been evidence, it's more a question of other -- there marked as Exhibit 33. It purports to be a 17 is other evidence that supports or does not 17 declaration by Jonathan Plowman of Questar. support the allegation of a conflict. 18 MR. GALAZ: Questar. 19 MR. MacLEAN: Well, Your Honor, I do 19 BY MR. BOYDSTON: Are you familiar with this person and think it's a question of best evidence because 20 20 21 she is testifying to the contents of whatever it entity? was she was provided. I recognize that there are Yes I am. 252 250 And is Questar a claimant, an IPG statements in this declaration that do not testify to the contents of the document. claimant? My objection is addressed particularly Yes. They have been for -- Questar to paragraph three and five of the declaration. has been an IPG represented claimant for several I will also say I cannot quite make out what vears. paragraph six says in my copy. But at any rate, And what programming do they own as I object to paragraphs three and five and we move far as has been explained to you? to strike. They have an extensive array of А programming. The one that's at issue for MR. BOYDSTON: Why five? I understand purposes of this proceeding was just the program three. I don't see anything in five that refers 10 It's a Miracle. Which was being claimed 11 to the other materials. 11 according to again, the spreadsheet that was MR. MacLEAN: The first -- well. I 12 12 given to us by the MPAA, by DTG Communications. 13 would gay I would move to strike the first 13 And did you bring that to the sentence of paragraph five, which at least in 0 14 14 context appears to me to be based on something attention of Mr. Plowman? she saw in a summary that was produce to her, 16 Yes. And in response thereto he prepared this declaration for me. 17 that was saw by her. 17 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, there's also 18 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to evidence that it was explained to her. It was 19 move that Exhibit 33 be admitted. 20 told to her. 20 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I have two

21

22

JUDGE BARRETT: Excuse me. She named

two programs and then she said royalties

21

22

objections. One is just to renew my objection to paragraph three. It's the exact same language.

```
253
                                                                    have a printed name. They just simply typed it
     It's the same objection.
                                                                    in themselves. They would make revisions to the
                 And then I also further object that
     this document is not dated and therefore it is
                                                                    form themselves.
                                                                                And the remainder of the content of
     incomplete and unclear.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, Your Honor, it is
                                                                    the declaration, would you provide as part of the
    undated, but it's clear it was made sometime in
                                                                    draft that you created?
     October 2014. And I'm not sure if lack of a more
                                                                                I'm sure paragraph one was part of the
                                                                    form. Paragraph two, probably started off, I am
     specific date is of any consequence. But you can
     put that into the weight I suppose.
                                                                    an authorized representative of blank. And then
                 MR. MacLEAN: Your Honor, may I have
                                                                    the rest was all subject to the conversations
11
     a word here.
                                                               11
                                                                    that we had with the particular party that we
                 JUDGE BARRETT: You may.
                                                               12
                                                                    were asking to execute a declaration.
12
13
                       CROSS EXAMINATION
                                                               13
                                                                          0
                                                                                And the rest would be drafted by you
                                                                    at least initially?
14
                 BY MR. MACLEAN:
                                                               14
                 Mr. Galaz I believe you just said this
                                                                                In some cases yes. In some cases no.
15
                                                               15
     declaration was prepared by Mr. Plowman?
                                                                                Okay well, we're talking specifically.
16
                                                               16
                                                                                MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I believe
17
                 After speaking with him, the form was
                                                              17
                                                                    that we are under the clock here and we're trying
     sent to him for his review and revision to the
18
                                                               18
                                                                    to get out of here by Thursday, tomorrow. And I
19
     extent it was necessary.
                                                               19
                                                                    think you've voir dired the beck out of this
                 Okay, you used the passive voice
                                                               20
20
           Ω
2.1
     there. A form was prepared for him?
                                                              21
                                                                    issue.
                 With each of these, we followed a
                                                                               MR. MacLEAN: We're talking about IPG
```

254

256

```
certain form. Parties don't necessarily know how
 2
     to prepare a declaration and what needs to be in
 3
     a declaration.
 4
                 So we would prepare the declaration
 5
     for them with the necessary language. And submit
 G
     that to them.
           0
                 And you mean you would prepare?
                 I did, personally, yes.
           0
                 You did personally?
                 I did personally.
11
                 Okay. And when you say a form, you
1.2
     mean you put the caption on the top, the word
13
     declaration, signature line on the bottom?
14
                 It would be actually the caption, I
15
     think what I gave to parties would say I blank,
16
     swear under penalty of perjury the following is
     true and correct. I know that I would never know
17
18
     who was going to -- I would have the date October
19
    blank because it was during October.
20
                 I wouldn't know who necessarily was
21
     going to be executing it. Some of the parties
```

revised their's to type in their name rather than

33 was this one. Was the content of this declaration drafted by you? MR. GALAZ: I don't recall. I don't recall if I did it following a conversation with him or then sent it to Ouestar and had them fill it in. I just don't recall. MR. MacLEAN: No objection to this Exhibit, Your Honor. 8 JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 33 is 9 10 admitted. 11 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 12 document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 33.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Galaz, obviously what we've just 15 16 seen are a number of IPG represented claimants 17 for whom their programs are being claimed by the 18 MPAA through a broadcaster or another entity. 19 Have you done a calculation as to how many, not 20 just the ones we've discussed, but how many total 21 you found like that? MR. GALAZ: We found -- I mean the 22

259 257 Two in particular. TV Azteca and calculations that we put in is that I think of our group of represented claimants 98 of them Televisa. They were in fact clients that were secured by me following my visit to their offices have one or more of their claimed programs also being claimed by the MPAA. I'm refreshing my in Mexico City. Let me ask you to take a look at recollection by looking at my declaration of what's been marked as Exhibit 34. Do you those, there's 1.872 program year combinations. And of that 1,872, 761 of them are recognize that document? Yes. It was the contract that was being attributed to entities for which there is literally no paper between the purported entered into between the joint venture of Fintage WSG and Televisa. copyright owner and the MPAA. Not an identification of titles, not a contract, not an item of correspondence. Literally nothing. And you can see my signature on, I 12 That's one calculation that's been 13 believe the third page. 14 done. With regard to the other calculations that 14 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to 15 you were asking me about, it's almost too 15 move that Exhibit 34 be admitted. 16 difficult to do. 16 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. 17 When you go through this and you start 17 MR. MacLEAN: No objection. seeing the number of circumstances in which a JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 34 is 18 18 19 program is being attributed to some that you just 19 admitted. know is not correct. And we attempted to present 20 20 (Whereupon, the above-referred to exemplars so to speak. Because we couldn't get 21 21 document was received into to all of them. 22 evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 34.) 258 260 1 If we were going to all of them, 1 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. literally you know, with focusing on copyright Now at some point did those entities registrations and this and that, it would have, I -- excuse me, before I do that. Please take a mean it could take a year. look at what's been marked as Exhibit 35 and tell BY MR. BOYDSTON: me if you recognize that? Thank you. Now at some point in time 6 MR. GALAZ: That was the agreement IPG and Fintage Publishing -- well, strike that. entered into between the joint venture of Fintage At some point in time did IPG and WSG and TV Azteca R 9 Fintage Publishing have an agreement with each MR. BOYDSTON: Now at some point were other? 10 10 these two -- oh, I'm sorry, Your Honor, I move to 11 Yes. We were representing their U.S. 11 admit Exhibit 35. interest and they were representing -- we were MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. 12 1.3 representing their North American interest and 13 MR. MacLEAN: No objection. they were representing our interests in Europe. 14 JUDGE BARRETT: 35? 1.4 14 In that regard did IPG and Fintage MR. BOYDSTON: At some point in time jointly enter into contracts with certain 16 were these agreements terminated? JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry, did -- we 17 programmers? 17 18 were looking at 34 and you asked for admission of 18 Were some of those programmers Spanish 19 12

20

21

22

Honor, I --

language programmers?

Yes.

Do you recall any of them?

Α

20

21

22

MR. BOYDSTON: I did, I'm sorry, Your

JUDGE BARRETT: 34 was admitted.

261

```
MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. I was asking for
     the admission of 35.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Okav. And there's no
     objection to that as well?
                MS. PLOVNICK: No.
                JUDGE BARRETT: Okav. 35 is admitted.
                     (Whereupon, the above-referred to
                    document was received into
                     evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 35.)
                BY MR. BOYDSTON:
                At some point in time -- are you aware
    as to whether or not at some point in time these
     two contracts were terminated?
1.1
15
                And when did that happen?
10
                It was I recall, following my
17
    incarceration. I can actually picture the
    letters sitting on my desk. And a little
    background is we had parted ways with Fintage at
```

some point in I believe 2002/2003.

this contract could be continued to be held by

And had agreed that the benefits of

20

21

Now during the time that Fintage and IPG were working together, did it enter into any agreements with EGEDA? We also entered into an agreement with EGEDA. And did EGEDA -- what was the nature of that and what did EGEDA do? Well, it was -- it was similar to the extent that we were going to represent EGEDA to the extent that they had any right -- to the extent that they had any rights outside of the

United States as an agent, we were going to 12 represent those interests.

13 14 Going back to Televisa SA and TV 15 Azteca, you explained that you got termi -- you got a notice of termination in 2006 or 2007. In 16 17 reviewing the MPAA materials that you were provided with, did you see any indication or any 18 19 evidence that Fintage was being accorded the right to collect royalties on behalf of Televisa 20 SA and TV Azteca? .21

262

264

Yes I did. The evidence that was

Fintage. We basically wanted a divorce. And said we're going to take these and you can take 3 those. And that was the agreement. 4 Nonetheless, I received a notice of termination of this. And why it caught my 5 6 attention so much was that one of them was very, very harshly worded. And this was probably a long time, a couple of years after we had already 9 separated ways with Fintage. 10 Nonetheless, we had received the termination notice along with Fintage. 12 Do you remember approximately when that was? 14 I was trying to narrow it down before because I can actually recall seeing it on my 15 16 desk in a house that I was living in in 2006 to 2007. So I know at that point, by that point, it 17 18 had already been in and it might have been sometime earlier then that. 19

mind is that it was -- that it post-dated my

incarceration by a year or two.

The only clear date that I had in my

produced in discovery was that the programming claimed by Televisa and TV -- or I should say 3 accorded to Televisa and TV Azteca was being claimed by Fintage. TV Azteca and Televisa of course don't have contracts with the MPAA. Fintage has a contract with the MPAA. No termination notices 8 were provided even though I know that they exist. 9 And quite frankly. I would have them, 10 but for the fact that we no longer represented Televisa and TV Azteca, and I saw no reason to keep them. However, I recall them. And the one 12 that I told you about that I recall in particular was with Televisa, because it was very, very harshly worded. Now, getting over to --JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, I'm 17 18 sorry. We're going to take a break at this time.

MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. Just for

everyone's planning, I have just -- not that I

want to keep going. I just have a couple of

22 questions left and then I will be done with Mr.

19

20

21

20

21

265 267 Galaz. just --MR. BOYDSTON: -- and whether or not JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. 15 they ever identified -- or provided IPG and minutes. Fintage with evidence to show that they actually (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 3:02 p.m. and had contractual relationships with the property resumed at 3:22 p.m.) owners they claimed to represent. JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. Mr. THE WITNESS: And the answer was no, they have not. Boydston. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. BOYDSTON: I think when we broke, we were talking about the 10 And do you recall the names of some of 10 11 empty EGEDA and the fact that the IPG-Fintage 11 those entities? 12 joint venture had entered into an agreement with 12 Α No, actually, I don't. There's, 13 EGEDA, do you recall that? 13 literally, there's lots of them. THE WITNESS: That's correct. Have you seen in looking at the MPAA 1.1 14 10 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 15 information that we've been discussing here today, have you seen the names of some of those 16 0 And pursuant to that agreement, what 16 17 did EGEDA do? 17 entities -- did you recognize the names of some А Well, EGEDA gave us the identity of of those EGEDA entities as being entities now for 1:: the various entities with which it had which MPAA is making claim? 10 19 affiliated, that is, according to -- they claim 20 There are some, but predominantly, the in the United States they were all programs that -- yes, there are some, because I

266

268

recollection was that they were almost all from Spain. There were a few other Spanish-language territories, but generally, they were all from -1 Spain. Ç, Now when IPG and EGEDA -- and Fintage. rather, split apart, who took over the duties to deal with the EGEDA properties in the United States, Fintage or IPG? Fintage. We went through our -basically went through a divorce with them and 10 went through any claim to the EGEDA contract. Now did EGEDA ever provide IPG and Fintage with evidence showing that it indeed had contractual rights with the entities it purported 11 to represent? 16 Α 17 And what were some --18 JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry -- did whom? MR. BOYDSTON: Sorry, Your Honor, I 19 20 was referring to this entity EGEDA, which is a Spanish identity -- .

JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, all right. I

Spanish-language entities, and I think my

that EGEDA had reported to us and those that were being claimed by the MPAA where Fintage is identified as the agent of those entities. In fact, we found, I am just refreshing my recollection, numerous, and just some of them right here -- Video Mercury Films S.A., Video Universal S.A., Venevision Internacional, Telefe, which is Television Federal Internacional S.A., Producciones Patoce S.A., PolyGram Iberica S.A. -- all of those were entities that were represented by EGEDA to us 12 were represented by EGEDA. Within the MPAA's spreadsheet, their 13 Excel spreadsheet that they provided us, it's 15 indicated that those are entities on whose behalf 16 MPAA is making program claims, identifying them 17 as the purported owner, but not identifying EGEDA 18 as the agent but identifying instead Fintage as 19 the agent. 20 0 And EGEDA is the sub-agent? 21 It presumably would be because there's 22 -- of course, we haven't seen the contract

went back and I compared the list of entities

21

272

269

```
between Fintage and EGEDA or a contract between
```

- Fintage and any of these entities, but given on
- top of that there are I think 385 circumstances
- in which a particular program has been claimed by
- the MPAA and attributed to EGEDA as the owner.
- and they're not an owner or distributor of
- programming.
- Let me ask you to take a look at
- what's been marked as Exhibit 36, and that is a
- list, it's got the title Claimants Claimed by
- Fintage House through EGEDA. And did you create
- this list? 12
- Yes, I did.
- And what did you create it from? 14
- 15 Again, this was taken from the Excel
- 16 spreadsheet that the MPAA provided to us, and
- 17 this has distilled down those entities that
- Fintage House has represented in that list to be 18
- the agent of, but for which our own information
- 20 is that EGEDA was in fact the agent of that
- 21 entity.

19

22

And is there anything in the record

- provisionally marked as Exhibit 127, to be either
- admitted or not admitted later on by the Judges,
- and it would provide the MPAA the opportunity, if
- they wanted to, to contradict Mr. Galaz's
- statement thereon.
- JUDGE STRICKLER: Your proffer is that
- this exhibit that you would create would be
- nothing other than a memorialization of Mr.
 - Galaz's testimony to that effect today?
- 10 MR. BOYDSTON: That's correct.
- 11 MS. PLOVNICK: We've already heard his
- 12 testimony and we have the whole spreadsheet, so
- 13

17

- 14 MR. BOYDSTON: I -- we are making this
- proffer largely to address any possible concern 15
- that might be out there in the ether due to the 16
 - comments by counsel for SDC.
- JUDGE STRICKLER: Should we hear from 18
- the ether? 19
- 20 (Laughter.)
- JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, if you 21
- 22 would like to prepare a summary document, you may

270

- that -- are there any agreements in the record
- that make the connection between EGEDA and these
- entities?
- Α There's no connection between EGEDA
- 5 and these entities. There's no connection
- between Fintage and these entities. There's no 6
- connection between the MPAA and these entities. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 9 Thank you, Mr. Gal -- Galaz, rather. Your Honor,
- 10 I have nothing further.
- 11 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Olaniran?
- MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, Your Honor, I beg
- your pardon. Very quickly, I wanted to -- I 13
- 14 wanted to make a proffer of providing a document
- 15 like the document Judge Strickler described. I
- 16 -- in short order, IPG would spread the
- information that we've been referring to provided 17
- 18 by the MPAA and cull it down to just the entities
- that were testified about here today and provide 19
- electronically to the parties and to the Judges, 20
- 21 if that is convenient, by Tuesday of next week.
- 22 And we would ask that it be

- do so. We won't number it or mark it or make a
- ruling on its admissibility. Certainly, if you
- were to produce such a document and if the other
- parties do not find fault with it as in
- inaccuracies, it will be helpful to the Judges
- and aid in their deliberations, since the Judges
- don't have the entire spreadsheet, it was a
- discovery document. 8
- MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, I would 9
- 10 also suggest that I -- well, we just have a
- fundamental objection to somehow showing up -- a 11
- party trying to show up evidence at this point.
- And I think the whole discussion, ultimately it
- might be a misunderstanding of what Mr. Galaz --
- and I intend to ask questions about that, and
- then hopefully by the time we get through the 17 questions, that will just illuminate what perhaps
- 18 has been a misunderstanding.
- 19 JUDGE BARRETT: It would be merely a
- 20 summary document, an illustrative document, if
- you will, a demonstrative -- not demonstrative, 21
- 22 but illustrative of Mr. Galaz's testimony.

276

```
without it, all right? Mr. MacLean --
    Before it can be admitted or considered for
                                                               2
                                                                                JUDGE STRICKLER: Is any -- I am
    admission, all parties would have an opportunity
                                                               3
    to review it, you could have it overnight, you
                                                                    sorry.
                                                                                JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, I'm sorry.
    can have it over lunch.
                                                                                JUDGE STRICKLER: Is any counsel
                 TUDGE STRICKLER. I might add, in that
                                                                5
                                                                    getting daily copy of the transcripts, or are you
    vein, to the extent you see any discrepancies
    between Mr. Galaz's testimony and the summary
                                                                    awaiting the transcripts on the same time frame
    document itself when you do your proposed
                                                                                MS. PLOVNICK: We just put in a
    findings of fact and conclusions of law, you can
    certainly point out that the summary differs from
                                                                    request for daily, but it has -- I don't think
10
    what was said and make whatever argument you want
                                                                    that it has taken effect yet, so we will get it
                                                               11
11
12
    to make in that regard, because it's our
                                                                    soon, but we don't have any of it vet.
     intention as of now to rely on the testimony, not
                                                               13
                                                                                JUDGE STRICKLER: I ask only because
1 4
                                                                    to the extent there may be any difference between
    a document that's being created at the eleventh
15
    hour simply to be helpful.
                                                               15
                                                                    the testimony that's supposed to be memorialized
                If it's different, it's not helpful,
                                                                    in the summary document and the summary document
     and it's too late.
                                                               17
                                                                    itself, and you have a daily copy, you'd be able
                MR. OLANIRAN: I guess, just for the
                                                                    to tell us that.
1 ×
    record, we were worried about the prejudicial
                                                               19
                                                                                MS. PLOVNICK: Yes.
                                                                                JUDGE STRICKLER: So that illuminates.
20
    effect that such a document might have, even
                                                               20
21
    allowing a summary of a document that we believe
                                                               21
                                                                    Mr. Olaniran, the prejudicial problem, because we
                                                                    may be prejudiced, but at least it will be
    is fundamentally misunderstood.
```

274

273

The IPG's arguments are well-articulated in their briefs. There is a summary of those arguments in the spreadsheets that Your Honors asked us, asked each party to provide. Those have been provided. Mr. Galaz has testified to what he understands about the relationships between the entities the MPAA represents. I intend to cross-examine him on those issues, and at the end 10 of the day, his answers, my questions, and the 11 some for MPAA, will fit in one of those boxes. So asking again to show up a document 12 13 that we believe is either flatly wrong or migunderstood could be prejudicial. 14 15 JUDGE BARRETT: Well, we don't have the document here. It hasn't been produced. It 17 hasn't been offered. And you can argue all of those fine points, Mr. Olaniran, if and when Mr. 18 19 Boydston shows up with a document that he wants 20 us to consider, and then we can weigh whether we

think it is beneficial to us as the finders of

fact or whether we think the record is sufficient

pointed out to us. (Laughter.) JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean? MR. MACLEAN: Okay, Your Honor, first of all I have no objection to the procedure the Judges have described, and provided of course that we have a chance to respond, and I understand that completely. However, since we're on this topic, we 10 -- I move for an order compelling the production 11 of the summary that was provided to the witnesses whose declarations have been admitted here. That 12 13 is something that -- that's a document that has not been produced to us and something that we 14 15 should have the opportunity to examine. 16 MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry, I am -- I 17 get your pardon, Mr. MacLean, I don't understand, what document are you referring to? 19 JUDGE STRICKLER: I think this is a 20 tit-for-tat argument. He is shifting gears and 21 he is saying he wants these underlying documents 22 that were referenced in the certifications of the

21

280

```
declarations that the witness testified about
     from claimants that were not attached as exhibits
     to those certifications or declarations.
                 MR. MACLEAN: Correct. But where the
     benefit -
                 (Simultaneous speaking.)
                 MR. MACLEAN: -- said I reviewed this
     list of conflicting claims and Mr. Galaz, at
     least to my understanding, testified that the
     list was an excerpt from a spreadsheet. I want
                                                               10
     to see that excerpt, and I think I'm entitled to
                                                               11
12
                                                               12
1 +
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, that was my
                                                               13
1.0
     continuing objection to paragraph three, is that
                                                               14
15
    he took something and showed it to the claimant
                                                               15
     and had them react in the form of the declaration
10
                                                               16
17
                                                               17
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, this is separate
                                                               18
18
    and apart from the summary that we're talking
19
                                                               19
20
    about. I understand your concern about these
                                                               20
21
    declarants saving I reviewed the conflicting
                                                               21
    program claims. We don't know what conflicting
```

```
It's a little late in the proceedings to be
asking for us to compel discovery, but I
understand what you're getting at, and we'll talk
about it, we'll let you know. We'll let you
know, more importantly, Mr. Boydston, because you
and your clients would be the ones who would have
to come up with that.
            MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
            JUDGE BARRETT: That data.
            MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I beg your
pardon. I didn't move that Exhibit 36 go in.
That was the last charge that Mr. Galaz testified
about, and we move that Exhibit 36 be admitted.
            MS. PLOVNICK: As long as it's clear
it wasn't created by MPAA, we have no objection
to it.
            MR. MACLEAN: No objection.
            JUDGE BARRETT: 36 is admitted.
            (Whereupon, the above-referred to
document was received into evidence as IPG
Exhibit 36.)
            MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
```

278

277

And as I said before, I think that

program claims they had reviewed.

goes to the weight of the declaration. If you want to give us some three page brief on whether

5 it's appropriate for us to reconsider that, and

F.

2

MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, this isn't

· · what I am saying now is not an objection to

Š the admission of the declarations. I am asking

for -- to compel the production of that document

so that we can examine it since the declarants

12

13 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I think --

14 sorry, I think we've covered this, you've ruled

15 on it. I don't think the fact that I made a

16 proffer to meet one of these requests is an open

door for him to start making new motions. I am 17

18 not even sure what he's asking for, to be honest.

JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. I will discuss 19

this with my colleagues, and we will let you 20

21 know, Mr. Boydston, whether there are some

additional documents that you do need to provide.

JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Olaniran?

MR. OLANIRAN: Sure. Good afternoon,

Mr. Galaz. My name is Greg Olaniran.

THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

MR. OLANIRAN: And I am counsel for 5

MPAA. I just wanted to talk a little bit about

the exchanges we've had this afternoon about the

8 spreadsheet.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

10 CROSS EXAMINATION

BY MR. OLANIRAN:

Q And -- excuse me, just to be clear,

Exhibit 12 for example and similar exhibits that

you pulled from this spreadsheet, this Excel

spreadsheet -- that's your, Exhibit 12 for

example would be your version of those MPAA

17 titles that are being attributed directly to MPAA

18 representative agents, is that a fair

characterization? 19

The documents that are spreadsheets

21 that I have prepared here were, as I had

22 indicated, derived from the Excel spreadsheet

```
that the MPAA provided to us, correct, that has
```

- 2 culled down certain information that is
- represented to be what each of these spreadsheets
- are.

15

2

- Q So there's an Excel spreadsheet that
- 6 MFAA provided to you -- ?
 - A That was 44,000 entries.
- Q Just a yes or no will be fine.
- o h Ves
- .0 Q Okay. MPAA provided an Excel
- 11 spreadsheet to you, and you then pulled out
- 12 specific types of information from that
- 13 spreadsheet to make some of the exhibits that
- 14 have been discussed this afternoon.
 - A That's correct.
- 16 Q And one of those exhibits was Exhibit
- 17 12, where you -- in my understanding, you
- 18 attempt to demonstrate MPAA claimed titles that
- 19 are attributed directly to agents, is that a fair
- 20 description of it?
- 21 A That is correct, but even beyond that.
- 22 They are attributed not just to the agents that

283

284

- as the copyright owner to programs claimed by the
- 2 MPAA, but only limited to those circumstances
- 3 where that particular owner in one or more years
- has been represented by an agent.
- 5 Q I am a little confused about the last
- part.

12

- A Okay. I can give you an example.
- Q Okay.
 - A Let's say that the MPAA entered into
- 10 an agreement with company XYZ. And company XYZ
- 11 has been accorded ownership of program XYZ. That
 - would not appear -- company XYZ would not appear
- 13 here because the contractual relationship is
- 14 directly between the MPAA and company XYZ.
- 15 If, by contrast, that exact same 16 relationship between company XYZ and program XYZ
- 17 appeared in the MPAA data that indicated that
- 18 company XYZ was represented through an agent,
- 19 i.e. there is no contractual or correspondence
- 20 relationship between the MPAA and company XYZ,
- 21 company XYZ appears here.
 - And the means by which we identified

282

- represented -- they are accorded to the purported
- copyright owners that are represented by agents.
- 3 JUDGE STRICKLER: I just want to make
- 4 sure we're talking about the same document,
- 5 because your question is about Exhibit 12 and
- 6 you're making reference to titles, but I don't
- 7 see titles listed on Exhibit 12.
- 8 JUDGE FEDER: Claimants, I am sorry,
- 9 claimants.
- JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.
- JUDGE FEDER: Claimants.
- 12 JUDGE BARRETT: By -- again, by
- 13 claimants, you are referring to the owners of the
- 14 rights, not necessarily the representative agent
- 15 of the owner? Or are you talking about a joint
- 16 claimant? Might be an agent.
- 17 BY MR. OLANIRAN:
- 18 Q Well let me ask a different question.
- 19 What is Exhibit 12 referencing? Just to make it
- 20 easier.
- 21 A These are entities that have been
- 22 accorded by the MPAA within its Excel spreadsheet

- whether or not there is an agent or not in one or
- 2 more years is -- the MPAA data was handled in two
- different ways. One way was in the column under
- 4 which they identified copyright owner. In some
- 5 circumstances, they put in parentheses the name
- of the agent. And that would be, for instance,
- 7 First Miracle Productions (Compact).
- In other circumstances, for other
- 9 years, they had an additional column that simply
- 10 said agent.
- 11 Q Okay. So really, Exhibit 12 is where
- 12 there's no documented relationship between MPAA
- 13 and the copyright owner.
- 14 A That's correct, and that's the
- 15 heading. But for one or more years, not
- 16 necessarily for all years.
- 17 Q I understand. Thanks for the
 - clarification. But you also have other exhibits
- 19 where agents attributed title ownership also, is
- 20 that right?
- 21 A Other examples in which a --
- 22 Q You have other exhibits in which you

You are right. That was your identify agents that are being attributed copyright ownership, is that --Correct. Where a known agent has been -- and that would be an example, for instance, a particular program. like EGEDA. We know EGEDA is --Understood, understood. -- and their attributed copyright correct? owner. So let's get back to the Excel Correct. 0 spreadsheet. You know that MPAA filed a written 10

direct statement, Ms. Saunders filed a written

direct statement, correct?

Okay. And in the written direct 1.4 statement, she had a list of -- she identified a

10 list of titles that are claimed by MPAA, right?

17

18 And then following the submission of

19 the written direct statement, there was

discovery, correct? 20

21 A Correct.

22 0 And during discovery we produced

representation that there was no electronic

document that paired the MPAA-represented

copyright owner or claimant, even an agent, with

Okay. So in response to the parties'

dispute, the Judges issued an order on July 30,

Okay. And that order directed MPAA to

produce an electronic database, an electronic

12 spreadsheet, correct?

13 That identified the claimant paired

with the program being claimed. 14

That identified the information in the 15

manner that you wanted, essentially. 16

> Α That's correct.

Okay. And so in response to that, you 18 0

received the Excel spreadsheet. 19

The electronic document, correct.

21 Okav. So you now have in your hand at

that point Ms. Saunders's written direct

286

17

20

288

certifications of title for the titles that have

been claimed in her written direct statement.

correct?

That is correct.

Okay. And then there was discovery

disputes which resulted in a motion to compel by

IFG, correct?

Δ Correct.

And it was pursuant to that discovery 9 0

dispute that the Judges made the July 30 ruling, 10

11 correct?

. A 12 That is correct.

13 And then when the -- and our response

to your motion to compel was that there was no

database as you requested with regard to matching 15

owners and titles in the manner that you 16

17 requested, do you recall that?

18 That was your response, but not the

19 testimony of Ms. Saunders.

We are not talking about the testimony

of Ms. Saunders. That stands, and let her speak 21

for herself --22

testimony listing the claims that are being made

by MPAA. You also have the hard copy of the

certifications, correct?

The -- correct.

Q Okay. And now you have the Excel

spreadsheet.

А The Excel spreadsheet that ties those

two together, correct.

0 Well you have an Excel spreadsheet

that was prepared by Ms. Saunders's lawyer,

correct?

10

12

20

Correct.

13 Okay. And you also get a digitized

copy of the appendices to Ms. Saunders's 14

testimony. And I use the word digitized to make

a distinction between electronic and -- digitized 16

17 hard copies which you can search, you can

18 certainly search, versus electronic spreadsheet

19 derived from a software such as Excel.

Actually, I am not sure about that

last part. I don't recall whether or not we did 21

22 or not, but I do want to clarify one thing,

291 289 because the Excel spreadsheet that you're proceeding. referring to --2 Α Okav. Right? So you have Ms. Saunders's testimony, and you have the certifications, and -- I think you may have referred to it as somehow digitizing or putting in electronic The hard copies of the certifications? form the certifications, and that is not The hard copies of the certifications, completely clear, and that's why we were referring to how it's not stricken, so if you correct. Okay, 1,000 pages, okay, all right -have the certification --And then you have this created 10 Let's look at Exhibit 333. 10 electronic sheet that didn't exist before the 333. Okav. 11 11 12 Judges' orders. 13 Now the statement you just made about a reference to certifications, do you see that in Well, I think that's at issue, 1 : actually. here? I just want to make sure. The reference to certifications that 15 Okay. Now in compiling all of the 16 I just made? exhibits that relied on the Excel spreadsheet, 17 17 for example with regard to the titles that the 1 8 I am somewhat at a loss. Are you --MPAA is claiming, did you look at the 19 I am sorry. I thought you made a 19 certifications? I did not -- and just to put this in 20 reference to something we represented to you 20 Α 21 about certifications. 21 context, the certifications are, if I recall. Right. Here, I think there was a you've got some in evidence --290 292 representation -- my understanding from Ms. It's a yes or no question, Mr. Galaz. Plovnick is she was saying that the Excel spreadsheet was a representation or digitization, Thank you. In preparing exhibits that some word to that effect, of what was in the you derived from the Excel spreadsheet dealing certifications, and that's what prompted the with MPAA's titles, did you look at Ms. Judges to say well, does it show, for instance, Saunders's written direct testimony, Appendix B for Satellite and Satellite and Cable Testimony? where there has been something stricken? That's not it. It's nothing of that I presumed it was an accurate 9 representation of it, so no, I didn't make a cort. There's ... 10 0 So but, let me just direct your 10 comparison between the two. 11 attention to the first page of the letter. 11 JUDGE STRICKLER: Did you say you 12 12 presumed it was an accurate or inaccurate? Α Okay. 13 0 And the second paragraph. 13 THE WITNESS: An accurate --All right. JUDGE STRICKLER: An accurate --Α 14 15 And one two three, the fourth line 15 THE WITNESS: That the same titles 16 from the bottom of the second paragraph, the 16 that appear in one are the same titles that 17 sentence that starts with "In addition," do you 17 appear in the other. 18 see that? 18 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 19 19 BY MR. OLANIRAN: And it says, "In addition, MPAA is 20 20 So you didn't rely on certifications producing electronic copies of Appendices A and B 21 21 and you didn't rely on her written direct 22 to Jane Saunders's written testimony in this 22 statement.

295 293 that establishes communication or contractual I relied on the -- to create the relationships between the purported copyright documents that I have appended as exhibits and owner and the MPAA, but also the purported have been introduced here, I relied exclusively copyright owner and the purported agent. There's on the MPAA-produced Excel spreadsheet that ties no documents for the particular years that we're the claimants with their claimed programs. dealing with that demonstrates that that party is Fair enough. So if in fact there was making claim for programs for that year or has a discrepancy, an addition that a hard copy authorized anybody to represent them for that certification would have provided for example that didn't show up on the Excel spreadsheet, you particular year. There's literally nothing. No relationship, no documented 10 would not have captured that? If there was a discrepancy, which I relationship between the copyright owner and the 11 agent or the copyright owner and MPAA, is that a 12 presume there would not be, then -- then, I am 12 sorry, your question is if I had checked an 13 fair --That's correct. electronic version of Appendix B to Ms. 14 Saunders's testimony, whether I would have caught 15 Thank you. And did you raise this 16 it? I would have caught it if there was a 16 issue before in the last proceeding, in the 17 discrepancy. I would not have thought there 17 '00-'03 proceeding? would have been a discrepancy. One was supposed 18 We raised it in --19 to be representative of the other. 19 0 Just a ves or no. 20 Well, that's your understanding. 20 Yes. 21 Let's just be clear about that. Your 21 O Thank you. And are you aware that the Judges ruled on this issue before, that there's 22 understanding is that the Excel spreadsheet is 296 294 supposed to be representative of the no requirement to produce every single agreement that an agent has with a copyright owner? Yes or certifications and Appendix B, for example, in Ms. Saunders's testimony. Well, I --Well, again, in that narrow context, 5 Yes or no first, before you give an I'd say no. 6 answer to that question --0 You're not aware that the Judges ruled 7 Well in a yes or no context, I can't as such? 8 answer your question. Δ That was not my understanding of the 9 Okav. We'll move on, then. 9 ruling --Now one of IPG's claims is that MPAA 0 Okay, let's move on. There is no 10 10 11 failed to substantiate its authority to 11 point in debating the law with you. represent, I think you had 582 claimants, is that I am not debating. 12 12 Α

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

IPG also, has also claimed that the

And you testified to some of that

Australian-based Screenrights and also EGEDA, and

I wish I could actually pronounce the full name

But it's E-G-E-D-A, I think, that's the acronym

of EGEDA, but I am a little short on Spanish.

Foreign Collection Societies are falsely

attributed copyright ownership, correct?

Correct.

earlier today. And you identified

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

correct?

MPAA. is that correct?

Α

0

For one or more years, correct.

copyright owners that you're talking about -- the

basis for this is that you don't see a documented

relationship between the copyright owner and

Not entirely.

For one or more years. So -- and the

Okav. what's not correct about that?

Well not only is there not a document

	207	1	299
E	297		
1	for EGEDA.	1	A Okay.
2	And with regard to Screenrights, what	2	Q Okay. And on each one of the
3	evidence did you rely on to conclude that	3	certifications, there are three ways in which a
4	Screenrights was presented to serve as the	4	claimant could identify its status. Do you see
5	copyright owner?	5	that?
6	A I am sorry, what evidence did I rely	6	A Are you talking just on the first
7	on for? I didn't hear the last	7	page?
8	Q Concluding that Screenrights has been	8	Q On the first page, yes.
9	presented as a copyright owner.	9	A Or the second page as well?
10	A That they are identified as the	10	MR. BOYDSTON: This one is restricted.
11	purported copyright owner?	11	JUDGE BARRETT: This is restricted and
12	Q Yes.	12	it's also not admitted yet into evidence, so
13	A It appears actually in two documents.	13	MR. OLANIRAN: It is admitted.
14	It appears in the electronic Excel spreadsheet	14	JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, I am sorry, my
15	that the MPAA has produced, pursuant to the July	15	notes are defective. If you have not signed a
16	30 order, and I have one of our admitted exhibits	16	confidentiality agreement, would you please wait
17	as one that identifies for which programs	17	outside? If I remember I'll invite you back in
18	Screenrights has been identified as the owner of	18	before the day is over. And feel free to put
19	a particular program.	19	that closed session sign on the other side of the
20	Q And that identification	20	door.
21	A I didn't finish, I am sorry.	21	Thank you. Okay, go ahead, Mr.
22	Q That's okay.	22	Olaniran.
		-	
II .	200	1	200
	298	_	300
1	A And then the second document is	1	BY MR. OLANIRAN:
2	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS	2	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338,
2	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in	2	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of
2 3 4	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book.	3	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed
2 3 4 5	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications?	2 3 4 5	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check
2 3 4 5	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an	2 3 4 5	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct?
2 3 4 5 6	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is,	2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights. Q So with regard to Screenrights, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check is that owner other than corporation of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights. Q So with regard to Screenrights, you wouldn't be on the Excel spreadsheet and looking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check is that owner other than corporation of partnership, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights. Q So with regard to Screenrights, you wouldn't be on the Excel spreadsheet and looking at certifications?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check is that owner other than corporation of partnership, right? A Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights. Q So with regard to Screenrights, you wouldn't be on the Excel spreadsheet and looking at certifications? A No, I didn't. But'I have since	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check is that owner other than corporation of partnership, right? A Correct. Q And you could check as an agent of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights. Q So with regard to Screenrights, you wouldn't be on the Excel spreadsheet and looking at certifications? A No, I didn't. But'I have since because that was included in your exhibits, and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check is that owner other than corporation of partnership, right? A Correct. Q And you could check as an agent of owner other than corporation of partnership,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights. Q So with regard to Screenrights, you wouldn't be on the Excel spreadsheet and looking at certifications? A No, I didn't. But'I have since because that was included in your exhibits, and I witnessed it there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check is that owner other than corporation of partnership, right? A Correct. Q And you could check as an agent of owner other than corporation of partnership, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights. Q So with regard to Screenrights, you wouldn't be on the Excel spreadsheet and looking at certifications? A No, I didn't. But'I have since because that was included in your exhibits, and I witnessed it there. Q Okay. Now let's turn to Exhibit 338.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check is that owner other than corporation of partnership, right? A Correct. Q And you could check as an agent of owner other than corporation of partnership,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights. Q So with regard to Screenrights, you wouldn't be on the Excel spreadsheet and looking at certifications? A No, I didn't. But'I have since because that was included in your exhibits, and I witnessed it there. Q Okay. Now let's turn to Exhibit 338. A Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check is that owner other than corporation of partnership, right? A Correct. Q And you could check as an agent of owner other than corporation of partnership, correct?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights. Q So with regard to Screenrights, you wouldn't be on the Excel spreadsheet and looking at certifications? A No, I didn't. But'I have since because that was included in your exhibits, and I witnessed it there. Q Okay. Now let's turn to Exhibit 338. A Okay. Q Are you there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check is that owner other than corporation of partnership, right? A Correct. Q And you could check as an agent of owner other than corporation of partnership, correct? A Correct. Q And you could check as an officer or partner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights. Q So with regard to Screenrights, you wouldn't be on the Excel spreadsheet and looking at certifications? A No, I didn't. But'I have since because that was included in your exhibits, and I witnessed it there. Q Okay. Now let's turn to Exhibit 338. A Okay. Q Are you there? A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check is that owner other than corporation of partnership, right? A Correct. Q And you could check as an agent of owner other than corporation of partnership, correct? A Correct. Q And you could check as an officer or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights. Q So with regard to Screenrights, you wouldn't be on the Excel spreadsheet and looking at certifications? A No, I didn't. But'I have since because that was included in your exhibits, and I witnessed it there. Q Okay. Now let's turn to Exhibit 338. A Okay. Q Are you there? A Yes. Q Okay. And Exhibit 338 is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check is that owner other than corporation of partnership, right? A Correct. Q And you could check as an agent of owner other than corporation of partnership, correct? A Correct. Q And you could check as an officer or partner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights. Q So with regard to Screenrights, you wouldn't be on the Excel spreadsheet and looking at certifications? A No, I didn't. But'I have since because that was included in your exhibits, and I witnessed it there. Q Okay. Now let's turn to Exhibit 338. A Okay. Q Are you there? A Yes. Q Okay. And Exhibit 338 is the Certification of Entitlement that was completed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check is that owner other than corporation of partnership, right? A Correct. Q And you could check as an agent of owner other than corporation of partnership, correct? A Correct. Q And you could check as an officer or partner. A Officer well, clarification.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights. Q So with regard to Screenrights, you wouldn't be on the Excel spreadsheet and looking at certifications? A No, I didn't. But'I have since because that was included in your exhibits, and I witnessed it there. Q Okay. Now let's turn to Exhibit 338. A Okay. Q Are you there? A Yes. Q Okay. And Exhibit 338 is the Certification of Entitlement that was completed by Screenrights. And in that exhibit, do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check is that owner other than corporation of partnership, right? A Correct. Q And you could check as an agent of owner other than corporation of partnership, correct? A Correct. Q And you could check as an officer or partner. A Officer well, clarification. Officer or partner of the legal entity identified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A And then the second document is actually the certifications of that AVCS Screenrights has executed, some of which are in your exhibit book. Q The actual certifications? A The actual certifications have an attachment that at the top says who the owner is, and then it identifies Screenrights. Q So with regard to Screenrights, you wouldn't be on the Excel spreadsheet and looking at certifications? A No, I didn't. But'I have since because that was included in your exhibits, and I witnessed it there. Q Okay. Now let's turn to Exhibit 338. A Okay. Q Are you there? A Yes. Q Okay. And Exhibit 338 is the Certification of Entitlement that was completed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q And I was asking that on Exhibit 338, on the very first page, the Certificate of Entitlement for 2007 that Screenrights executed you have three boxes in which you could check the status, correct? A Correct. Q One of the boxes that you could check is that owner other than corporation of partnership, right? A Correct. Q And you could check as an agent of owner other than corporation of partnership, correct? A Correct. Q And you could check as an officer or partner. A Officer well, clarification. Officer or partner of the legal entity identified as the owner or authorized agent of the owner of

303 301 So it seems somewhat ambiguous as to Correct. -- so are you, are you -- okay. whether you're - you're purporting to be an I have no idea whether EGEDA is officer or partner, but it's not clear whether because there is no correspondence between EGEDA you're an officer or partner of an agent or the and any entity that has been produced to us. underlying owner. So and your basis, again, is the Excel Move to strike. Your Honor, that's not a response that -spreadsheet, is that right? The Excel spreadsheet that the MPAA MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, he is only reciting what the thing says -produced that combines the identified copyright owner and agent, if there is an agent, with a 141 MR. OLANIRAN: No, he is actually trying to interpret a form that he is not an particular program. There are 385 circumstances 12 in which EGEDA is identified as the owner without 12 JUDGE BARRETT: Granted. 13 agent. 1.1 BY MR. OLANIRAN: 14 Understood. Now, it would save a lot 15 So on -- so with respect to the 15 of time if you didn't keep explaining the Excel spreadsheet over and over again. I think we get 16 Certification of Entitlement for 2007, 16 17 Screenrights checks as an agent of owner, doesn't 1.7 the idea. it? Now other than the Excel spreadsheet, 181 18 19 It checks agent of owner. 19 did you review any other discovery with regard to Okay, thank you. And why don't you the status of EGEDA as a copyright owner? 20 20 2:1 look at the certifications for 2008? 21 There was nothing offered by EGEDA They all, I'll acknowledge, 2007, that was produced. 302 304 2008, and 2009, the instances you've provided Let me direct your attention to -here, in each circumstance they've checked agent, At least that I recall. -- Exhibit 341. but then the next --That's not what I asked. Okay. 5 -- the next exhibits contradict that. And Exhibit 341, do you recognize that 0 6 I am not asking you about the next € 0 document? exhibits. А It appears to be several documents. JUDGE STRICKLER: Which exhibit, I am not talking about the next 8 exhibit, I am talking about the attachment to the 9 counsel? 10 face page on the exhibit. MR. OLANIRAN: 341, Your Honor. 10 11 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Galaz, wait for 11 Pre-marked as 341, it hasn't been admitted yet. 12 the question, okay? 12 BY MR. OLANIRAN: 13 MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 Have you had a chance to review it? I am just glancing over it until you 14 BY MR. OLANIRAN: 14 direct me to something in particular. 15 You also testified that EGEDA 15 16 presented itself as a copyright owner, correct? 16 I asked you if you recognized the I don't think I said EGEDA presented 17 17 document. itself as a copyright owner. What I think I said 18 18 А And I was saying it appears to be is that the MPAA is attributing them as the 19 19 multiple documents. 20 copyright owner. 20 0 There's multiple documents of the same 21 MPAA is attributing copyright 0 kind, if you will. 21 ownership to EGEDA --They -- there's the certification 22 Α

307 305 1 instead of a narrative? signed by Fintage on behalf of Bell-Phillip for 2 MR. BOYDSTON: I am sorry, Your Honor, 2001, 2002 -- well I mean, I don't know if you it was just I had to describe what I was talking want me to go through all of them, but they are about. The legal basis is they never produced various certifications, they are a like type of the electronic database that generated the list document. Okay. And you would have received of programs. They claim that no such electronic these in discovery. You received these in database existed, and yet when I questioned Ms. discovery, did you not? Saunders, she indicated that the third-party I believe so, as indicated by the Bates stamp. That would indicate that they were vendor did have such database, the Whitt entity, 10 and that they provided that hard drive to 11 received, this one in Satellite, if it's preceded 12 by an S, Satellite, if it's preceded by a C, it's 12 13 So counsel had the hard drive from Mr. 14 And move to admit Exhibit 341, Your 14 Whitt's company that generated these lists even 15 Honor. 15 though they said in discovery that they didn't have such a thing, that they, in discovery, they 16 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, Your Honor, I am 16 17 not sure that this witness is able to 17 insisted no, we have no such thing. And in fact it did exist, with the third-party vendor who, in 18 authenticate this, so I would object on that 18 19 ground. 19 2010, turned it over to counsel. 20 There is another ground I'd like to And I apologize because just, this has 20 21 object to that's a bit more weighty and applies 21 to do with testimony of several days ago.

306

308

testimony of Jane Saunders, and specifically with regard to, like looking at 341, the second page is a listing of just two programs. The fourth page is a listing of programs, and each of these have the certification followed by a listing of the number of programs. And my question to Ms. Saunders was. 9 you know, where do these come up? Where do these come from, these lists? There must have been some sort of a way to mesh together the owners of 12 copyright and particular programs. 13 And she said, well, at the MPAA all we have is paper to do this, but at our third-party 15 vendor, they had a computer database they used to 16 generate these sheets that would then be, then, 17 you know, stuck with the certifications and sent 18 19 And I said, well, okay, so your unit had them, your vendor had it --24 21 JUDGE BARRETT: Could you give us a legal basis for the objection, Mr. Boydston, 22

to a few other exhibits as well. And this is, my

objection is as follows: it goes back to the

complete mischaracterization of Ms. Saunders's testimony. I distinctly recall Ms. Saunders explaining --MR. BOYDSTON: I beg your pardon, can you speak up? MR. OLANIRAN: I distinctly recall Ms. Saunders explaining about the piles of paper that Ms. Kessler had to deal with when it was time to complete the certification projects. MR. BOYDSTON: Precisely. And what Ms. Saunders said was that the program lists that are behind each of these certifications were delivered to Ms. Kessler from the third-party vendor, but that the third-party vendor came up 15 with them by electronic means and then handed 16 them over to the MPAA. 17 And then she continued to respond to 18 my questions. I said, well what happened to that database? She said, a third-party vendor stopped 19 20 doing business and gave its hard drive to counsel for the MPAA. So, when we asked in discovery. 21 when we demanded that, the MPAA responded that it

MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, that's a

312

309

```
didn't have it. Well, clearly it had it in its
    control in that the exact hard drive that created
    those lists had been provided to counsel for the
                MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, I find this
    quite interesting given that IPG itself has
    actually moved in one or maybe two of very, very
    similar exhibits, which are certifications
    supported by these same sheets that he is now
10
    campaigning to have kept out of evidence.
                MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, no, I don't
11
    think so. There was no database demanded of us
12
    that we said didn't exist that in fact was in
     control of counsel or of my client.
15
                MR. OLANIRAN: No, I am saying that
    you have actually moved in -- I believe you have
16
17
    moved in one of the --
1 %
                JUDGE BARRETT: No cross talk, please.
19
    Mr. Olaniran, address your comments to the bench.
```

objecting to this one or others.

JUDGE STRICKLER: What's the legal 2

3 objection?

MR. BOYDSTON: The legal objection is

the second page's list of the titles were derived

from an electronic database that we demanded in

discovery, the MPAA said it didn't exist, Ms.

Saunders's testimony indicated it did exist with

a third-party vendor, and in 2010, if my memory

served, the vendor gave it to counsel for the

Thereafter, we demanded it in 12

13 discovery and it wasn't produced, and therefore I

am objecting to this document on the grounds that

15 the program that produced -- the program list was

not produced, even though it was within the

17 control of the MPAA and it was requested.

18 JUDGE STRICKLER: How have you come to

19 the conclusion, maybe I am missing it, that

Exhibit 341 was derived from the document from 20

21 the spreadsheet that you didn't receive?

22 MR. BOYDSTON: Because when I asked

310

- one of the certifications was actually moved in

I don't recall the exhibit number, but I believe

MR. OLANIRAN: Sorry about that. Your

What I was saying is that I think --

while IPG was presenting.

200

21

22

Honor.

- MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, that's true. 338
- was moved in before we got to that point in Ms.
- Saunders's declaration, or testimony. I didn't
- raise any objection to that there, but that
- doesn't mean I can't raise one here.
- JUDGE BARRETT: Well 338 is in. so --
- MR. BOYDSTON: Right. I -- no debate 10
- there, I am not objecting to that. I am 11
- 12 objecting to this one.
- MR. OLANIRAN: It is all the same type 13
- of evidence, Your Honor, It's --1.4
- 14, MR. BOYDSTON: Certifications --
- MR. OLANIRAN: Certifications, let me
- finish. Certifications on the front page, and
- the computer print out is attached to each
- certification form.
- 20 MR. BOYDSTON: There is no question it
- 3:1 is the same type of document. I didn't object to
- 22 the other. That doesn't preclude me from

- Ms. Saunders where those lists came from, she
- said they were delivered to the MPAA by the
- third-party vendor, the Whitt entity, and that
- the Whitt entity created electronically with a
- program that took the big giant lists of all the
- programs and the big giant lists of all the
- program owners and connected the two.
- And then she said they printed out a 8
- 9 big stack and gave it to Marsha Kessler to match
- 10 up with the certifications. And then I said well
- 11 gee, whatever happened to that electronic
- database? And she said well, Whitt went out of 12
- 13 business and turned over the hard drive to counsel, meaning counsel for the MPAA.
- 15
- Thereafter, during these proceedings,
- we demanded such a document, or I should say
- database. We were told it didn't exist, and then
- that was contradicted by Ms. Saunders's 18
- 19

14

- 20 JUDGE STRICKLER: So you're saying you
- 21 would have had the same objection to 338 had you
- 22 had other information or thought of it

,

```
what I recall, not that counsel got it. But be
     previously?
                                                                   that as it may.
                MR. BOYDSTON: Perhaps, And maybe I
     would have let 338 come in if I wanted to see
                                                                               MR. OLANIRAN: It was something along
                                                                   those lines, and I forget what she said about the
     certain information in 338. But at the time 338
                                                                   availability of it, something like that.
     came out, I was asking a question of Ms.
    Saunders, and I wanted to continue asking my
                                                                               JUDGE STRICKLER: The issue at hand is
     question, and she hadn't provided that
                                                                   whether or not to admit 341.
                                                                               MR. OLANIRAN: Correct.
     information at that time.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: And you're offering
                                                                               JUDGE STRICKLER: And the question --
     this into evidence now based on what foundation,
                                                              10
                                                                   there is an objection based on a lack of
11
     Mr. Olaniran?
                                                              11
                                                                   foundation, you didn't have sufficient documents
12
                MR. OLANIRAN: Off the basis that we
                                                              12
                                                                   that were used to create 341, correct, Mr.
                                                                   Boydston?
13
     produced it to them in discovery.
                                                              13
                JUDGE BARRETT: In hard copy?
1.4
                                                              1.4
                                                                               MR. BOYDSTON: Correct.
                                                                               JUDGE STRICKLER: And the witness who
15
                MR. OLANIRAN: In hard copy, yes. And
                                                              15
                                                                   can provide that foundation is sitting right
    Your Honor. I wish I had the transcript of Ms.
                                                              16
16
                                                                   here, Ms. Saunders, in the courtroom, right now.
17
     Saunders's testimony, so I am at a disadvantage.
                                                              17
                                                                               MR. BOYDSTON: Right.
18
     What we've heard is Mr. Boydston's version of
                                                              18
     what Ms. Saunders testified to, and --
                                                                               JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. So we'd like to
19
                                                              19
                JUDGE BARRETT: And you would
                                                              20
                                                                   hear from Ms. Saunders to figure out --
20
    controvert that by saying what?
                                                              21
                                                                               MS. SAUNDERS: Oh thank heavens,
                MR. OLANIRAN: By saying that is not
                                                              22 because this is killing me.
```

313

314 316

```
1
     Ms. Saunders's testimony.
                                                             1
 2
                JUDGE BARRETT: Can you paraphrase
                                                             2
 3
    what you think Ms. Saunders's testimony is?
                                                             3
 4
                 MR. OLANIRAN: I said earlier to Ms.
                                                             4
 5
    Saunders that she had a stack of paper, and what
                                                             5
 6
    I suspect this is -- what I am almost certain
                                                             б
                                                                 anv.
     this is, actually, I asked Ms. Saunders again,
     are the copies of the stacks of paper that Ms.
                                                             8
 9
     Kessler used to compile the certifications.
10
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay -- yeah. We
                                                            10
11
     still have the issue of Mr. Whitt's hard drive
                                                            11
12
    being -- when he retired, he turned over his hard
                                                            12
13
     drive except for the one he later discovered in
                                                            13
14
     his basement, which we've learned in a companion
                                                            14
     proceeding. Did he turn that over to MPAA? Did
15
                                                            15
16
    he turn that over to your firm? Does anybody
                                                            16
17
     know what he did with his hard drive when he
                                                            17
18
     retired?
                                                            18
19
                 MR. OLANIRAN: I don't recall Ms.
                                                            19
20
     Saunders's testimony on that particular --
                                                            20
21
     exactly what the --
                                                            21
22
                 JUDGE BARRETT: MPAA got it, that's
```

```
(Laughter.)
            JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Saunders, you
remain under oath.
            MS. SAUNDERS: Yes, absolutely.
            JUDGE BARRETT: And you must not swear
            MS. SAUNDERS: Unless thank heavens
 counts as a swear, I will try. Who is
            JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Olaniran is --
            MS. SAUNDERS: Okay.
            JUDGE BARRETT: But Mr. Boydston may
voir dire --
            MS. SAUNDERS: Absolutely.
            JUDGE BARRETT: -- depending on where
we go with this. Mr. Olaniran?
DIRECT EXAMINATION
            BY MR. OLANIRAN:
            Ms. Saunders, are you looking at
      0
Exhibit 341?
            Yes, I am.
```

And what is that exhibit?

317

```
It is a certification for -- actually,
     it is a series of certifications executed by a
     Fintage, by an officer or the managing director
    of Fintage, in respect of claims made for various
     satellite and cable retransmission royalties over
    the period of 2001, 2002 -- hold on, it's going
    to take me a while -- I am guessing up through,
    let's see, 2004, 2007, I am going to guess it's
     through -- unless you want me to go through every
    single one, which is going to take me a minute
    because unhelpfully, there's no colored paper
                 Okay. That's --
                 It goes up through -- it says 2004 to
15
    2009. so --
16
                Okay, and it's not just one, there's
17
    multiple entities on whose behalf Fintage
     executed the --
18
10
          Α
                Yes, yes, that is correct, yes, that
20
    is correct.
21
          0
                And how was the document created?
```

319 Yes, ves we do. I think we have a few. I think we have certifications for many of the claimants that IPG has challenged. Would you look at 337, the exhibit 0 pre-marked as 337? Yes, yes. This is a certification signed by Allied Communications by C.B. McKay, as a Regional CEO for Allied Communications. And do you need me to tell you for what years? It appears to be satellite royalties in 2005 with a list of titles appended. Also, would you look at Exhibit 339 12 13 please? 14 Yes. Also a certification, executed 15 on behalf of CBS Broadcasting on behalf of King 16 World Productions, signed by the Vice President 17 and Assistant Secretary Martin Messinger --Okay --18 19 -- for, sorry, for satellite royalties in calendar year 2000, with a fairly lengthy 20

title list. Oh, sorry, with a title list, and

then a satellite retransmission royalties

318

21

```
How was the document created?
 2
                 Created?
                 So as I testified previously, Mr.
     Whitt gave, produced to Ms. Kessler hard copies
     of the list of titles which -- and the claimants
     associated with those titles, to which Mr.
     Kessler would append, or appended, a cover
    Certification of Entitlement form for the
10
     claimant to execute confirming that the capacity
    in which they make the claim, that they filed a
11
12
     valid claim for the royalties, and confirming
     through either -- through notations on the titles
14
     list in each year which titles they want to -- or
     they could claim cable retransmission or
15
16
    satellite retransmission royalties.
17
                 I think I got the grammar all messed
18
    up there. But the years for which and the titles
    which they could claim in each of the royalty
19
20
    vears.
21
                 You have other certifications in the
    record, don't you?
```

certification for calendar year 2000. Both are certified to as officer or partner of the entity identified as the owner or authorized agent. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, this is 5 covering old ground. 6 JUDGE BARRETT: May I just jump in here and say something to cut to the chase. Mr. 8 Whitt created these papers, gave them to Ms. Kessler. Ms. Kessler ran with the ball. 9 THE WITNESS: Yes. 10 JUDGE BARRETT: When Mr. Whitt 11 retired, he took the hard drive out of his 12 computer. Do you have any knowledge what he did 13 with it at that point? THE WITNESS: I do. 16 JUDGE BARRETT: And what is that? 17 THE WITNESS: He gave the hard drive 18 to MPAA, and I gave that hard drive to counsel. 19 I think this is the part of my testimony where I 20 said I was not the cyber-judge. I took the hard 21 drive and I gave it to my counsel, and I

instructed my counsel to extract from it whatever

22

22

Α

I am sorry?

324

```
MS. PLOVNICK: Yes. Your Honor.
     they could.
                                                                                MR. OLANIRAN: I would also add -- and
                I specifically did not say that Mr.
     Whitt had a database. I think I specifically
                                                                    Mr. Whitt has some damage to some of the drives,
                                                                    and I think that issue in fact came up. In one
     said that I did not know how Mr. Whitt conducted
                                                                    of the proceedings, I think perhaps they were
     his operations or how he compiled his data. I
                                                                    describing a 99 percent damage --
    did say that Mr. Whitt did rely on broadcast data
     that was acquired in order to generate those
                                                                                MS. PLOVNICK: I think that was the
     lists. That was the extent, I believe, of how I
                                                                    one in his basement.
     characterized Mr. Whitt's operation.
                                                                                MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I think it
                JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Olaniran, could
                                                                    ought to be taken into consideration that Mr.
11
    you make a representation as an officer of the
                                                                    Olaniran has said he is not the cyber-lawyer, so
     court that your office made an effort to extract
                                                               12
                                                                    now all of a sudden he knows what the damage is.
12
13
     data from Mr. Whitt's hard drive?
                                                              13
                                                                   I don't know how that came up.
                MR. OLANIRAN: Yes we did, Your Honor.
1.1
                                                              14
                                                                                JUDGE BARRETT: Well we were just here
                JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. And what did
15
                                                              15
                                                                   15 minutes ago in the 1999 proceeding, and we had
16
    you do with the data you were able -- if you were
                                                              16
                                                                    extensive testimony from Mr. Whitt. And I don't
17
     able to extract any, what did you do with it, or
                                                              17
                                                                    think we need to repeat that here, but to the
    how did you configure it, and where is that base
18
                                                              18
                                                                    extent we need to know what Mr. Whitt's processes
19
    of knowledge at this point?
                                                              19
                                                                   and history are or were, we can incorporate the
                MR. OLANIRAN: I am not the
20
                                                                    transcript of the 1999 hearings -- we all know
                                                              20
    cyber-lawyer. If Ms. Plovnick -- .
21
                                                              21
                                                                   Mr. Whitt had a company, he did his data
                JUDGE BARRETT: Ms. Plovnick --
                                                                    manipulation, he retired, he turned over what he
```

321

322

3 |

```
1
                 MR. OLANIRAN: Yes.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: We examined the hard
     drive, and we found various -- you know, we went
     though the hard drive, and we extracted what we
     could, and we used as much of it as we could to
     form the Excel spreadsheet that we produced.
                 We tried to be as -- you know, to cull
     what we could to do that. And so that is the
     electronic record that we were able to create
     based on what was not in a database form.
1υ
11
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: What form was it in?
12
                 MS. PLOVNICK: It was multiple, all
     over the place, very not very well-maintained
     condition files. I assess that this way. I am
15
     also not a cyber person, but it was a collection
10
    of bits
17
                JUDGE BARRETT: Are you making a
18
     representation that your law firm extracted
19
    everything that was extractable from the hard
20
    drive and incorporated everything into the
     spreadsheet that you created in response to the
21
```

could, he found one in his basement on the eve of our determination in the '99 proceeding, you know, we are familiar with that, okay? So all I need to establish today, or all we need to establish, is whether there is any data anywhere in any form, I mean useable form, that is not included in the spreadsheet that MPAA's counsel produced in response to the Judges' July order. And I understand you -- I 9 will let you answer that, is there, to your 10 knowledge? 11 MS. PLOVNICK: Not to my knowledge. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. 14 MR. OLANIRAN: I am very confident, Your Honor, that there isn't. 16 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. 17 MR. OLANIRAN: And if I could add one 18 final point, I know -- and this is why it was 19 absolutely critical that for Appendices A and B 20 for Ms. Saunders's testimony, you could not rely 21 on anything else but the list of claimants

supported by the representation record and the

Judges' discovery order?

32

325

list of titles supported by the certification. JUDGE STRICKLER: As opposed to the Excel spreadsheet. MR. OLANIRAN: As opposed -- well. JUDGE STRICKLER: Among other things, as opposed to the Excel spreadsheet --MR. OLANIRAN: Again, if you read our briefs, we were trying to explain as much as we can. This is exactly the problem that we were trying to articulate in our briefs because our interpretation of the discovery rule is to 12 provide documents that underlie the testimony. 13 The document that underlies Ms. Saunders's 14 testimony with regard to the titles that were 15 claimed are the certifications which we provided, 16 and also, the list appended to her testimony 17 which was digitized, I believe, in searchable form, for IPG to use. 18 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean, you were 19 20 on your feet.

327 questions of Ms. Saunders before your client gets back on the stand? MR. BOYDSTON: I would. Can I just do it from here? JUDGE BARRETT: As long as the court reporter can hear you. MR. BOYDSTON: Okav. CROSS EXAMINATION BY MR. BOYDSTON: Now Ms. Saunders, and correct me if 10 11 I'm wrong, I am only going off memory, I don't have a transcript, but my recollection was that 12 13 this all came up originally in the context of me asking you about Appendix A and B to your 15 declaration, one of which listed programs and one

16 of which listed program owners. Do you -17 A Claimants, claimants.
18 Q -- recall -- thank you, claimants.

19 Thank you, claimants, yes. Do you recall that -- 20 ?

21 Q I do. 22 A And my question was initially

326

have called on me, Your Honor -- and I am

actually a little bit embarrassed to raise this

decided not to interrupt. However, since you

MR. MACLEAN: Oh. Your Honor, I

because I know how it might sound -- however, Mr.

Galaz is at this very moment a witness on the

stand even though he is not sitting in the

6 witness chair right now.

7 Ms. Saunders is testifying only as to,
8 as I understand, the foundation with respect to a
9 document that was offered in Mr. Galaz's
10 testimony. I would request the Judges to
11 admonish and direct Mr. Galaz not to pass notes

admonish and direct Mr. Galaz not to pass note
and whisper with his counsel, particularly

-- and mapped man had been been particularly

regarding his testimony while he is a witness on

14 the stand.

21

 1^{τ_0} MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, there has 10° been no communication between us about his

17 testimony. There has been communication with us

18 about her testimony, and I think that's

19 permissible.

JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, it is.

21 Thank you, Mr. MacLean.

Mr. Boydston, do you want to ask any

something along the lines of, you know, how did

2 you connect the two? From this big list of

3 programs, how do you connect which programs are

4 owned by which claimant? And you said that Mr.

5 Whitt did that, the third-party vendor. Is that

6 correct?

A That's correct.

8 Q And I said, okay. And do you know how

9 he did it? And you said something to the effect

10 $\,\,$ of I don't know, but he took those things and he

11 used some sort of computer programs or something

12 like that to do it and came up with lists and

13 then returned them to us in a paper format, at

14 which point Marsha Kessler and then her successor

15 put them together with certifications. Is that

16 accurate?

17 A I don't know if the actual words you

18 just used are accurate, but the concept is

19 absolutely spot-on. I do not know what was in

20 Mr. Whitt's machines, his processes. I do not

21 know if he created a database. I do not know how

22 he used the information that came to him. All I

know is what the MPAA got and distributed to his

- claimants, or I should say, I know that Ms.
- Kessler distributed it to her claimants.
- What were -- where did the appendices
- come from?
- The appendices were -- they were λ
- generated, as I understand it, by Mr. Whitt in
- respect of each claimant.
- Okay. Just to make clear, when I say
- appendices, I mean the Appendix A and Appendix B
- 11
- 12 Oh, I am so sorry. The list of our
- claimants and of our titles?
- 14 Yes, right.
- 35 I -- those lists were prepared by
- 16 counsel in response to, or based on the
- 17 certification reports that were returned to MPAA
- by our claimants. 18
- 19 0 So they would get the certification
- reports in and they'd just make a big list?
- Yes, exactly. It was really arduous.
- Then you testified -- I asked you, I

parties, yes?

2

329

- Did you communicate with counsel as to 0
- how those were created, or do you know how those
- were created by counsel? Did they type up the
- 1,000 pages, or do you know?

 - Now given that the certifications and
- the program lists attached to the certifications
- were created by Mr. Whitt, to your knowledge,
- from the -- and using his devices, whatever they
- 11 were, and given that the chart that was given to
- 12 IPG pursuant to the order by the court was
- 13 created by the same source, according to your
- 14 counsel, wouldn't you expect that they would line
- 1.5
- No. I believe, and I had lengthy 16
- 17 conversations with my lawyers during which I
- almost tore my hair out because this process took 18
- forever and cost thousands and thousands of
 - dollars --
 - And which one was this extraction?
 - Extracting the lists of claimants and

330

- think, you know whatever -- actually, I don't
- 2 remember --
- 3 А And I should also add it was really
- expensive. 4
- 0 I bet it was. You then -- my
- recollection is that you then testified that when
- Mr. Whitt retired, he turned over his hard drive
- to you, and then you turned it over to your
- 9 counsel, correct?
- 10 He turned it over, actually, to then,
- 11 our then-Director for Retransmission Royalty,
- 12 Sandra Pope, who at my instruction turned it over
- 13 - actually, I believe that my instruction was
- that my lawyers send a courier and collect from 14
- Ms. Pope's office literally every scrap of every 15
- 16 thing that she could find or lay her hands on
- that pertained to these proceedings and these 17
- 18 royalty years, including Mr. Whitt's hard drive.
- The Appendix A and B to your 20 declaration, you remember my talking about the
- 21 programs --

19

22 The lists and the claimants and the

- owners by royalty year to cover all the years at
- issue in this proceeding, and it was beyond
- frustrating that we didn't have a single, to use
- your word, database that we could draw from
- because that would have made all of our lives so
- much easier.
- The extraction you are talking about
- is what extraction, the extraction from Mr.
- 10 No. I am talking about the melding
- together or the retrieval of data from all the
- sources at our disposal, which were Mr. Whitt's
- 13 hard drive, the paper records individually by
- 14 royalty year, and the process of making a
- 15 compendium that went claimant by year for all the
- years and all the claimants that are at issue in 16
- 17 this proceeding.
- And when did this process that you're 18 0
- describing right now take place? I am a little 19
- 20 confused.
- 21 Well I actually don't recall. I
- 22 remember many conversations that I had from my

```
home office, walking around in frustration in my
    living room. This fall, this fall -- this fall,
     starting back in the spring, I don't, I am sorry,
     I do not recall.
                 Do you recall if that was the effort
     that was made in response to the Judges' order to
     come up with --
                 Oh no, I --
                 MR. OLANIRAN: Objection, Your Honor.
10
     We are now wading into way outside the scope.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Well I am just -- she
     was explaining when this took place, and I was
     just trying to identify --
1.:
                 THE WITNESS: No no, that conversation
14
     I remember very, very clearly. That was a phone
     call I got telling me that we had to produce an
16
    electronic record, and I was embarrassed because
17
     I believe the order actually said something to
18
19
    the effect that MPAA is such a sophisticated
     organization, we don't believe that they don't
20
```

have an electronic database, by which I was quite

```
335
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: And not from the
     hard drive?
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Not from the hard
     drive.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: And the hard drive
     was used to create the information that was
     required pursuant to our order?
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Yes, Your Honor.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Those are two
     distinct things.
10
11
                 JUDGE BARRETT: And perhaps some of
12
     the information that is attached to the
13
     certifications, but we are taking that on faith.
1.4
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Mr. Whitt did those.
15
                 TIDGE BARRETT. Ves
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Yes.
16
                 JUDGE BARRETT: And it's his hard
17
     drive.
18
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Because Mr. Whitt's
19
```

hard drive information is derived, at least in

part, from the same -- from that paper, those

papers that Ms. Kessler had been --

334

20

21

1 (Laughter.) JUDGE STRICKLER: I just want to ask you a quick question so I can understand this. Appendix A and Appendix B deal with certification, okay? THE WITNESS: Yes. JUDGE STRICKLER: Were those based at all on anything on Mr. Whitt's hard drive? Yes or no? I am not interested in your living room. Yes or no? 10 THE WITNESS: I -- well, I did not 11 know that until Ms. Plovnick just said that they 12 13 used data from Mr. Whitt's hard drive. 1.1 MS. PLOVNICK: No, just to clarify. 16 Appendix A and B are from the certification, and 16 you know, what she was talking about with the 17 Excel file was something --18 JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay, okay, you know what, I want to understand this. Exhibits A and 19 B came from what? 20 MS. PLOVNICK: The certifications. 21

THE WITNESS: Yes.

336 1 MS. PLOVNICK: He made the paper that went to Ms. Kessler. MR. OLANIRAN: And just a point of clarification, Mr. Whitt -- the sheets that support the certifications were delivered to MPAA 6 long before this proceeding --MS. PLOVNICK: Days before. MR. OLANIRAN: -- when Mr. Whitt was 9 still running his operation and he had complete control of his operation. 11 MS. PLOVNICK: Very archaic, days of 12 old --13 MR. OLANIRAN: Now the databases were 14 produced, we went scrambling for them to comply 15 with the Judges' order. JUDGE STRICKLER: So if someone wanted 16 to try to impeach Ms. Saunders's Appendix A and 17 Appendix B by looking at the underlying material, 18 it's your position, then, that they should not be 19 looking at the hard -- any information on that 20 Excel spreadsheet, but they should be looking at 21

the underlying documentation itself?

22

21

chagrined.

337

```
MR. OLANTRAN: I am so glad you put it
     that way because that's exactly the point that I
     was making earlier, and this is what I meant by
     it would be a completely meaningless exercise to
     tabulate or to organize in some form this
     information on this Excel spreadsheet because it
     was not the basis for Appendices A and B in Ms.
     Saunders's accounting.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: So I understand
     that, and I thank you, I think I understand your
11
     position. But it's IPG's position that they are
12
     in a position to if not impeach the value of
13
     those appendices, to question them because there
     are other documents that were within the care,
14
    custody, and control of MPAA or its agents
15
    including Mr. Whitt and whomever he gave his hard
16
    drive information, and to compare that
17
18
     information with the claims that are being made
    and representing that these copyrights really
19
    weren't owned by or had an agency with those who
20
    are represented on Appendices A and B.
```

You might say pay no attention to that

the -- to provide a list for the claimants to sign up on to actually certify. And that's what made it into the -whatever may be in Mr. Whitt's database that was provided this June when we were scrambling around trying to figure out a way to comply with the JUDGE STRICKLER: Well you had to figure out a way to comply with the order, and I suppose one of the things you could have done --11 I am not saying you did, or -- but you could have 12 said we can't comply, there is no such material that exists. Instead you said --13 MR. OLANIRAN: We said --14 JUDGE STRICKLER: But let me finish. 15 And then you complied by saying here is 16 information that we have that complies with the 17 order and provides the necessary information about the copyrights and the agents and the 19

owners, and now in some sense you are saying

well, yeah, we did that for you, but pay no

attention to what we did because we had to give

338

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

20

```
document behind the curtain, but they want us to
     pay every bit of attention to it, and then we
    have to decide whether that's a good impeachment
     or not.
                 MR. OLANIRAN: That's a fair point,
    but Mr. Whitt was not deciding who owns the
     copyright or not.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: But you gave them
 9
     the documents, Mr. Whitt's information, and he is
10
     now saying I am comparing that information to
11
     what I see in your representations, and I see a
12
     difference. And you're saying that difference
     doesn't matter because that Mr. Whitt's
13
14
     information is of no relevance.
                 MR. OLANIRAN: Well this is Mr.
15
    Whitt's information long after he stopped running
16
    his operation. Anything could have gone on with
17
    those databases. What is most relevant is the
18
19
    information that Mr. Whitt delivered to MPAA when
    Mr. Whitt was running his shop and Mr. Whitt was
21
     in constant communication with Ms. Kessler and
    they were trying to figure out a way to support
```

340 1 you something, so we gave you a bunch of junk. 2 MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, it was quite a frustrating experience trying to figure out what to do, and I don't deny that that is -that would have been one option. And we did the best we could under the circumstances, knowing that -- and now, and another way to look at this, had we done what you said and they go in the database and they see the discrepancies between what we produced and -- between the hard copy of the certifications and what's in that database, then we may have come here arguing about the discrepancies. And I don't -- I think that that's a fair point, but then we get arguing about well why are there discrepancies? And we would still be going back to look at the certifications. That's what the claimants are claiming. JUDGE STRICKLER: Okav. But you produced that. It is in response to our order,. but it's in response to our order compelling for

344

341

```
And so you can point out that your own
     discovery that you produced has holes in it,
     deficiencies in it, and you can make that
     argument, and you are making that argument --
                 MR. OLANIRAN: We actually stated that
     in the cover letter that went to IPG. And if you
     look at 333, that's exactly why we make that
     point because we know, as lawyers, we don't
     produce discovery, and most of the work we claim
16
     work product privilege on, and we had to find --
11
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: I am sorry to
12
     interrupt you, but that raises an interesting
13
     question, and I was concerned when Ms. Saunders
     said that. She said that she dumped it all on
14
     you and it was very expensive, I think that's
15
     when you started talking about pulling your hair
16
17
     out in the living room.
18
                And there's no reason why that had to
    be done. That could have been done in-house. It
     could have been done with an outside contractor.
     But it was given to you.
```

to the spreadsheet, those are -- you know, those are issues that never the twain shall meet. There's a spreadsheet out there that combines

everything they could put their hands on, and

there are appendices to this witness's written

testimony based on paper.

MR. BOYDSTON: Can I just have ten

seconds?

JUDGE BARRETT: Am I correct? Yes.

10 And then we are going to leave because I have an

11 appointment.

MR. BOYDSTON: Well I quess I can't 12

13 have ten seconds.

JUDGE BARRETT: No, you may, Mr. 14

15 Boydston.

MR. BOYDSTON: Why didn't they give us 16 a copy of Whitt's hard drive? Why didn't they do 17 that? Why did they invent this other thing when 18 what we were asking for was exactly that?

JUDGE BARRETT: You know, I think --

well, I don't know, Mr. Boydston --

22 MR. BOYDSTON: Judge Strickler was

so sorry, that could not have been done in-house. 2 JUDGE STRICKLER: Yeah, the choice to 3 take evidence of whatever quality and have the

THE WITNESS: You're mistaken. I am

attorneys compile the information is a choice

that the client makes. It is still the client's

discovery. And the adversarial party is free to

do with it as it may, and you are free to explain

why it may be bad information, but it was still

9 discoverable pursuant to our order. And let the

JUDGE BARRETT: It's water way --

10 chips fall where they may.

12 excuse me, it's water way over the dam right now whether we required it or not. The fact that we 13

14 were incredulous in 2014 that the company didn't

have electronic data for this time period did not 15

require MPAA to create it, but MPAA in its own 16

17 interest as much as in ours, I think, and in the

18 interest of these proceedings, did the best it

19 could, okay?

22

1

11

20 Now, the fact that MPAA created a

21 spreadsheet and the fact that MPAA's witness

22 supplied data that didn't necessarily correlate about to ask counsel.

2 JUDGE STRICKLER: No. I believe that

3 counsel responded --

JUDGE BARRETT: The hard drive was on 4

a late twentieth century gadget.

MR. BOYDSTON: So?

JUDGE BARRETT: You know, I don't know

why they didn't.

MR. BOYDSTON: Those records would 9

10 have been responsive.

11 MR. OLANIRAN: I think I've explained

12 about as much as I can, and so two things that I 13 wanted to address. One is the status of Exhibit

14

341. I would like to move to admit 341, and then 15 I have a quick housekeeping matter.

16 MR. BOYDSTON: I have no further

questions for the witness. My objection remains. 17

18 obviously.

19 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Exhibit

341 is admitted.

21 (Whereupon, the above-referred to

document was received into evidence as MPAA

1	345		347
11 .	545 Exhibit 341.)	1	And, you know, if well I don't never mind,
1		2	I am going to stop talking while I still can.
2	JUDGE BARRETT: And housekeeping	3	MR. OLANIRAN: Well she'll be here 9
3	matter, Mr. Olaniran?	4	o'clock tomorrow morning, Your Honor.
4	MR. OLANIRAN: The housekeeping matter	5	JUDGE BARRETT: Nine o'clock tomorrow
5	in that Ms. Saunders has an extensive travel	6	morning. Thank you all.
6	schedule coming up. I have spoken to Mr.	7	MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	Boydston earlier today, and he had agreed that	8	MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you.
À	Mg. Saunders could come on after we'd completed	9	JUDGE BARRETT: And off the record.
9	the cross-examination of Mr. Galaz.	10	(Whereupon, the hearing went off the
10	And Ms. Saunders wanted to be able to	11	
11	testify first thing in the morning since first		record at 4:40 p.m.)
12	she has to she doesn't live in town, she has	12	
11	to travel a couple hours to get to her place of	13	
14	residence and then pack, feed the dogs and the	14	
15	horses and the chickens or whatever before she	15	
16	heads out to Europe.	16 17	
17	And I was really wondering if it's		
18	possible I know that we're in the middle of	18	
19	Mr. Galaz's cross-examination if I could	19	
20	examine, if Ms. Saunders could be examined first	20	
21	thing tomorrow morning.	21	
22	MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.	22	
	246		
	346		
1	MR. MACLEAN: No objection.		
2	JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. We'll do that,		
	starting at 9 o'clock.		
	MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, may I		
5	instruct my witnesses that they need not come		
6	until after lunch tomorrow?		
7	JUDGE BARRETT: I think that's		
*	acceptable.		
10	MR. BOYDSTON: Well, Your Honor, I am		
10	hoping that this won't go on much longer. Could		
H	you have one of them come before lunch just in		
12	Cause?		
13	JUDGE BARRETT: That's probably the		
14	better part of valor, Mr. MacLean. Have at least		
15 16	one come. Pick one.		
	MR. MACLEAN: How about, can I at		
17	least tell them 11 or something to that effect?		
18	MR. BOYDSTON: Why don't we say 10:30?		
ענ	(Laughter.)		
20	MR. OLANIRAN: And may Ms. Saunders be		
31	excused?		
21	JUDGE BARRETT: For this evening yes.		

j	
	Α
i	A&E 76:6 185:17 186:7
	186:9,14 a.m 1:19 5:2 78:21,22
	112:3,4
	ABC 182:2 191:1 205:4
	219:13,21
	ability 12:21 146:22
	169:9
	able 16:6 21:8 49:18 93:22 137:6 161:7
	192:15 230:1 275:17
	305:17 321:16,17
	322:9 345:10
	above-entitled 1:18
1	112:2 141:3 265:4
- 1	above-referred 105:2 112:10 121:13 123:21
١	135:1 154:9 155:22
	160:12 162:14 167:17
	170:17 171:2 172:15
١	172:21 180:14 187:20
	194:18 195:4 200:17
1	201:19 204:2,8 206:6
	206:15 208:13,19 210:4,11 213:8
	214:22 216:8,14
	218:8,15 220:20
	238:13 239:18 242:4
1	251:11 256:11 259:20
	261:7 279:19 344:21 Abrahams 122:6
Į	absence 223:8 249:14
Ì	absolutely 24:14 148:8
	158:21 166:8 193:15
1	194:2,6 196:10 316:4
ı	316:14 324:19 328:19
ļ	Academy 181:3,5,11 182:11
	accept 6:9
	acceptable 223:5 346:8
	accepts 147:2
	access 229:14 230:16
	accident 16:11 17:15
1	accompanying 49:8 accorded 263:19 264:3
	282:1,22 283:11
	account 53:14 76:21
	77:17 87:19,20
	accounting 20:19
	101:20 102:5 113:6
	223:8 337:8 accounts 72:15 73:4,17
	79:8 82:20
	accurate 11:16,17
	94:19 292:8,12,13,14

п

328:16.18 Achievement 202:7 acknowledge 301:22 acknowledges 147:11 acknowledgment 37:10 48:10,11 51:10 52:8 52:11,19 54:2 57:20 59:19 63:14 66:20 69:4,16 75:5 acknowledgments 48:18,20 51:13 57:16 acquired 20:21 21:19 22:11 321:7 acquisition 21:2,9 acronym 159:1 296:22 acting 153:12 190:4 action 33:7,15 actual 97:14 137:8 146:22 147:12 154:4 157:9 298:5.6 328:17 add 273:5 323:2 324:17 330:3 addition 5:13 80:14 118:10 147:15 290:17 290:20 293:7 additional 51:10 61:21 63:16 127:7 128:13 136:1 164:20 244:8 278:22 284:9 address 36:14 50:5 60:1 81:11 117:8.14 271:15 309:19 344:13 addressed 14:18 140:15 225:5 250:3 addressee 122:3.5 addresses 49:20 109:18 addressing 205:3 adequate 77:4 Adler 13:8,9,10 admissibility 169:14,21 272:2 admissible 169:22 admission 30:21 32:19 103:19 109:8 260:18 261:2 273:2 278:9 admit 44:9 47:12 80:4 104:22 111:17 151:17 155:15 162:8 194:14 241:21 244:5 260:11 305:14 315:7 344:14 admitted 19:14 32:14 33:1 44:15 46:11 47:16 53:22 54:4 104:13 112:6 123:2

143:11 155:21 162:13 164:18 169:18 170:16 172:14 175:3 176:2 192:19 194:16 196:17 200:16 201:12 203:16 204:1 206:5,5 208:12 210:3 213:7 216:3,7 218:3,7 220:15,19 231:21 232:2 238:5,8 239:12,17 242:3 251:8 252:19 256:10 259:15,19 260:22 261:6 271:2,2 273:1 276:12 279:13,18 297:16 299:12,13 304:11 344:20 admonish 326:11 adopted 87:2 adversarial 342:6 advised 231:8 Advisor 141:7 affidavit 34:17 35:1 182:22 affidavits 170:6 affiliated 265:20 affirm 243:14 affirmed 147:19 243:15 AFI 3:18 202:6.8 203:9 203:10,10 afternoon 129:7.17 130:8 132:1.5 141:9 141:10.12.16 227:20 280:2.4.7 281:14 agency 110:17 150:14 152:17 153:5 337:20 agent 24:2 133:5.16 136:2.6 137:15 139:20,20 144:9,20 145:2,5 147:3,9 150:10 151:1 153:11 153:12,13,19 154:1 154:20 156:9,14,15 156:21 157:20,21 159:15 160:1,6,7 174:21 175:1 180:9 182:5 188:15 189:20 190:4,11 263:12 268:3,18,19 269:19 269:20 282:14,16 283:4,18 284:1,6,10 285:3 287:4 295:4,12 296:2 300:12,20 301:4,17,19 302:2 303:10,10,13 320:3 agents 139:8,11,13 143:18,22 152:11

174:3 280:18 281:19 281:22 282:2 284:19 285:1 337:15 339:19 aggregate 152:6 ago 22:18 29:1 58:7 70:3,8 73:10 77:13 92:5 102:1 124:7 150:1 174:16 191:6 307:21 323:15 agree 41:13 72:10 agreed 129:16 130:3 142:6 261:21 345:7 agreement 4:1 37:2,3,5 37:11,11 51:6,6 61:14 61:16 99:12 100:18 136:11,12,15,18,22 144:20,22 145:4,18 146:11,14,16 189:1 233:21 235:18 236:17 236:22 237:1 239:1.3 258:9 260:6 262:3 263:4 265:12.16 283:10 296:1 299:16 agreements 51:4 101:15 105:8.14.19 106:4.8 107:3 118:20 211:19.20 260:16 263:3 270:1 ahead 5:17 29:7 32:4 62:3.5 64:10 69:22 70:20 108:5 194:17 219:5 225:9 231:18 299:21 Ahh 165:15 aid 272:6 air 233:12 allegation 249:18 alleged 110:11,18 Allied 217:16 319:7,8 allocation 87:4 allow 83:12 234:10 248:6 allowed 26:22 137:3 allowing 273:21 altogether 60:21 ambiguous 301:1 **America** 2:18 135:15 137:19 245:13 American 184:12 202:11 207:13 219:13 258:13 **Americas** 150:6,7 166:16 173:6,8,11 ample 77:4 and/or 117:8,14 123:15

123:20 134:19,22

Andre 195:2 197:3,6

II
Angeles 2:5
animated 5:5 235:3
Animation 205:11
answer 38:15 62:22
63:1 64:14 71:2 93:22
99:20,22 100:1
102:17 112:22 122:10
123:15 199:3 205:20
229:18 267:7 294:6,8
324:10
answered 71:2,3
101:10 149:2
answering 199:1
answers 111:4 149:11
274:10
Anthony 206:12,22
207:2
anticipated 130:16
anticipated 130.10
anybody 167:9 295:8
314:16
anymore 58:4 120:20
199:3
anyway 52:12 65:22
185:21
AOL 53:13
apart 266:6 277:19
apologies 211:8
apologize 32:13 62:20
64:2 79:2 186:15
198:9 213:11 307:20
apology 213:15
apparent 154:20
apparently 81:16 193:6
194:17 230:8
appeal 13:22
appear 84:16 137:12
166:16 178:15 283:12
283:12 292:16,17
APPEARANCES 2:1
appeared 10:17 71:8
74:18 174:17 184:19
283:17
appearing 208:8
appears 73:21 163:4,12
163:21 164:14 167:5
163:21 164:14 167:5 167:14 171:5 175:11
180:19 184:17 202:19
206:11 209:5 214:5
214:13 216:19 218:13
232:8 250:15 283:21
297:13,14 304:7,18
319:10
append 318:8
appended 293:2 318:8
319:11 325:16

appendices 288:14 290:21 324:19 329:4 329:6,10 337:7,13,21 343:5 appendix 183:5 184:18 189:12,18 292:6 293:14 294:2 327:14 329:10,10 330:19 334:4,4,15 336:17,18 applies 305:21 appointment 343:11
appreciate 62:17 130:9 131:3 132:6
approach 41:1 50:8,18 53:2 77:21 165:9 210:22 213:16 appropriate 86:3 188:5 251:7 278:5
approximate 246:15
approximately 143:14 262:12
archaic 336:11
arduous 329:21 argue 245:17 274:17
argue 245:17 274:17 arguing 340:12,15
argument 85:20 86:9
86:15 146:4 273:11
276:20 341:4,4
argumentative 88:13 89:6 96:19
arguments 274:1,3
Arnold 2:13 34:18 35:4
35:6
Arnold's 34:22 array 252:8
articulate 53:5 325:10
Arts 181:3,5,11 182:11
aside 91:9
asked 5:19 17:2 21:7
27:2,3 47:3 54:11 71:2 82:20 97:21
101:9,10 108:10 112:21 118:19,21
124:19,21 168:13
177:1 260:18 274:4,4
302:4 304:16 308:21
311:22 314:7 329:22
asking 11:14 59:18
64:13,16,21 65:2 70:9 70:13 82:22 92:19
104:12,15 130:10
131:16 193:5 198:15
255:12 257:15 261:1
274:12 278:9,18
279:2 300:2 302:6 313:5,6 327:14
313.5,0 327.14

343:19
aspect 16:15 142:13
239:5 assert 76:17 249:9
assertion 56:6
assess 322:14
assist 192:2
assistant 17:16 319:17
associated 137:17
151:6 188:14 209:19
318:7
Association 2:18 135:15 137:19 220:2
245:13
assume 7:13
assuming 8:1
ATAS 181:14,14 182:10
attach 54:6
attached 75:5 115:12
169:3 175:14,16
220:10 244:12 277:2 310:18 331:8 335:12
attachment 4:16 45:16
103:7,19 104:13,15
104:22 105:3,6,12
115:17,22 120:14
121:3 180:4 298:7
302:9
attachments 103:6
169:20 170:6,10 attacked 185:17 230:12
attempt 61:22 63:12,17
108:3 111:16 123:14
123:14 281:18
attempted 257:20
attempting 31:12 38:7
38:19 62:20
attempts 69:7 attend 141:1
attention 26:8 151:18
196:9 210:15 217:19
220:3 241:7 243:12
252:15 262:6 290:11
304:1 337:22 338:2
339:22
attest 184:16,19
attested 212:1
attesting 148:9 attorney 141:7 177:22
178:1
attorneys 36:1 342:4
attributable 219:21
251:1
attributed 146:19 154:3
157:5,17 161:20
168:12 184:12 188:8

1
196:4 203:8 207:13
212:18 219:14 220:1
221:16,18 222:1
234:18 236:12 243:9
244:17 257:8,19
269:5 280:17 281:19
281:22 284:19 285:1
285:7 296:15
attributing 180:8
181:21 221:19 302:19
302:21
audible 126:4
Audio 156:16 157:11
168:9
Australia 153:7,9,13
156:18 159:7
Australian-based
296:19
authenticate 305:18
authenticity 130:4
author 50:1 301:12
authored 49:15 148:6,8
203:10
authority 24:6,15 26:4
26:11 56:8 111:1
198:3 294:11
authorize 116:20
authorized 45:21 91:6
91:11 198:2,6,16
200:2,4,7 201:1 255:9
295:8 300:20 320:3
availability 315:5
,
available 229:7
Avalon 155:10 156:11
156:21
AVCS 156:12,13,16,21
157:14 158:1 168:9
168:15 171:17,19
298:2
Avenue 1:17 2:5
awaiting 275:7
Award 3:18 202:7
awards 181:15,16,17
181:17,17,18 182:8
183:1,2,4,4,16,16
184:7 188:17 190:17
191:12 192:9
aware 6:14 106:2 117:6
120:10 124:11 159:14
188:7 222:3 233:9
261:11 295:21 296:6
awareness 148:11
Azteca 4:5 259:1 260:8
263:15,21 264:3,5,11
263:15,21 264:3,5,11 B

b 183:5 191:10 195:2 290:21 292:6 293:14 294:2 324:19 327:14 329:10 330:19 334:4 334:15,20 336:18 337:7,21 back 15:14 42:18 58:10 60:1 70:7 73:6 78:21 84:15 107:5 110:13 110:15 111:14 120:17 128:12 141:14 144:16 149:5 161:15 184:17 219:19 231:21 263:14 267:22 285:9 299:17 306:1 327:2 333:3 340:17 background 13:21 74:16 75:2 76:9,11 261:19 backup 51:3 bad 135:7 342:8 baggage 85:15 balance 143:21 ball 320:9 ballpark 225:16,17 banking 20:20 banned 235:2 Barbara 202:7 BARNETT 1:20 BARRETT 5:3,18 6:2,6 7:1,17,18 8:3,22 9:7 16:3,18 17:2,11 18:18 18:21 19:3,13 25:15 26:19 27:2 28:10,13 29:2,6,20 30:5,12,19 31:10,15,21 32:3,15 32:22 33:8,22 35:2 38:3 41:2,10,12,15 42:3,9,12,15,19 43:7 43:12 44:15,20 45:7 45:13 46:6,9 47:16 54:11,16 56:20 57:6 62:16,21 63:5 64:5 67:13,17,20 68:2,19 71:6 74:12 77:22 78:14,17 79:1 81:1,6 82:16,22 83:3,10 84:11 86:5 87:10 88:14 89:7,17 90:4,12 90:17 95:4 96:20 98:4 99:19 101:11 104:12 104:17,21 108:5 110:8 111:22 112:5 112:13 117:21 118:6 120:21 121:2,8,12 122:3,8 123:16,19

125:11,14,17,20 126:2,5,9,13,16,19,22 127:4,7,19,22 128:6 128:10,14,20 129:4 129:13 130:14 131:1 131:9,22 132:8 133:21 134:12,16,19 134:21 139:16 140:14 140:19 141:6 142:3,9 142:17,21 149:4 151:21 155:11,21 162:13 163:14 165:10 165:13,18 166:5,20 167:10 170:12,16 172:13 174:9 175:2 176:1,10,18 177:9 182:19 183:10,17,21 187:1,5,12,18 188:3 191:4 193:20 194:4 194:15 196:15.21 198:11 199:2 200:10 200:15 201:11 203:22 206:4 207:22 208:11 210:3 211:2 213:6,17 214:7,12,18 216:6 218:6 219:4 220:18 223:11,17 225:9 226:8,19 227:8,15,18 228:2,6,14 231:18 232:1 238:4,7 239:16 242:2 243:18,21 244:6 245:4 246:9 250:21 253:12 256:9 259:18 260:14,17,22 261:3,6 264:17 265:2 265:7 266:18,22 270:11 271:21 272:19 274:15 275:4 276:3 277:18 278:19 279:9 279:18 280:1 282:12 299:11,14 301:13 302:11 306:21 309:18 310:9 313:14,20 314:2,10,22 315:19 316:2,5,10,12,15 320:6,11,16 321:10 321:15,22 322:17 323:14 324:13,16 325:19 326:20 327:5 335:11,15,17 342:11 343:9,14,20 344:4,7 344:19 345:2 346:2,7 346:13,22 347:5,9 base 223:16 321:18 based 41:20 62:2 112:18 127:12 137:1

141:9 146:20 152:7 153;6 154:15 163:20 170:12 174:16 184:5 184:6 209:17 246:2 250:15 313:10 315:10 322:10 329:16 334:7 343:6 basement 314:14 323:8 324:1 bases 33:5 86:12 87:6 basically 8:9 17:16 20:18 50:1 75:11 115:6 181:14,18 262:1 266:10 basis 42:4 84:6 85:3.6 86:7 87:6 126:3 183:11 184:2 199:19 199:21 222:8 223:19 241:17.18 245:1 294:17 303:6 306:22 307:4 313:12 337:7 batch 66:21 Bates 305:10 **BBC** 3:16 150:6,6,12,17 150:18 166:15 173:5 173:7,20,22 174:2,18 177:6,11,20 178:9,19 179:17 180:2 **BCC** 173:11 Beast 3:19,20 209:9 210:18,19 beg 5:4 19:6 135:7 228:3 270:12 279:10 308:4 began 25:9 beginning 25:7 129:8 130:1 131:13 142:1 238:10 behalf 2:2,7,17 36:20 37:6 39:5 41:8 44:2 91:5,7,11,14 92:10 95:19 96:22 97:6,18 99:4,11 100:16 101:13 104:1,3,8 114:3 119:20 136:10 139:4 161:12,14 173:10,20 174:2 177:12 178:9 179:17 189:19 190:5,18 201:2 215:22 236:11 249:6 263:20 268:15 305:1 317:17 319:15 319:15 **belief** 184:3 believe 5:9 8:5 11:15 14:2 16:14 17:20

23:18 48:13 50:8 56:4 57:21 63:18 71:3 72:17 73:5 83:1 91:4 97:3,4 106:6,9 119:19 124:15 151:13 153:22 159:17 166:1 174:7 186:16 187:8 188:5,9 205:3 231:6 233:14 242:16 243:20,22 253:15 255:17 259:13 261:20 273:21 274:13 305:9 309:16 310:1 321:8 325:17 330:13 331:16 333:18,20 344:2 Bell-Phillip 305:1 belona 68:9 belt 57:3 belt-and-suspenders 50:7.18 52:13 53:2 **BEN** 2:13 bench 309:19 beneficial 274:21 benefit 277:5 benefits 261:21 Benny 9:7,9,10 best 8:21 10:4 37:17 178:10 222:8 246:3 247:17 249:15,20 340:6 342:18 bet 330:5 better 23:14 51:10 63:9 222:11 233:13 346:14 beyond 31:2 153:13 245:16 281:21 332:2 big 11:21 12:1 150:10 312:5,6,9 328:2 329:20 bigger 12:2,10 binder 8:21 26:14 102:20 164:21 166:11 214:10 binders 7:20 8:13 54:21 birth 166:2 **bit** 18:19 23:12,13 48:2 51:17 77:15 162:7 221:11 280:6 305:21 326:2 338:2 bite 6:20 bits 51:7 322:16 blank 67:22 254:15,19 255:9 blanket 64:14 blanks 44:22 board 113:7 117:3,7,9

117:12,18

Board's 117:13 Bob 101:13 104:1 105:7 105:8,13,19 106:5,22 107:2,20 119:18,21 119:22 120:3,10,12 120:16,17,18,19 219:16 bold 75:3,4 book 298:4 bother 62:12 167:9 bottom 9:8 163:9 237:13.15 238:11 254:13 290:16 **box** 6:5 boxes 14:21 45:2.5 274:11 300:5.8 Bovdston 2:4.4 3:3.6.9 5:8,10,20 6:3,10 7:16 7:17 8:4,8,12 9:2,5,11 9:14,19,22 10:3,14 16:4.16.19.20 17:3.4 18:10,16 19:1,4,6,15 26:15,20,21 27:5 28:5 28:11,13 29:17 30:2,9 30:17 31:1,8,13,18,22 32:9 33:3,9 34:20 35:19 36:5,9 37:22 38:12 41:6 42:1,5 44:14 47:15 54:5,14 56:18,22 62:8,16,19 63:2 64:1 66:2 67:8 67:14,16,18 68:1,12 69:9 71:1 74:9,13 80:6 81:2 82:10 83:7 83:11 84:8 85:22 87:8 88:12 89:5,14 90:1 94:20 95:3,7 96:18 98:1 99:14 101:8 103:20 109:9,13 110:4 111:3 116:12 116:13 117:19 118:16 120:7,9 121:1,4,9,16 122:4,9 123:1,9,18 124:2 125:9 127:19 127:20 128:1,3,15,18 129:2,10 130:2,16,19 131:2,3 132:8,10 134:1,20 135:4 142:11,20,21 143:7 149:8 151:22 154:6 154:13 155:14,19 156:3 160:9,16 162:6 162:17 163:5,11,15 163:16,18 164:9,22 165:8,15,22 166:5,8 166:18 167:3,7,11,12

167:21 168:17 169:13 170:7,15,20 171:7 172:5,18 173:3 174:10 175:5,22 176:7,11,20,21 177:15 180:12,18 183:18,19 184:1 185:1,15 187:10,14 188:6 192:12 194:12 194:21 195:8 196:13 196:16 198:5,9,22 200:1,21 201:7,15 202:2 203:15 204:5 204:13 205:18 206:9 206:19 207:21 208:1 208:16 209:1,21 210:7,22 211:4 213:2 213:15,18,20 214:9 214:13,16,19 215:4 216:2,11,18 218:2,11 218:19 219:6 220:14 221:1 222:13 223:9 223:15,18 225:17 226:18,22 227:7,16 227:22 228:1 231:19 231:20 232:3,7 235:7 235:14 236:15 237:10 237:20 238:2,5,16,20 239:11,21 240:3 241:20 242:7,15 243:20,22 244:4 245:2,5 246:5,10 248:9 250:9,18 251:14,19 252:18 253:5 255:17 256:14 258:5 259:14 260:1,9 260:15,20 261:1,10 264:17,19 265:8,9,15 266:19 267:2,9 270:8 270:12 271:10,14,21 274:19 276:16 278:13 278:21 279:5,8,10,22 299:10 301:8 305:16 306:22 307:2 308:4 308:10 309:11 310:4 310:10,15,20 311:4 311:22 313:2 315:13 315:14,18 316:12 320:4 323:9 326:15 326:22 327:3,7,9 333:11 343:7,12,15 343:16,21,22 344:6,9 344:16 345:7,22 346:9,18 347:8 Boydston's 235:13

313:18

branded 174:22 break 6:17,19 79:7 140:20 227:17,19,20 230:4 264:18 breaking 79:2 Brian 2:4 36:11,19 96:8 114:6 116:10,12,13 brief 235:20 278:4 Briefly 28:2 briefs 274:2 325:8,10 bring 6:18 186:8,9 210:14 217:18 220:3 229:21 241:6 243:11 252:14 bringing 79:3 brings 7:10,12 144:7,11 broadcast 189:4 221:5 221:7 321:6 broadcaster 181:12 182:6 188:7 202:17 203:8 204:22 207:9 209:13 212:14,22 215:19 217:13 219:11 221:5,7 256:18 broadcasters 180:8 188:8 broadcasting 104:4 184:13,14 196:5 201:1 207:13 212:17 215:21 217:17 219:13 219:22 221:16 222:1 319:15 **broke** 10:15 143:9 265:10 brought 33:17 85:16 123:11,12 196:7,8 Buck 158:2,4,7 Building 1:16 bullet 156:20 bunch 6:1 78:5 340:1 **business** 15:9 61:2 112:15 113:4,7 142:1 308:20 312:13

C 305:12 C.B 319:7 CA 2:5 cable 1:6 3:12 4:10 11:8 11:13 43:1,3,4,21 44:3,10 47:4,6 106:11 108:19 126:10 175:12 178:20,21 183:5 191:11 292:7 305:13 317:5 318:15 calculated 150:20,21

151:5 calculation 151:20 152:4,5,20 229:3 256:19 257:13 calculations 257:1,14 calendar 37:8 56:9 173:21 184:11 196:5 207:14 215:15,21 319:20 320:1 California 21:14 call 42:22 48:18,19 51:19 53:16 60:9 114:2 128:15 141:16 207:16 333:16 called 10:9 15:13 16:7 58:10 240:8 326:1 calling 116:4 calls 22:8 29:18 38:1 61:22 63:17 66:5 69:17 99:15,17 129:2 campaigning 309:10 capacity 198:16 318:10 caps 75:3,4 caption 254:12,14 **capture** 191:20 captured 293:10 car 16:11 17:15 care 52:11 337:14 careful 26:1 91:5,10 101:1 carried 114:14 carrying 238:9,11 case 11:3 23:1 60:3,8 81:20 112:9 116:22 118:4 120:5 130:18 138:3 142:1 145:22 146:1 147:13 164:6 168:14 229:10 230:8 234:20 241:10 249:8 346:12 cases 49:3 135:22 189:3 255:15,15 catalog 13:3 61:7 category 102:16 104:4 caught 262:5 293:15,16 CBS 182:3 184:13 191:1 196:5 201:1 212:17 215:21 217:17 221:15,15,15 222:1

319:15

CC 121:21

cell 15:12

CEO 319:8

century 344:5

certain 16:7 24:14

CD 1:6

136:20 147:20 149:14 149:14 150:7 158:17 171:14 174:17 180:10 185:22 254:1 258:16 281:2 313:4 314:6 certainly 7:4 23:4 26:5 58:4 59:12 88:19 96:10 199:8 203:4 222:15 223:22 248:12 272:2 273:10 288:18 Certificate 300:3 certification 185:12 193:18 248:21 289:9 293:8 298:20.22 301:16 304:22 306:6 308:9 310:19 317:1 318:9 319:6.14 320:1 325:1 329:17,19 334:5.15 certifications 4:12 191:18 276:22 277:3 286:1 288:3 289:6,13 289:15,21 290:5 291:4,6,7,19,21 292:20 294:2 298:2,5 298:6,11 299:3 301:21 305:4 306:17 308:12 309:8 310:2 310:15,16,17 312:10 314:9 317:2 318:21 319:2 325:15 328:15 331:7,8 334:21 335:13 336:5 340:11 340:17 certified 192:5 320:2 certify 339:2 certifying 24:1 cetera 10:20 234:15 CFR 117:12 chagrined 333:22 chain 150:13 236:7 chair 326:6 challenge 225:7 challenged 110:2 149:16 319:3 challenges 26:3 128:16 225:6,10 challenging 146:3,6 149:20 Championship 219:15 219:21 chance 6:20 27:20 276:7 304:13 Chandra 8:16 **change** 117:8,9,18 changed 14:18,19

53:14 **changes** 117:16 character 33:14 characterization 50:10 87:7 148:21 206:3 280:19 characterize 76:15 characterized 84:4 205:21 321:9 charge 279:12 chart 163:21 229:2 331:11 chase 320:7 chat 13:20 check 8:2 300:5.8.12.16 checked 7:21 183:7 293:13 302:2 checking 164:9 checks 301:17.19 chickens 345:15 chief 181:2 child 166:2 Children 3:13 4:9 26:17 34:7 36:2,21 37:20 39:5 40:8 41:9 46:2 47:7 92:14,20 94:16 94:18 95:12,20 96:5 96:16 122:18 124:12 chips 342:10 Chiu 173:6,14 174:13 174:15 177:2,16,19 178:4,6,13,22 179:22 choice 342:2,4 Chrysler 219:16 circumstance 67:12 136:9 149:17 153:12 159:19 221:12 302:2 circumstances 10:22 63:22 69:21 70:11 102:7 119:10 122:15 133:12 144:6,6,7,11 145:1 146:6 149:14 161:16,17,19 180:11 188:20 189:2 221:6,8 221:10 251:7 257:18 269:3 283:2 284:5,8 303:11 340:6 City 122:6 259:4 claim 4:10,11 23:16 24:1,3,5,8 25:1 31:3 37:6 40:9 43:1,21 44:2,10 46:19,22 47:4 47:5 62:6 75:6,12 91:14 92:7,15,22 93:5 96:22 97:6,17 99:4,11

102:13 103:22 104:8 106:11,22 107:7.10 107:13,17,20 113:18 115:17 117:16.17 133:1.10 136:2.19 144:8 151:5 161:8.12 161:14 173:19 174:2 177:11.12 182:1 183:14 184:4 185:3 186:4 188:21 189:14 189:20 190:6.12 191:6 193:19 201:1 202:18 209:14 212:15 215:19.22 217:4.13 219:12 234:16 239:8 243:5 244:11 245:15 245:20 249:5 265:20 266:11 267:19 295:7 307:7 318:11.12.15 318:19 341:9 claimant 24:3.7.15 26:9 34:8 47:8 58:18,20 59:2 62:6 63:20 64:9 64:17 65:9,9,18,19 67:7 70:1,19,20,21,22 71:14,17 75:17 91:15 97:1 99:12 100:16 104:8 115:1 117:4 133:11,14 151:7 152:9 155:2,3 157:2 158:11,12 171:13 181:6 189:2,19 190:5 191:7 192:5 202:6,12 204:19 205:10,16,17 209:11,20 222:1 224:3 233:8 242:21 245:19 252:1,2,4 277:15 282:16 287:4 287:13 299:4 318:10 328:4 329:8 332:15 **claimant's** 115:7,15 claimants 2:8 3:12 4:6 4:14 10:18 11:16 12:4 20:15 22:2 45:20 46:3 48:9 49:9 50:17,19 52:4 58:1,3 59:9,13 60:20 68:8,9,11,15 71:9 72:11,15,19 73:2 73:18 77:5,5 79:9 80:9 81:21 88:4 89:13 91:3,6,11 92:11 109:17,20,21 110:11 110:15,18,18 111:1,9 116:20 117:7 119:1,4 119:5 125:2,6 137:22

150:22 169:2 256:16 257:2 269:10 277:2 282:8,9,11,13 293:5 294:12 318:6 319:3 324:21 327:17,17,18 327:19 329:2,3,13,18 330:22 331:22 332:16 339:1 340:18 claimed 4:6 94:18 95:13,15,16,19 96:16 136:10 137:10 139:7 139:10.12 144:2 145:16 147:13 150:9 150:12 171:17 179:16 184:8 186:9,10,12 188:14 190:17 192:8 193:16,17,18 207:8 221:22 236:10,12 252:11 256:17 257:3 257:4 264:2,4 267:6 268:2 269:4.10 281:18 283:1 285:16 286:2 287:14 293:5 296:13 325:15 claiming 111:2 183:3 185:10,13 191:7 204:22 244:19 291:18 340:18 claims 22:10,13 23:7,20 24:11,16 25:2 26:2,10 33:20 39:5,15 40:4 41:7 45:17 56:9 76:17 89:4 91:5,10 92:10 99:10 102:10 110:1 115:12 119:20 124:3 124:14 126:9 128:8 128:17 135:17 137:18 137:21 138:1 139:5 146:9,19 148:3 149:19 151:1 152:6 152:17 155:7 168:22 173:10 177:6 178:9 179:17 185:19 208:8 226:10 249:3 268:16 277:8,22 278:1 288:1 294:10 317:4 337:18 clarification 68:22 162:10 189:6 247:4 284:18 300:18 336:4 clarify 24:22 100:15 133:6 138:6 163:20 165:3 179:7 190:19 190:20 223:16 288:22 334:14 clarifying 25:5 228:17

139:4 143:15,22

100:16 101:5,13

Classic 219:16

clause 238:10 clear 12:22 32:14 33:4 50:16 112:14,22 116:6 123:20 129:19 134:15 145:10 155:17 178:18 186:21 253:6 262:20 279:14 280:12 289:7 293:21 301:3 329:9 clearing 130:14 clearly 52:20 61:8 84:9 87:11.18 91:19 98:9 309:1 333:15 clerk 42:13,14 43:5 104:22 165:19 clerk's 43:13 client 92:1 113:3,13 114:4,9 181:6 207:4 219:9 233:19 309:14 327:1 342:5 client's 37:13 342:5 clients 14:15 49:19 58:9 92:3 114:1 158:8 259:2 279:6 CLIFFORD 2:9 clock 255:18 close 131:8 closed 299:19 closer 6:21 18:19 co-copyright 205:16 coach 62:17 Cochran 3:17 180:20 180:20 184:10 **coffee** 79:3 colleagues 112:1 278:20 collect 90:15 153:7,11 159:7 160:1 204:22 263:20 330:14 collecting 120:11 162:2 collection 150:16 156:16 168:9 296:14 322:15 Collections 136:11 138:16,17,17,18 collectively 37:4 collects 159:10 colored 317:11 column 136:1,5 154:22 155:6,9 156:7 284:3,9 columns 189:16 combination 155:2 combinations 151:5 152:9 155:4 163:2 257:6 combines 303:9 343:3

come 91:21 128:12 129:16,21 130:8,9 132:3 138:14,20 142:7 150:3,11 186:1 196:1 243:2 244:21 279:7 306:9,10 311:18 313:3 329:5 333:7 340:12 345:8 346:5,11,15 coming 76:15 345:6 command 178:15,17 commencement 129:15 comment 30:4 130:22 214:15 comments 168:5 271:17 309:19 common 125:7,7,8 communicate 331:2 communicated 14:11 15:22 77:10 168:10 177:2 178:4,5 197:6 206:13 communicating 72:11 92:2 communication 62:4 125:22 241:18 295:1 326:16,17 338:21 communications 13:12 69:4 70:18 72:18 73:1 178:8,14 217:16 241:5,13 252:13 319:7,8 Compact 133:20 135:8 136:11,15,18,22 137:3,13,14 138:15 138:16,16,17,18 145:14,17,18 146:11 146:15,21 147:3,10 147:11,17,20 148:2,5 148:10,18,18 284:7 companies 184:13 207:13 242:18 243:1 companion 314:14 company 12:10 15:2 53:15 82:8 108:16 158:7 200:4 205:11 217:8 219:14 242:16 283:10,10,12,14,16 283:18,20,21 307:14 323:21 342:14

company's 75:6 249:6 comparable 159:5,6

compared 87:13 267:22

204:11

compare 337:17

comparing 338:10 comparison 292:10 compel 190:2 278:10 279:2 286:6,14 compelling 276:10 340:21 compendium 332:15 competing 244:11 compile 314:9 342:4 compiled 321:5 compiling 291:15 complete 168:22 176:5 179:21 308:1,9 336:9 completed 128:7 298:20 345:8 completely 72:19 77:16 77:18 79:9 201:14 276:8 289:7 337:4 completeness 175:19 complied 339:16 complies 339:17 comply 336:14 339:6,9 339:12 compound 64:2 comprise 203:12 compromised 87:22 computer 306:15 310:18 320:13 328:11 computer-wise 224:22 concept 328:18 concern 59:12 271:15 277:20 concerned 59:4,11 142:10.18 341:13 conclude 297:3 concluded 236:1 Concluding 297:8 conclusion 38:1,14 99:15,18 129:22 237:14 243:3 244:21 311:19 conclusions 273:9 condition 322:14 conduct 120:5 conducted 321:4 confer 111:22 conference 6:14,15 140:22 confident 324:14 confidentiality 299:16 configure 321:18 confirm 48:21 144:17 241:9 246:19 confirmation 49:8 50:9 50:15,20 57:10 70:19 confirmations 48:4,8

48:14 56:14 confirmed 207:19 241:11 confirming 152:17 318:10,12 conflict 249:4,10,11,18 **conflicting** 208:7 277:8 277:21,22 conformity 8:22 confused 98:2,3 283:5 332:20 confusing 138:7 confusion 129:19 244:15 Congress 1:16 connect 137:3 328:2,3 connected 312:7 connecting 190:22 connection 22:18 33:14 33:19 110:12 111:10 171:11 181:12 241:15 270:2,4,5,7 consequence 253:8 consider 76:20 274:20 consideration 323:10 considered 52:22 53:6 53:8 56:14,15 273:1 consistency 98:15 consistent 12:14 211:18 235:18,22 244:1 246:7 consistently 99:7 constant 338:21 constitutes 340:22 consultation 114:11 contact 14:21 15:8 52:5 53:15,17 58:21,22 59:3 60:10 62:11,13 62:13,15 64:19 65:6 69:8 96:14 97:4 98:17 109:17 contacted 14:14 70:6 contacting 59:13 108:17 Conte 2:5 contemplate 67:4 content 174:7,8,12 175:4 182:4,5 184:15 255:4 256:1 contention 105:7.13 contents 3:1 133:22 222:6 223:7 228:21 248:2 249:21 250:2 context 196:1,2 200:5 250:15 291:21 294:7

296:4 327:13

continuation 105:18 106.7 continue 110:2 142:22 176:15 237:6 313:6 continued 83:20 214:16 261:22 308:17 continues 236:11 continuing 237:15 247:11 277:14 contract 4:4,5 17:6,9,9 18:2,2,13 22:20,21 61:2 67:11 92:18 97:2 97:7,14 98:22 99:6 101:5.6 104:9 110:16 113:16 114:8 138:2 178:19 212:20 217:10 234:4.11 257:11 259:8 261:22 264:7 266:11 268:22 269:1 contracted 143:18 145:21 149:15 150:7 159:15,18,20,22 160:5 174:1 177:13 178:12 contractor 341:20 contracts 100:5 109:20 173:7 258:16 261:13 264:6 contractual 144:20 148:10,11 207:3 233:16 266:14 267:5 283:13.19 295:1 contradict 271:4 302:5 contradicted 312:18 contradicts 56:6 contrary 202:15 contrast 283:15 control 309:2,14 311:17 336:10 337:15 controvert 313:21 convenient 270:21 conveniently 229:1 conversation 168:6 186:17 256:4 333:14 conversations 255:10 331:17 332:22 coop 163:12 cooperated 77:12 cooperating 52:20 77:11 copied 227:10 copies 5:16,19 6:7 43:7 191:13 288:17 290:21 291:6,7 314:8 318:5 copy 5:22 10:4 18:1,2 30:8 44:2 46:21

122:21 164:13 166:13 167:4 169:4 175:14 175:19 180:3 191:16 229:20 230:1 250:6 275:6,17 288:2,14 293:7 313:14,15 340:10 343:17 copying 229:8 copyright 1:1,22 3:19 3:20.20 108:18 117:2 117:6,9,15,17 133:1,5 135:18 136:6 137:8.8 138:3,21 139:3,12 140:4 145:5.7.8 152:12.12.14 153:17 155:6 156:10 157:6 157:11 161:20 202:5 202:6.12 203:12.19 205:6.10.16 209:5 210:18,20 212:5 214:21 257:10 258:2 282:2 283:1 284:4.13 285:2,7 287:4 294:16 294:18 295:2,4,11,12 296:2,15 297:5,9,11 302:16,18,20,21 303:9,20 306:12 338:7 copyrighted 144:2 copyrights 337:19 339:19 corporation 156:12,15 156:21 300:9.13 correct 10:2 20:4,10,11 20:22 21:1,18 22:13 22:14 23:3,7,8,17,20 24:3,4,8,9,12,13 25:9 25:11 29:14,15 34:8 36:6,9 37:21 38:11 40:3,6,10 44:1,4,5 45:22 46:4,21 47:9 48:4,9 49:1,9 50:3 62:7 67:4 68:11 71:22 72:1 73:4,22 74:22 79:21 93:7,12 94:11 95:10,12 105:20 106:16 107:13,22 108:1,13,20,21 116:14 118:13 119:1 119:2 124:4,5,9,10 125:4,5 128:6,9 137:4 137:5,11 138:5 140:13 143:20 144:22 145:3 147:6 160:3,6 161:8,9 162:3 164:2,3 164:7 171:21 174:20

177:6 191:2.3 204:15 209:15 211:21.22 212:6.7 216:21 225:13 236:20 237:9 242:17 244:2 254:17 257:20 265:14 271:10 277:4 281:1.15.21 284:14 285:3.12.13 285:17,20,21 286:3,4 286:7,8,11,12 287:8,9 287:12,17,20 288:3,4 288:8,11,12 291:8 294:13.14.19.21 295:14 296:15,16 300:6.7.11.14.15 302:16 303:1 315:8 315:12.14 317:19.20 327:10 328:6,7 330:9 343:9 correctly 61:13,19 114:18 correlate 342:22 correspond 20:17 correspondence 22:7 51:2,8 65:14,17 70:3 74:18 97:2,10,13,15 97:16 98:7,17 110:13 110:14 120:16 146:14 146:17 257:12 283:19 303:4 corroborate 249:14 Cosgrove 3:21 213:13 213:13 216:20,22 217:2,3,21 Cosgrove's 217:8,18 **cost** 331:19 counsel 3:13 5:16 6:8 7:21 10:9 20:1 30:7 67:15 94:17 114:11 116:13,18 124:12 177:21 271:17 275:5 280:5 304:9 307:12 307:13,19 308:20 309:3,14 311:10 312:14,14 315:1 320:18,21,22 324:8 326:12 329:16 330:9 331:2,4,14 344:1,3 count 86:15 225:15 Countdown 219:17 **counted** 151:8 counter 76:15 counting 7:6 counts 316:8 couple 53:22 262:8 264:21 345:13

couples 133:10 **courier** 330:14 course 34:13 76:3 142:18 190:3 264:5 268:22 276:6 court 6:15 16:22 169:16 173:17 191:5 321:12 327:5 331:12 Court's 54:8 165:16 courtroom 315:17 cover 54:21 228:10 318:8 332:1 341:6 **covered** 278:14 covering 320:5 Cowan 122:5 Craig 212:9,12 CRB 1:6,11 85:19 87:2 CRB's 86:22 create 154:14 155:17 160:22 161:2 162:10 269:11,14 271:7 293:1 315:12 322:9 335:6 342:16 created 132:19 134:8 134:11 154:15 161:3 179:13 191:17 192:1 255:6 273:14 279:15 291:10 309:2 312:4 317:21 318:1,2 320:8 322:21 328:21 331:3 331:4,9,13 342:20 credibility 87:22 credit 237:6 credited 236:19 241:2 criminal 87:21 critical 324:19 Critter 233:12 234:7,9 234:17,18 236:5,17 236:19 237:3 239:9 cross 3:2 17:7 128:7,13 253:13 280:10 309:18 327:8 cross-examination 19:20 83:20 90:21 112:8 131:5,10,18 345:9.19 cross-examine 230:11 274:9 cross-reference 223:13 cull 270:18 322:7 **culled** 281:2 curious 54:14 Current 156:9 currently 165:20 curtain 338:1 **custody** 337:15

cyber 322:15 cyber-judge 320:20 cyber-lawyer 321:21 D D.C 1:2 2:22 14:6 74:19 d/b/a 2:3 daily 181:16 275:6,10 275:17 dam 342:12 damage 323:3,6,12 data 11:8 49:15 52:1 181:20 279:9 283:17 284:2 321:5,6,13,16 323:21 324:6 332:11 334:13 342:15.22 database 58:18 65:21 66:13.14 68:8,10,16 68:20 69:15 113:5,6 137:1,2 286:15 287:11 306:15 307:5 307:8,10 308:19 309:12 311:6 312:12 312:17 321:3 322:10 328:21 332:4 333:21 339:4 340:9,11 databases 336:13 338:18 date 93:13 124:6 127:1 228:12 246:11,11 247:11 253:8 254:18 262:20 dated 17:10 29:12 35:14 39:10 121:18 123:4 174:11 247:4 253:3 David 1:21 211:7,14 212:2 day 17:21 49:17 92:3 141:15 274:10 299:18 days 307:21 336:7,11 Daytona 219:17 DC 1:17 2:11.15 deadlines 72:8 deal 20:15 96:5 197:9 266:7 308:8 334:4 dealing 48:3,8 96:9 192:2 197:14 292:4 295:6 dealt 11:18 198:18

cut 29:9 39:3 141:8

320:7

debate 310:10 debating 296:11,12 decade 178:6 233:14 December 1:14 decide 338:3 decided 325:22 deciding 53:1 338:6 decision 86:22 declarants 277:21 278:11 declaration 3:15,15,17 3:17,19,21,21 4:2,3,3 8:16,17 16:14 35:3,4 35:6,10,13 53:21 54:2 54:3,6,13 55:1,3,7,14 55:14 56:13,13 103:5 103:7 151:9.14 158:14 162:22 165:4 167:15,16 168:16 169:4 170:8 171:6 172:4 173:13,16 174:8 175:21 179:1,7 180:19 183:9 184:10 184:19 195:2,18,20 197:17 198:1 199:7 199:20 201:12,14 206:11 213:13 214:11 216:19 217:22 218:13 220:7,10 240:7 242:10 243:19 244:2 246:12,16 247:4 250:1,4 251:17 252:17 253:16 254:2 254:3,4,13 255:5,12 256:2 257:5 277:16 278:3 310:6 327:15 330:20 declarations 169:17 240:15 276:12 277:1 277:3 278:9 defective 299:15 defense 127:21 deficiencies 341:3 defies 87:2 definitely 45:5 142:8 definition 94:22 delayed 16:8,17 18:8 delete 66:18 deliberations 272:6 delivered 6:4 123:13 308:13 312:2 336:5

338:19

312:16

Deloss 98:13

demanded 308:22

309:12 311:6,12

demonstrate 145:15 205:8 281:18 demonstrated 177:5 demonstrates 295:6 demonstrative 272:21 272:21 denied 190:2 Denise 3:3 10:8 55:14 deny 243:14 340:4 depended 51:14 dependent 145:17 depending 131:6 135:22 316:15 depends 60:22 92:18 113:12 depicts 156:5,6 deposition 21:13 derived 133:6 134:9 146:11 190:15,16 280:22 288:19 292:4 311:5,20 335:20 describe 79:14 86:22 307:3 described 9:1 87:17 170:2,2 226:7 246:14 270:15 276:6 describing 80:9 323:6 332:19 description 3:10 227:5 281:20 designated 82:8 108:16 108:22 designation 133:18 desire 80:18 desk 261:18 262:16 desktop 227:4 despite 85:16 212:19 237:5 detail 57:3 169:20 detailed 115:19 details 102:7 233:22 determination 114:11 114:13,16 124:22 129:11 324:2 determine 21:8 52:22 58:14.17 69:2 137:7 138:9 230:11 251:9 devices 331:10 Devotional 2:7 4:14 91:3 died 17:17 difference 144:3 275:14 338:12,12 different 6:12 8:13 11:16 13:18 33:16 52:3 64:12 68:15

71:13 72:3 85:13 111:8 138:20 143:14 159:8,9 179:12 181:21,22 210:20 212:9 249:4 273:16 282:18 284:3 differently 77:16 135:21 138:14 differs 273:10 difficult 257:16 digging 227:21 digitization 290:3 digitize 191:17 digitized 288:13,15,16 325:17 digitizing 289:5 dilute 138:8 dire 3:5 81:5,7 196:20 196:22 316:13 direct 3:2 10:13 28:7 74:4 80:12 130:17 131:5 132:9 143:6 149:15 151:17,18 152:15 184:18 185:7 185:9 189:10 191:9 192:11 200:20 231:9 251:2 285:11,12,14 285:19 286:2 287:22 290:10 292:6,21 304:1,15 316:17 326:11 directed 70:16 197:16 287:10 directing 40:7 directly 82:3 110:20 138:2 145:21 150:8 159:18 174:1 180:3 280:17 281:19 283:14 director 317:3 directs 39:4 dired 255:20 disadvantage 313:17 disagree 144:10 241:10 disallowed 233:4 discourse 7:14 discoverable 342:9 discovered 190:1 236:9 314:13 discovery 40:13,17 123:5,10 192:13 193:9,13,15,16 203:2 222:14 227:10,13 228:9,13 229:12 246:4,7 247:11,16 264:1 272:8 279:2 285:20,22 286:5,9

Dear 36:19

DeBaets 122:6

303:19 305:7.8 307:15,16 308:21 311:7,13 313:13 322:22 325:11 340:22 340:22 341:2.9 342:6 discrepancies 273:6 340:9,13,16 discrepancy 7:20,22 8:1.15 293:7,11,17,18 discretionary 53:8 56:16 discuss 114:6 167:22 168:3 171:14 173:13 174:12 177:19 178:22 195:20 207:15 220:6 278:19 discussed 71:20 142:12 158:15 245:7 256:20 281:14 discusses 122:16 discussing 120:18 122:17 221:3 226:13 267:15 discussion 5:5 272:13 disk 229:21 dismissed 76:18 77:7 77:13 99:10 display 161:14 disposal 332:12 dispute 41:9 82:12 286:10 287:7 disputes 286:6 disregarded 85:20 86:10 disregards 142:18 disservice 72:16 distill 157:9 distilled 138:22 269:17 distinct 335:10 distinction 56:11 139:8 288:16 distinctly 308:2,6 distinguish 58:17 178:20 distinguishing 53:5 distributed 236:5 329:1 329:3 distribution 1:5,10 108:19 233:2 distributor 153:16 160:7,8 162:5 182:7 213:1 235:22 236:6 269:6 divided 225:3 divorce 262:1 266:10 **Docket** 1:5,9

document 19:16 27:1,9 27:11,17 28:4,15 30:1 30:3,8,16 32:12 34:2 34:11,15 35:4 41:5 42:8 44:7.16 47:9.18 56:19 57:18 64:8 65:7 67:3 78:11 79:12.14 83:16 89:6.15 90:2.7 105:3 112:11.16.16 121:14 123:22 132:17 132:19 133:9 134:10 135:2 149:21 154:8 154:10,14 155:12,18 155:22 160:13,20,22 162:11,15 167:18 169:8 170:18 171:1,3 172:16,22 175:15 179:21 180:15 187:21 189:12 193:8 194:19 195:5 200:18 201:18 201:20 204:3,7,9,12 205:5 206:1.2.5.7.16 208:14,20 209:3 210:5,10,12 213:9 215:1 216:9,15 218:9 218:16 220:21 222:20 223:7,8 225:1 230:14 232:20 234:22 237:2 238:14,22 239:19 240:5 242:5,11 248:4 250:2 251:12 253:3 256:12 259:7,21 261:8 270:14,15 271:22 272:3,8,20,20 273:8,14,20,21 274:12,16,19 275:16 275:16 276:13,18 278:10 279:20 282:4 287:3,20 294:22 298:1 304:6,17 305:5 310:21 311:14,20 312:16 317:21 318:1 325:13 326:9 338:1 344:22 documentation 336:22 documented 284:12 294:17 295:10 documents 7:19 41:21 43:8 46:10 51:14 56:5 56:10 63:18,19,20

64:3 65:13,14 77:4

90:16 93:9 153:21

158:17 169:3 192:3

193:10 203:11 207:6

234:14 247:19 248:2

210:20 229:11 230:16

249:4 276:21 278:22 280:20 293:2 295:5 297:13 304:7.19.20 315:11 325:12 337:14 338:9 dogs 345:14 doing 18:6 27:4 38:18 54:1 61:2 72:16 308:20 dollars 331:20 door 278:17 299:20 dot 181:17,17 doubt 76:22 88:20 draft 199:10 255:6 drafted 151:14 199:6,6 199:14 255:13 256:2 drafting 179:1 **Dragonball** 3:18 204:12 204:14.17 205:1.12 draw 332:4 drive 307:11.13 308:20 309:2 312:13 314:11 314:13,17 320:12,17 320:18,21 321:13 322:3,4,20 330:7,18 332:9,13 334:8,13 335:2,4,5,18,20 337:17 343:17 344:4 drives 323:3 **Droppers** 240:20 241:2 241:16 **DTG** 252:13 due 39:16,16 113:16 271:16 duly 10:10 45:21 143:4 duly-authorized 24:2 dumped 341:14 duplicates 229:7 Dutch 150:14 159:20 duties 26:2 266:6 Е E-G-E-D-A 159:1

E-G-E-D-A 159:1 296:22 earlier 24:22 68:7 122:18 141:13 262:19 296:18 314:4 337:3

earliest 158:8 early 224:4 227:20 earner 160:7 easier 13:7 226:20 282:20 332:6 eat 6:20,22 economics 5:6 effect 38:20 202:10

345:7

271:9 273:20 275:11 290:4 328:9 333:19 346:17 effort 321:12 333:5 efforts 58:15,16 59:7 **EGEDA** 4:7 144:21 150:15 159:1,5,15 160:5,7 161:10,11,14 161:19,22 163:22 174:19 175:1 177:5 177:11,12 179:16 263:3,5,6,7,9 265:11 265:13,17,18 266:5,7 266:11,12,20 267:18 268:1,11,12,17,20 269:1,5,11,20 270:2,4 285:5,5 296:19,21 297:1 302:15,17,22 303:3,4,12,20,21 eight 163:10 164:11,12 either 6:19 26:20 40:20 69:12 98:22 148:9 156:14 175:22 223:7 223:11 271:1 274:13 318:13

elected 36:22 electronic 132:12 191:13,16 287:2,11 287:11,20 288:16,18 289:5 290:21 291:11 293:14 297:14 307:5 307:7 308:15 311:6 312:11 322:9 333:17 333:21 342:15 electronically 188:19 227:6 229:15 270:20 312:4 eleventh 273:14

eliminate 59:7 else's 163:7 166:11 email 4:11,17 10:20 13:5 20:17 35:14,18 36:4,8,12,14,18 37:18 38:6,18 39:14 40:13 40:15 48:21 49:7,11 49:12,15,19 50:5 59:1 59:18,22 60:1,11,19 61:19,20 62:5 68:20 69:3,14,15 70:22 73:1 75:16,20 76:2,6 79:15 79:17 80:8 81:11,15 81:18,22 83:4 88:1,2 89:10,21 103:9,12.17 105:21 108:10,14,15 109:18 110:3 113:6 119:3,11,15 122:18

emailed 49:11 emails 11:3 53:8,11,14 57:10,18,22 60:15 61:22 63:16 66:21 68:14,18 69:17 71:9 71:14,16 72:2 82:4 110:11,19 115:6 118:22 119:8 embarrassed 326:2 333:17 embezzled 17:17 Emmy 181:15,17,17,17 181:18 182:7 183:1,2 183:4,4,16,16 184:7 188:17 190:16 191:11 Emmys 186:11 190:22 employee 171:9 180:22 empty 265:11 ended 179:10 210:16 ends 163:8 engaged 72:4 engagement 48:4,8 57:10 enlighten 226:1 ensure 114:22 ensuring 114:12 enter 258:16 263:2 entered 19:8 27:1 173:7 178:19 208:2 209:22 213:3 233:21 234:4 235:19 237:11 259:9 260:7 263:4 265:12 283:9 Entertainment 206:13 206:22 207:2 209:10 entire 77:12 272:7 entirely 294:20 entities 57:9 68:10 111:8 144:15 149:12 149:15 161:8 181:21 217:15 234:16 257:8 260:2 265:19,22 266:14 267:11,17,18 267:18,22 268:3,11 268:15 269:2,17 270:3,5,6,7,18 274:8 282:21 317:17 entitled 4:6 35:3 117:15 232:9 233:11 249:5 277:11 Entitlement 298:20 300:4 301:16 318:9 entity 31:4 33:7 62:6 65:9 69:21,22 75:20 104:1,3 117:16

135:11 138:20 144:13 147:10 148:19 150:15 150:16 152:22 156:17 157:16 158:22 159:3 159:5,21 169:1 195:9 215:14 219:2,2,7 240:8 241:1 243:4 244:14 245:7 251:21 256:18 266:20 269:21 300:19 303:5 307:10 312:3,4 320:2 entity's 219:12 entries 281:7 **Equator** 217:16 errantly 214:3 erringly 10:20 11:1 58:8 erroneous 94:10 error 76:3 109:6 especially 168:20 **ESQ** 2:4,9,9,10,13,13 2:19,19,20 Esquire 122:5 essentially 10:19 17:10 33:13 232:11 287:16 establish 29:19 112:16 183:19 246:8 324:4,5 established 111:13 establishes 295:1 establishing 199:21 **estimate** 6:3 129:9 130:11 131:10 estimated 131:12 et 10:20 234:15 etcetera 191:1 ether 271:16,19 Europe 258:14 345:16 eve 324:1 evening 346:22 event 117:7,14 136:21 events 17:19 174:20 eventually 194:16 everybody 77:1 147:11 166:11 everyone's 264:20 evidence 16:15 19:8,19 26:16,20 32:14,21 34:5 41:21 42:8 44:19 47:21 53:22 74:11 77:4 83:19 84:5 85:8 93:10 103:21 104:7 105:3 110:6,9,22 111:6,10 112:11 123:12,22 134:5 135:2 144:1,5,10 146:17 147:16,18,22

156:1 162:15 164:19 168:18 170:18 172:6 172:16 175:8 177:10 185:3,21 186:8,10 187:9.15 192:16 194:19 200:18 201:12 204:3 205:19 206:7 208:2.14 209:22 210:5 213:3.9 216:9 218:9 220:21 222:8 222:20 237:11 238:15 239:20 242:6 246:3 247:17 249:16,17,20 250:19 251:13 256:13 259:22 261:9 263:19 263:22 266:13 267:4 272:12 279:20 291:22 297:3,6 299:12 309:10 310:14 313:10 342:3 344:22 evidencing 64:8 evidentiary 73:21 74:19 74:21 76:21 111:12 247:16 exact 186:2 252:22 283:15 309:2 exactly 32:5 49:14 82:5 86:15 94:8 95:7 100:4 100:6 101:16 169:5 169:10 185:16 186:22 198:12 209:16 244:20 314:21 325:9 329:21 337:2 341:7 343:19 examination 10:9.13 17:8 28:7 81:7 118:15 130:17 132:9 134:3 143:6 200:20 229:8 253:13 280:10 316:17 327:8 examine 276:15 278:11 345:20 examined 10:11 143:4 229:1 322:2 345:20 examining 5:8 example 73:16 77:9 136:4 138:15 145:13 149:10 150:2 155:10 157:10 190:16 280:13 280:16 283:7 285:4 291:17 293:8 294:2 examples 284:21 Excel 11:5 12:3,16 52:9 58:8 133:6,12 135:14 138:7 140:1,11 152:7 154:1,15 156:9 161:3

184:5,20 188:11,18 190:9 191:17 192:17 193:1 196:3 203:6 207:11 209:17 221:20 225:19 226:5 229:11 245:11 246:19 247:1 268:14 269:15 280:14 280:22 281:5,10 282:22 285:9 287:19 288:5,7,9,19 289:1 290:2 291:16 292:4 293:4,9,22 297:14 298:10 303:6,8,15,18 322:6 325:3,6 334:17 336:21 337:6 Excellent 9:14 exception 149:13 excerpt 224:21 225:19 246:3,6,21 247:12 277:10.11 **excerpted** 224:8,10 230:12 excerpts 229:5 230:22 245:11 **excess** 125:3 exchanges 280:7 exclude 170:4 exclusively 96:9 215:16 293:3 excuse 24:20 93:8 120:21 132:16 134:2 137:21 147:17 148:5 148:15 150:21 169:16 188:4 191:4 219:4 250:21 260:3 280:12 342:12 excused 129:1 346:21 execute 75:5 179:7 197:16 255:12 318:10 executed 153:21 173:6 298:3 300:4 317:2,18 319:14 executing 220:6 254:21 executive 195:12 197:14 exemplars 257:21 exercise 337:4 exhibit 3:10 4:16 5:15 8:4 10:16 19:7,13,17 26:14 27:4 30:21 34:3 35:10,13 43:9,17,17 43:20 44:9,17 45:15 45:18,19 46:15,15,17 47:12,19 54:6,19 55:13,19 73:7 74:10

164:19,20 166:12

148:14,17 152:15,15

78:12 79:10 80:4

82:15 83:17 84:1 90:5 90:8 94:14 102:20.20 103:19 104:13 105:4 106:10,21,21 107:12 107:16,19,19 108:4,7 109:8 112:6,12,19 115:12 116:17 121:2 121:14 123:2 124:1,7 132:16 135:3 140:15 143:10 149:12 151:11 151:13 154:7.10 155:15 156:1 157:8 160:10.13 161:18 162:8,16 164:9,11,13 164:14,15 165:7 166:11,13,19 167:2,5 167:13.18 168:18 170:14,19,22 171:3 172:6,13,17,19,22 175:8,10,14,16,17,20 176:1.2 179:21 180:13,15 187:2,8,15 187:18,21 188:3 191:10 194:14,15,20 195:1,5 196:17 200:15,19 201:17,20 203:12,16,22 204:4,6 204:9 205:19 206:5,8 206:11,16 208:2,11 208:15,17,20 209:22 210:6,9,12,16,17 211:6 213:3,6,10,22 214:1,8,20 215:1 216:3,6,10,13,15 218:3,6,10,12,16 220:15,18,22 228:11 231:20 232:1,5,8 237:11,14 238:7,15 238:18 239:12,16,20 240:1 241:21 242:2.6 242:9 244:5 251:8.13 251:16 252:19 256:8 256:9,13 259:6,15,18 259:22 260:4,11 261:9 269:9 271:1,7 279:11,13,21 280:13 280:15 281:16 282:5 282:7,19 284:11 289:10 298:4,15,19 298:21 300:2 302:9 302:10 304:3,5,8 305:14 310:1 311:20 316:20,22 319:4,12 344:13,19 345:1 exhibits 26:22 126:6 130:4 141:18 150:18

169:17 228:20 230:8 277:2 280:13 281:13 281:16 284:18,22 291:16 292:3 293:2 297:16 298:13 302:5 302:7 305:22 309:8 334:19 exist 264:8 291:11 307:18 309:13 311:7 311:8 312:17 existed 307:8 existence 56:5 exists 8:9 149:18 339:13 **expect** 331:14 expensive 330:4 341:15 experience 141:10 340:3 expert 99:21 expiration 104:9 expire 99:17 237:3 expired 99:13 100:4 101:15 105:9,14 107:3 109:21 explain 12:3 16:21 65:1 96:4 135:10 145:3 146:5 154:20 156:4 174:15 192:16 235:5 235:9,16 325:8 342:7 **explained** 16:5 18:5 177:16 214:6 250:19 252:7 263:15 344:11 explaining 143:12 303:15 308:3,7 333:12 **explains** 17:4 156:6 explanation 111:19 135:9 170:8 expressing 114:18 223:20 expression 84:9 86:2 expressly 37:14 212:20 **extension** 37:3 51:5 extensive 252:8 323:16 345:5 extent 22:22 62:10 79:8 80:17 186:8 190:18 193:3,4 230:9 253:19 263:9,10,11 273:6 275:14 321:8 323:18 extra 26:8 131:17 214:10 extract 320:22 321:12

321:17

extractable 322:19

Extracting 331:22 extraction 331:21 332:7 332:8.8 extraordinarily 87:1,13 eyeballing 144:12 F F 4:16 103:7,19 104:15 104:22 105:4,6,12 121:3 face 167:14 237:2 302:10 fact 14:12 17:8 21:6 23:15 25:6 38:2 83:4 83:13 84:10 86:2 89:20 91:10 92:7 93:16 94:7 96:2.13 105:17 143:17 147:3 158:16 162:4 176:4 181:20 184:3 185:4 185:11 193:7 212:19 221:5 237:18 244:18 245:11 259:2 264:10 265:11 268:4 269:20 273:9 274:22 278:15 293:6 307:17 309:13 323:4 342:13,20,21 factor 53:6,10 factors 52:22 facts 111:6 factual 86:12 87:6 failed 294:11 failure 75:4 fair 3:17 25:4,22 27:5 31:18 50:10 195:2,3 195:21 197:3,7,12,16 200:22 222:21 235:14 280:18 281:19 293:6 295:13 338:5 340:15 Fair's 196:9 199:21 fairly 11:21 319:20 faith 335:13 fall 6:17 102:16 333:2,2 333:2 342:10 **falling** 224:13 falsely 296:14 falsity 80:16 fame 217:4 familiar 12:16 13:8,17 14:10 15:21 88:4 109:2 116:4 117:1 152:21 154:8 158:3,5 158:6,22 160:11,19

167:15 170:22 172:20

195:2,17 204:7 209:2

extracted 322:4,18

358 210:9 214:21 216:22 218:14 232:12,14 238:21 240:4,9,17 241:13 242:11,12,13 251:20 324:3 **familiarity** 12:5 168:8 168:13 familiarize 27:10 Family 205:4 far 14:3 66:10 67:1 85:1 178:16 252:7 fault 272:4 Feder 1:21 9:19,21 10:1 24:20,22 114:2,10,21 115:11,21 124:21 282:8.11 Federal 268:9 feed 3:13 4:9 26:17 34:7 36:1,20 37:20 39:5 40:8 41:8 46:2 47:7 92:14,20 94:16 94:17 95:12,19 96:4 96:16 122:18 124:12 345:14 feedback 70:9 feel 62:14 299:18 feeling 84:17 85:4,14 86:11 fees 43:22 44:10 46:20 93:20 106:12 107:18 107:21 126:13 feet 325:20 felt 50:12 51:9,12 53:3 77:3,14 85:15 86:8 Ferguson 212:12 figure 53:4 315:20 338:22 339:6,9 340:3 figures 87:1 file 13:3 29:18 39:5 40:8 91:5,6,10,12 97:6 99:4 101:13 104:8 113:18,19 114:17 115:1,7 178:8 191:22 226:4 228:12,18 334:17 filed 22:11 25:2,6 29:13 29:16 30:1,16 39:17 40:1 41:7 44:2 47:1 91:14 92:7,10,15,21 93:5 96:22 97:17,20 98:3,16 99:9,11 100:16 101:5,5 106:16 117:2 124:3

124:14 173:10,16

176:4 179:9 180:2.2

185:8 191:6 232:21

II
247:6 285:10,11 318:11
files 97:2,8 99:6 115:13
115:16 132:12 231:1 322:14
filing 23:7 25:3,9 26:2 37:6 98:12 101:18
109:22 110:3 114:3 117:16,17
filings 20:18 26:9 98:11
99:2 fill 67:21 256:5
filled 58:11 127:1 filling 44:22
Film 184:15 202:11 Films 155:10 156:12,21
217:16 218:22 268:6
final 233:2 324:18 finally 15:10,13,19 16:6
70:5 financial 181:2
find 14:15 15:3,4,10 26:9 73:2 83:13 93:22
97:14 226:20 272:4
309:5 330:16 341;10 finders 274:21
findings 88:9 273:9 fine 27:15 32:9 52:19
56:21 67:20 88:5 274:18 281:8
finish 39:2 88:5,7 142:12,16 198:20
199:1 230:19 297:21
310:17 339:15 Fintage 4:4,5,6 150:14
150:19 159:20,22 160:2,5,6 161:8,12
174:22 177:11,13,18 258:7,9,15 259:9
260:7 261:19 262:1,9 262:11 263:1,19
264:4,6 266:5,8,9,13
267:4 268:2,18 269:1 269:2,11,18 270:6
305:1 317:3,4,17 fired 66:9
firm 50:15 122:7 314:16 322:18
first 3:14 10:19 14:14 37:19 55:19 56:1,3
61:20 63:14 76:14
82:7,9 86:20,21 94:16 98:3 108:15 112:18
114:7 118:18 121:17 122:12 123:7 128:15
133:17,19 134:4

105.5 0 10 11 106:17
135:5,8,10,11 136:4,7 136:9,13 137:3,7,14
137:15.16 138:3
139:17 145:14,14,16
145:20 146:7,15,18
146:20 147:1,13,16 147:17,18,22 148:8
148:15,18 149:9
154:22 155:5,9,10
156:7,11,19 175:10 182:13 210:17 211:8
182:13 210:17 211:8
211:13 233:13 237:13 238:8,10,11 247:10
250:12,13 276:4
284:7 290:11 294:5
299:6,8 300:3 345:11
345:11,20
firsthand 188:22 197:20
fit 6:5 274:11
five 7:10 86:20 183:8
245:18 250:4,7,9,10
250:14
five-day 74:19 flatly 274:13
flip 27:9 34:16 35:9
55:7 86:19
Floor 2:21 207:8
flown 163:12 focus 52:15 146:12
focusing 144:16 149:9
215:8 258:2
follow 112:20
follow-up 126:2
followed 253:22 306:6 following 86:21 114:22
115:1 164:12,20
166:12 237:16 246:20
254:16 256:4 259:3
261:16 285:18
follows 10:12 143:5 306:1
followups 66:22
fore 48:7
foreclose 176:6
foregoing 37:9 78:20 251:1
foreign 182:7 296:14
forever 331:19
forfeiting 75:13
forfeiture 75:7
forget 315:4 forgetful 166:4
forgot 118:17
form 29:3 45:17 63:3
75:5 115:17 199:8

```
229:2 253:17,21
  254:1,11 255:3,8
  277:16 289:6 301:11
  310:19 318:9 322:6
  322:10,11 324:6,6
  325:18 337:5
formally 36:21
format 179:2 224:11
  328:13
formatted 224:13
forms 48:9,10 49:8
  126:17
forth 63:8,10 100:17
  101:6,14 161:16
  189:17
forward 34:17 35:10
  39:9
found 5:22 15:6,11 27:6
  33:16 87:21 89:11
  188:20 256:21.22
  268:4 322:3 324:1
foundation 108:3
  199:19,20 200:13
  245:3 246:8 313:10
  315:11,16 326:8
four 35:9 86:20 152:2
  169:12 181:21,22
  189:16 190:17 248:21
Fourteen 170:15,16
fourth 213:12 290:15
  306:4
frame 275:7
frankly 264:9
free 14:6 299:18 342:6
 342:7
Freewheelin 218:22
Friday 166:3
front 8:18 43:16 46:15
 108:8 223:3,12
 247:20 310:17
frustrating 332:3 340:3
frustration 333:1
FTC 36:21 37:1,7,12,14
full 37:13 61:7 111:6,12
 296:20
fully 77:10
functioned 162:1
fundamental 272:11
fundamentally 273:22
Funds 1:6,11
Funimation 204:19
 205:12,17
further 18:16 19:1
 41:16 54:7 60:3 90:10
 118:14 125:10 127:9
 127:18,20 143:4
```

169:19 174:4 245:3 253:2 270:10 344:16 future 37:8 39:6

G
gadget 344:5
Gal 270:9
Galaz 3:6 4:12 21:10
116:8,9 118:11
125:18 127:15 128:11
129:3,5 130:18 131:5
131:11,19 132:11
134:11 135:5 143:2,8
155:12 156:4 163:19
183:1 184:2 186:19
193:22 197:2 201:13
205:21 211:11 221:2
222:5,17 223:19 226:21 227:1 232:6
235:11,17 238:17,19
240:2 242:13 244:3
251:18 253:15 256:3
256:15,22 260:6
265:1 270:9 272:14
274:6 277:8 279:12
280:3 292:1 302:11
326:4,11 345:9
Galaz's 44:21 87:21
182:14,15 271:4,9
272:22 273:7 326:9
345:19
gazillion 222:20,22
gears 276:20
gee 312:11 general 11:15 34:10
general 11:15 34:10 177:21 182:18
generalize 153:8
generally 230:7 266:3
generate 306:16 321:7
generated 247:12 307:5
307:14 329:7
getting 13:1 15:20
51:22 88:21 110:13
174:7 175:3 264:16
275:6 279:3
giant 312:5,6
Gitters 233:12 234:7,9
234:17,18 236:5,17
236:19 237:3 239:9
give 6:7 13:21 64:14
83:11 130:19 150:2
166:2 199:10 223:19
226:2 227:4 249:13
251:6 278:4 283:7 294:5 306:21 339:22
クロオ・ド マハド・フィ ママロ・フラ
343:16

given 18:3 61:7 63:21 100:20 131:17,22 135:9 176:8 179:8 181:19 190:22 222:5 246:22 249:13 252:13 269:2 309:6 331:7,11 331:11 341:21 glad 337:1 glancing 304:14 glasses 118:17 Global 240:8,9,12,12,18 241:1.12 qo 5:17 12:11 14:5 20:16,17 29:6 30:15 32:4 42:17 46:1.14 55:18 58:2 60:3 62:3 62:5 64:7.10 66:20 69:22 73:6 76:8 80:18 84:1 107:5 108:5 115:6 131:15 141:14 149:4 157:13.14 166:18 194:17 219:5 220:11 225:9 231:18 257:17 279:11 299:21 305:3 316:16 317:9 340:8 346:10 goal 142:16 goes 50:4 56:7 110:20 111:20 115:19 157:7 163:5 164:11 169:2 169:14 229:6 278:3 306:1 317:14 going 5:14 13:21 15:6 15:15 39:1,9 42:17 43:5 59:4 68:22 74:4 82:11 83:12 85:1 88:20 100:22 129:20 129:21 130:5 133:22 134:7 140:20 142:12 150:3 151:16 194:15 194:16 201:13 208:6 222:7 225:4 227:18 227:19 228:8 230:3 233:1 246:2 247:18 248:11 254:18,21 258:1 262:2 263:9,12 263:14 264:18,21 317:6,8,10 327:11 340:17 343:10 347:2 good 8:19 9:2 10:3 19:22 46:12,12 50:14 60:1 66:10 67:1 78:14 91:1 280:2,4 338:3 gotten 11:7 77:13 95:22 187:10 235:7 graciously 130:3

grammar 318:17 grant 212:21 granted 56:8 176:19 186:6 301:13 grapes 84:17,18 great 12:9 Greg 20:1 280:3 **GREGORY** 2:19 ground 305:19,20 320:5 arounds 80:7 103:21 109:10 175:19 311:14 group 2:2,3 4:1 121:22 239:4 242:19.20 243:4.5 244:18 245:17.22 257:2 Group's 37:1 quess 33:12 49:18 65:11 98:8 100:2 115:14 224:13 225:3 273:18 317:8 343:12 guessing 81:20 317:7

guys 27:18

Н hair 331:18 341:16 half 141:13,14 halfway 149:3,5 hamstrung 230:20 hand 5:14 12:18 220:13 287:21 315:6 handed 79:10 125:14 125:16 308:15 handled 284:2 hands 330:16 343:4 handwriting 45:1 47:4 47:5 handwritten 44:6,21 45:1 191:19,20 192:19 Hang 219:4 happen 261:15 happened 16:8 91:17 91:19 101:4 308:18 312:11 happening 142:19 happens 124:20 hard 101:16 288:2,17 291:6,7 293:7 307:11 307:13 308:20 309:2 312:13 313:14,15 314:11,12,17 318:5 320:12,17,18,20 321:13 322:2,4,19 330:7,18 332:9,13 334:8,13 335:2,3,5,17

335:20 336:20 337:16 340:10 343:17 344:4 **HARRINGTON 2:9** harshly 262:7 264:15 **Hawthorne** 241:5,13 head 202:17 209:16 · heading 156:8.13 174:22 284:15 heads 345:16 Healthy 243:8,15 245:15,16,21 249:1,1 hear 59:4 271:18 297:7 315:20 327:6 heard 31:16 64:18 90:5 94:17 116:18 168:14 271:11 313:18 hearing 1:19 23:9 73:21 74:20,22 76:15,21 80:10 111:12 129:15 132:5 168:22 229:1 233:2 347:10 hearings 95:6 323:20 hearsay 16:13 174:6 196:11 199:19 201:6 201:7 207:20 243:17 Heart 171:17 Heather 180:20,20 heavens 315:21 316:7 heck 255:20 held 20:9 261:22 help 18:22 54:6 192:2 helpful 272:5 273:15,16 Hinn 9:7,10,15 hired 241:14 history 87:21 98:18 323:19 hold 12:18 70:5,14,17 129:12 317:6 holding 95:6,14 holes 341:2 **HOLMES** 2:19 home 333:1 honest 72:15 278:18 Honestly 39:11 Honor 5:10 6:11 7:16 10:6 18:17 19:2,7,15 25:5,20 26:15 28:5,11 29:17 30:2,9,17,20 31:7 32:13 33:2,3 34:1 37:22 38:12 41:1 41:6,11,18 42:1,2,7 47:13 54:5 56:18,22 62:8,19 63:2 64:1 66:2 67:8 68:12 69:9 71:1 74:9 77:21 78;19

82:10,14 83:2,7 84:8 85:22 87:8 88:12 89:5 89:14 90:1,11,14,20 94:20 96:18 98:1 99:14 101:8 103:18 103:20 104:6,15,20 108:2 109:7,9,15 110:4 111:3,18 117:11 118:4 121:4,5 123:1,3,8,9,18 125:9 127:10.12 129:2,6 130:19 131:4.14 132:7,11 134:2,20 141:2,17 142:11 143:8 151:16 152:1 155:14 162:6,18 163:5,16 164:8 165:8 165:12 166:10 168:17 168:19 169:13 170:20 172:5,7,12,18 174:11 175:5,7,18 176:7,17 183:14 185:1,6 186:15 187:7,14,17 193:15 194:13,21 196:13,16,19 198:7 199:18 200:1,6 201:15 203:15,17 205:18 206:9 208:1,4 208:16 209:21 210:7 211:1 213:2,16 214:4 214:17 216:2,11 218:2,11 220:14 221:2 222:4,13 223:1 226:18 227:1,7 228:1 228:16 229:13,16 230:17 231:6,12 232:3 237:10,13 238:16 239:11,15,21 241:20 242:7 244:4 245:2 246:1 247:3,9 248:9 249:19 251:14 252:18,20 253:5,10 255:17 256:8,14 259:14 260:1,10,21 265:9 266:19 270:8,9 270:12 272:9 276:4 277:13 278:7,13 279:8,10,22 301:6,8 302:13 304:10 305:15 305:16 307:2,22 309:5,11,21 310:14 313:16 320:4 321:14 323:1,9 324:15 325:21 326:1,15 333:9 335:8 340:2 346:4,9 347:4,7

79:5 80:5,6 81:4

HONORABLE 1:20.21 1:21 Honors 44:13 274:4 hope 25:5 219:16 hopefully 142:13,15 272:16 hoping 131:20 346:10 horrible 16:11 horses 345:15 hosts 212:3.9 hour 7:6 131:4.7.13.20 131:20 141:13.14 273:15 hours 7:8,9,11 132:1 141:11 345:13 house 4:6 17:18 159:22 160:2,5 161:8,12 262:16 269:11,18 housekeeping 7:19 344:15 345:2,4 Hoyt 4:2 240:8,9 241:7 hundred 11:16 hundreds 82:6 hunt 14:22 hunting 158:9 Hurricane 17:18 hurt 51:9 Husband 17:17 hypothetical 67:9,19 68:3

i.e 162:2 283:19 **Iberica** 268:10 ID 3:10 idea 23:4 50:14 91:22 102:11 158:21 177:18 178:22 303:3,17 identical 164:15 167:5 identification 19:18 34:4 43:11 44:18 47:20 78:13 83:18 90:9 121:15 148:12 154:11 160:14 167:19 171:4 173:1 180:16 195:6 201:21 204:10 206:17 208:21 210:13 215:2 216:16 218:17 257:11 297:20 identified 28:15 42:10 47:8 52:2,16 57:19 134:13 138:22 145:9 154:5 156:7 157:21 161:17 163:2 170:10 187:21 205:14 267:3 268:3 283:22 284:4

285:15 287:13,15 296:18 297:10.18 300:19 303:9,12 320:3 identifies 139:10 148:18 154:22 156:10 190:10 239:7 248:22 297:17 298:8 identify 12:12 43:19 46:17 139:2 144:19 149:18.19 190:5 224:8 226:9 227:2 285:1 299:4 333:13 identifying 11:11 53:10 155:12 202:5 268:16 268:17,18 identity 265:18 266:21 **II** 1:5,7,10,12 Ike 17:18 illuminate 272:17 illuminates 275:20 illustration 169:15 illustrative 272:20,22 imagine 11:21 12:4 **IMDB** 15:5 immediate 62:11 immediately 62:15 impeach 32:2 80:18 83:5 336:17 337:12 impeached 230:13 impeaches 31:14 impeaching 31:9,11 impeachment 31:6,19 82:19 86:4 104:7 112:7,18 123:11,14 123:15 338:3 imply 110:16 important 51:1 52:12 67:12 importantly 279:5 improper 237:17 in-house 341:19 342:1 inaccuracies 272:5 inaccurate 292:12 inadvertently 58:6 incarceration 261:17 262:22 include 60:19 62:5 65:8 65:12 69:22 175:20 185:19 included 65:13 70:20 165:3 175:16 177:4 298:13 324:7 includes 35:18 94:15

159:10

including 37:1 110:11

111:9 220:9 330:18 337:16 incomplete 67:18 68:2 169:8 175:17 244:22 253:4 incompleteness 176:3 incorporate 323:19 incorporated 322:20 incorrect 188:9 incredulous 342:14 incumbent 12:17 Independence 1:17 independent 2:3 36:22 121:22 140:12 indicate 133:13 136:1,5 136:8 140:3 188:13 189:18 209:13 215:18 217:12 219:11 305:10 indicated 56:9 100:21 135:13,17,20 168:11 184:7 203:7 207:10 207:12 235:17 240:22 241:14 245:14 246:18 268:15 280:22 283:17 305:9 307:9 311:8 indicates 156:13 189:11 200:3 202:11 211:7 212:17 239:9 indicating 152:16 196:3 204:21 212:14 224:4 225:2 indication 207:7 234:16 263:18 individual 114:8,9 164:1 166:1,7 178:11 206:12 individually 332:13 individuals 189:3 198:18 indulgence 228:3 inexplicable 76:16 infomercial 241:16 information 14:22 15:8 20:16,19 49:19 53:17 61:6,9 70:8 71:17 73:3 109:17 113:22 143:13 161:7,13 177:4 178:8,16,18 185:4 190:2,9,13,14 190:15,21 192:22 204:21 212:14 215:17 217:12 226:6 237:5 240:22 243:18 244:1 244:11 246:18 249:6 267:15 269:19 270:17 281:2,12 287:15

312:22 313:4,8 328:22 335:6,12,20 336:20 337:6,17,18 338:9,10,14,16,19 339:17,18 342:4,8 informed 72:7 158:20 initial 119:14 186:18 197:14 199:10 initially 199:14 255:14 327:22 input 45:5 inquire 41:16 **Inside** 219:15.15.20 insisted 307:17 instance 61:4 136:7 147:9 148:6 155:1 156:11,19 173:22 221:12 284:6 285:4 290:6 instances 77:3,10,14 91:20 136:3 138:19 145:22 180:7 221:3 302:1 Institute 202:12 instruct 346:5 instructed 320:22 instruction 330:12.13 Intelisando 3:19 Intelisano 206:12 Intelsiano 206:14.18 intend 104:10 130:7 272:15 274:8 intended 37:9,12 50:3 50:19 57:16,20 58:2 59:8 69:5 119:4 intensity 131:6 intent 147:4 165:1 intention 65:22 66:4 69:2 91:8,9 119:6 131:16 273:13 intentionally 119:7,11 119:12,15,17 interact 22:2 interchangeably 68:21 interest 7:5 20:21 21:3 22:11 111:8 116:19 117:4 258:12,13 342:17,18 interested 334:9 interesting 205:15 309:6 341:12 interests 258:14 263:13 Internacional 268:8,9 internal 113:5 **internally** 114:12,16

125:1

International 182:4.6 184:15 243:10 245:14 Internet 203:13 205:6 interpret 251:6 301:11 interpretation 145:10 325:11 interrupt 139:14 325:22 341:12 introduced 202:20 293:3 intuitive 76:16 invent 343:18 invite 299:17 involved 23:6 26:17 65:20 205:9 226:12 involvement 21:3 241:19 IPG 3:11 7:9 10:10,18 19:17 20:3,22 21:4,9 21:20 22:12,21 24:2,6 24:15 25:6,10 26:10 33:17 34:8,12 36:12 37:6,12 38:19 39:4 40:12 41:7 45:20 46:2 47:1,8 56:4,7 58:1,3 58:18,20 59:2,8,13 62:6 64:8 65:7,9,17 65:19,20,21 66:9 67:7 68:9,11 69:6,22 70:20 70:22 71:9 72:4 74:18 75:21 76:1 77:5 80:9 84:15 85:21 86:15 89:2,11 91:6,12,15 92:11,15 94:15 96:2 96:16 99:13 104:3 105:14,17 106:3,21 107:3,12,20 108:22 109:16 110:10,12,14 110:17 111:9,18 113:1,3,4,7 116:13,20 117:4 118:11 119:3,7 119:10,15,20 120:11 120:17 121:6,14 123:22 124:3,13,20 125:1,1 127:21 128:7 129:2 132:16 135:2 139:18 142:7 146:6 147:2 150:21 154:10 155:21 156:1 158:12 160:13 162:15 164:16 167:18 169:16,19 170:18 171:3,11 172:16,22 173:7,10 175:17 178:12 180:15 181:4 187:21 188:22 194:19 195:5,13

199:15,16 200:18 201:20 204:3,9 206:7 206:16 207:1,4,12 208:14,20 210:5,12 211:20 213:9 215:1 215:13 216:9,15 217:7 218:9,16 219:3 219:8 220:21 233:5.7 233:15.18.21.22 234:5.11 235:18 236:16 237:13 238:15 239:20 240:13 242:6 242:20 245:18 249:8 251:13 252:1,4 255:22 256:13,16 258:7,8,15 259:22 261:9 263:2 266:5,8 266:12 267:3 270:16 279:20 286:7 296:13 309:6 310:3 319:3 325:18 331:12 341:6 **IPG's** 4:10,10 23:7,16 24:11 26:4,10 33:16 37:19 44:2,10 48:21 59:19 71:21 72:19 80:9 88:10 89:22 97:2 97:8,17 105:8 106:11 107:17 110:22,22 112:15 118:20 120:5 125:2 128:3,16 247:7 274:1 294:10 337:11 IPG-Fintage 265:11 IPG-represented 60:20 73:17 75:16 189:1 204:19 209:11 219:9 ire 234:21 235:5,10 irrelevant 33:6 80:14 104:5 183:13 247:13 irrespective 84:5 85:7 issue 33:20 95:18 120:4 150:10 222:9 252:9 255:21 291:13 295:16 295:22 314:11 315:6 323:4 332:2,16 issued 85:19 287:7 issues 72:8 246:17 274:9 343:2 item 46:1 135:6 157:4 157:22 257:12 items 205:3 IWV 15:4 97:6,14,17,18 97:22 98:7,12 99:4,6 242:16,18,20,22 243:4,5 244:18

12.9 Jackson 197:15 Jacobs 3:21 218:14.14 218:20 220:4 Jane 3:8 185:7 189:13 290:22 306:2 January 81:14,15 Jaw 240:20 241:2,16 Jean 81:17,18 82:3 108:11 111:7 Jennifer 171:6.8 **JESSE** 1:21 job 11:22 12:1 John 213:13 216:20 joining 21:20 joint 4:10 23:19 24:1,3 24:5,7,16 26:10 43:21 44:2,10 46:3,19,22 47:8 106:11 107:17 259:9 260:7 265:12 282:15 jointly 20:18 258:16 joking 166:9 Jonathan 4:3 251:17 Jones 37:2 Judge 5:3,18 6:2,6 7:1 7:17,18 8:3,7,9,22 9:3 9:7,9,12,16,19,21 10:1 16:3,18 17:2,11 18:18,21 19:3,13 24:20,22 25:15 26:19 27:2 28:10,13 29:2,6 29:20 30:5,12,19 31:10,15,21 32:3,15 32:22 33:8,22 35:2 38:3 41:2,10,12,15 42:3,9,12,15,19 43:2 43:7,12 44:15,20 45:7 45:13 46:6,9 47:16 54:11,16 56:20 57:6 62:16,21 63:5 64:5 67:13,17,20 68:2,19 71:6 74:12 77:22 78:14,17 79:1 81:1,6 82:16,22 83:3,10 84:11 86:5 87:10 88:14 89:7,17 90:4,12 90:17 93:8,13,16,21 94:5,9,12 95:4 96:20 98:4 99:19 101:11 104:12,17,21 108:5 110:8 111:22 112:5 112:13 114:2,10,21 115:11,21 117:21

118:6 120:21 121:2,8

121:12 122:3,8 123:16,19 124:21 125:11,14,17,20 126:2,5,9,13,16,19,22 127:4,7,19,22 128:6 128:10,14,20 129:4 129:13 130:14 131:1 131:9,22 132:8 133:21 134:12,16,19 134:21 139:14,15,16 139:17 140:5,10,14 140:17,19 141:6 142:3,9,17,21 149:4 151:21 155:11,21 162:13 163:14 165:10 165:13,18 166:5,20 167:10 170:12,16 172:13 174:9 175:2 176:1,10,18 177:9 182:19 183:10,17,21 187:1,5,12,18 188:3 191:4 192:22 193:5 193:12,20 194:4,7,15 196:15,21 198:11 199:2 200:10,15 201:11 203:22 206:4 207:22 208:11 210:3 211:2 213:6,17 214:7 214:12,18 216:6 218:6 219:4 220:18 223:11,17 224:6,16 224:20 225:7,9,10,14 225:18 226:8,19 227:8,15,18 228:2,6 228:14,19 229:14,17 230:3,19 231:8,18 232:1 238:4,7 239:16 242:2 243:18,21 244:6 245:4 246:9 248:19 250:21 253:12 256:9 259:18 260:14 260:17,22 261:3,6 264:17 265:2,7 266:18,22 270:11,15 271:6,18,21 272:19 273:5 274:15 275:2,4 275:5,13,20 276:3,19 277:18 278:19 279:9 279:18 280:1 282:3,8 282:10,11,12 292:11 292:14,18 299:11,14 301:13 302:11 304:8 306:21 309:18 310:9 311:2,18 312:20 313:9,14,20 314:2,10 314:22 315:6,9,15,19

245:17,22

316:2,5,10,12,15 320:6,11,16 321:10 321:15,22 322:11,17 323:14 324:13,16 325:2,5,19 326:20 327:5 334:2,7,18 335:1,5,9,11,15,17,19 336:16 337:9 338:8 339:8,15 340:19 341:11 342:2,11 343:9,14,20,22 344:2 344:4,7,19 345:2 346:2,7,13,22 347:5,9 Judge's 133:8 135:16 154:18 161:5 184:21 191:14 192:1 209:18 227:13 246:20 247:21 judges 1:1,22 5:5 6:13 29:14 87:20 88:9 89:11,21 96:12 112:22 149:22 190:3 190:8 225:22 226:9 227:11 228:15 232:22 251:9 270:20 271:2 272:5,6 276:6 286:10 287:7 290:6 291:12 295:22 296:6 322:22 324:9 326:10 333:6 336:15 judgment 51:18 170:3 judicial 117:12 118:1 **July** 25:15 35:14 37:3

37:20 39:10,18 40:1 41:7 44:3 55:15 93:3 93:5,5,14,17 94:2,2 106:16 121:18 123:4 124:3,8,14 133:8 135:16 154:18 161:5 184:21 190:7 227:13 246:20 286:10 287:7 297:15 324:9 jump 320:6

June 4:11 74:18 79:16 234:13 236:1 237:3 239:10 339:5

junk 340:1

K..

keep 100:22 109:22 113:20 227:18 264:12 264:21 303:15 keeping 225:15 kept 309:10 Kessler 308:8,13 312:9 314:9 318:5,8 320:9,9 328:14 329:3 335:22

336:2 338:21 Kilborn 212:9 killing 315:22 kind 6:12 51:21 53:9 58:19 157:7 304:21 King 319:15 knew 6:16 21:20 107:2 **know** 7:15 9:16 12:9,10 12:20 13:2,3,16,21 14:16,20 15:1,7,11,15 18:5 28:14 29:9 30:7 30:10,13 31:8,15 35:22 36:2 39:1,12 40:14,15,19,21 49:20 50:13,14 51:4,5,7,18 52:7,9,15,17 53:10,13 54:9 58:3,12,13,22 59:1,5,11,14,15,21 60:7,9 61:1,3,3 64:11 64:17 65:14,22 66:3 76:2,5,22 77:2,9 80:21 83:8 84:22 85:1 85:10,13,14 86:10,14 91:17 92:1,14,17,21 93:3 96:4,5,7,9,19 97:4,13 98:9,10,10,12 98:14,14,15,15,17,18 98:19,19,20,22 99:1 100:3,6,7,8,9,9,10,20 100:21,21 101:7 102:2,9,10,11,12,13 107:7,8,9,11,11,14 113:10,14,21 115:3,4 115:5,7,18 116:2,3 124:6 132:3 136:17 136:18,21 144:13 146:12 153:6 156:14 159:17 162:4,20 164:5 169:10 171:8 172:3 176:12 179:16 179:18 180:20 185:7 188:22 190:3 194:4,8 194:10 195:9 197:2 197:11 198:2,12,15 198:17,19 203:3 204:17 215:9 223:2 224:6 226:14 227:8 228:16 233:14 244:17 244:20 246:11 248:14 248:16,17,18 251:4 254:1,17,17,20 257:20 258:2 262:17 264:8 277:22 278:21 279:4,5 285:5,10 305:2 306:9,17 314:17 321:4 322:3,7

323:13,18,20 324:3 324:18 326:3 328:1,8 328:10,17,19,21,21 329:1,2 330:1 331:3,5 331:6 334:12,16,18 341:8 343:1,20,21 344:7,7 345:18 347:1 knowing 340:6 knowingly 92:12 100:19 101:9 102:17 knowledge 22:12 26:12 116:21 118:20 127:15 127:17 140:12 144:13 148:1 150:19 153:3 159:4 162:1 164:5 179:14 197:20 199:21 200:9,12,14 211:16 223:16,20 320:13 321:19 324:11,12 331:9 known 92:8 152:22 156:17 158:22 285:3 knows 13:18 323:12 Knupp 2:20 Kowalski 4:17 103:5,10 103:14 **Kyle** 49:18

lack 253:7 315:10 landlord 33:16,17 language 100:9 172:9 252:22 254:5 258:20 laptop 229:20 230:2 large 141:18 largely 271:15 larger 144:4 **Larri** 37:2 **Larry** 13:10 late 5:4 33:17 212:1,2,2 212:5,5,8,8 273:17 279:1 344:5 Laughter 5:7 7:3 8:11 9:18 14:8 118:2,8 231:4 271:20 276:2 316:1 334:1 346:19 law 122:7 273:9 296:11 322:18 Lawrence 171:9,12 lawyer 7:2 86:16 288:10 lawyers 330:14 331:17 341:8 lay 108:3 245:3 330:16 Le 2:5 leading 120:6 177:8

learned 314:14 learning 104:9 leave 59:14 116:17 128:21 343:10 Leaving 245:15,16 lecture 5:6 left 15:11,12,13 67:10 264:22 left-handed 125:15,18 legal 38:1,13 42:3 67:21 99:15.17.21 117:8.14 183:11 237:14 300:19 306:22 307:4 311:2.4 legible 5:19 legitimacy 120:4 legitimate 80:17 length 141:8 lengthy 131:17,18 319:20 331:16 let's 20:19 42:22 45:9 46:14 60:5,13 66:9 73:6,6,12 76:8,9 78:17 86:18 120:21 133:17 189:5 219:14 226:16 227:19 283:9 285:9 289:10 293:21 296:10 298:15 317:8 letter 3:12,13 10:17,22 11:1 19:8 91:22 92:5 93:2,4 121:17 122:2 122:12,14,15,20 123:4,8,9 124:13,17 228:10 290:11 341:6 Letterman 211:7,14 212:2 letters 23:2 52:8,11 69:18 91:21 261:18 letting 132:2 Library 1:16 licensees 220:13 lie 80:21,22 life 16:8 80:22 202:6 lift 60:21 light 69:7 limited 138:16,17 157:11 179:2 283:2 limits 100:7 line 28:14 47:10 82:7,9 84:2 85:18 106:20 107:19 108:15 112:18 121:6 139:18 187:3,5 187:12 231:10 254:13 290:15 331:14 lined 193:22 194:7 lines 81:10 224:9,20

315:4 328:1

link 192:4 linked 221:21 Lisa 98:13 list 3:14 4:6 11:5,6,8 13:3.5 16:10 45:20 46:3 52:1,3 57:9 58:5 59:7 61:16 67:6 109:16,17,18 113:21 116:3 132:22 137:21 137:21 138:6 139:1 154:19 169:12 185:9 186:14 191:11 192:10 267:22 269:10.12.18 277:8,10 285:15,16 307:5 311:5,15 318:6 318:14 319:11,21,21 324:21 325:1,16 328:2 329:12,20 339:1 listed 24:7 109:18 158:16 183:6,8,8 208:9 219:22 282:7 327:15,16 listing 288:1 306:4,5,6 lists 4:13 57:14,15 185:7 224:2 306:10 307:14 308:11 309:3 312:1.5.6 321:8 328:12 329:15 330:22 331:8,22 listserv 68:21 113:6 literally 146:13 148:6 148:13 189:17 236:7 257:9,12 258:2 267:13 295:9 330:15 little 18:19 23:12,13 48:2 51:17 58:7 77:15 84:21 85:1 94:22 115:15 134:3 149:1 162:7 166:3 189:5 227:21 261:18 279:1 280:6 283:5 296:21 326:2 332:19 Litton 4:1 233:15,17,22 233:22 234:1,5,8,11 234:11,19 235:19,19 236:11,12,12,20,22 237:1 239:4,8 live 345:12 lives 14:2 332:5 living 3:20 215:6,8,10 215:12 216:1 243:9 243:15 245:21 249:1 249:1 262:16 333:2 334:9 341:17 **LLC** 240:18 241:12

LLP 2:4,14,20 LM-403 1:15 long 14:16 15:3 16:10 18:8 29:1 36:2 77:13 134:14 140:22 155:16 228:4 231:21 233:7 262:8 279:14 327:5 336:6 338:16 long-time 181:6 longer 53:15 141:13,14 264:10 346:10 longest 96:10 look 6:8 27:3 54:19.22 72:22 73:6,12 74:16 76:9 83:22 102:19 106:10,20 107:5,16 107:19 114:7 132:15 133:17 150:5 151:10 152:2 154:6 155:9 156:22 157:22 160:9 167:13 170:21 172:19 180:13 183:4 184:17 191:9 194:22 201:16 204:5 206:10 208:17 210:8 214:19 216:12 218:12 232:4 238:17 239:22 242:8 251:5 251:15 259:5 260:4 269:8 289:10 291:18 292:5 301:21 319:4 319:12 340:7,17 341:7 looked 73:9 124:7 174:4 looking 10:16 29:4 107:15 115:14 135:5 143:9 161:6 162:20 165:4 192:18 235:1 243:3 257:5 260:18 267:14 298:10 306:3 316:19 336:18,20,21 looks 27:11 29:15 248:17 Los 2:5 losing 96:1 loss 289:18 lost 17:18 186:16 lot 11:3 12:21 15:5 17:7 20:15 52:4,16 55:11 70:5 84:17 85:15 92:2 99:8 151:4 191:18 220:9 222:5,5 303:14 lots 16:11 20:16,17 267:13 Louis 158:9

lousy 226:15,15

low 23:13 lower 156:22 **LUCY 2:19** lunch 6:17,19 129:22 142:12 143:9 273:4 346:6,11 Lutzker 2:13,14,14 **LYNCH 2:10**

M

M 1:20

ma'am 16:22 165:2 machines 328:20 MacLEAN 2:9 3:4,7 6:11 7:4 15:17,20 16:13 19:11 32:13,16 32:20 41:11,12,13,18 44:12 47:14 81:3,4,8 82:14 90:13,14,19,22 91:2 94:13 95:2,8,9 96:21 99:3 100:12 101:12 103:18 104:6 104:14 105:5 108:2,6 109:7,11,15 410:7,9 111:18 116:5 117:11 117:21 118:3,9,14 120:6 123:7 127:11 127:14,18 129:6,18 132:2,6 134:17,18 140:21 141:2,15,17 142:15 155:20 162:12 163:9 164:8 165:11 166:10,22 167:4,8 172:11 174:6 175:18 176:17 177:8 182:12 182:20 186:15,21 187:7 196:11,19 197:1 198:7,14 199:5 199:18 200:6,13 201:6 203:21 207:20 208:3 210:2 213:5 214:4 216:5 218:5 220:17 222:4 223:1 231:10,11,12,16 235:6,12 239:14 242:1 243:17 246:1 247:9 249:19 250:12 253:10,14 255:22 256:7 259:17 260:13 275:1 276:3,4,17 277:4,7 278:7 279:17 325:19,21 326:21 346:1,4,14,16 MacLean's 111:5 112:14 Madison 1:16

magic 50:2 main 48:3 52:15 59:11 207:8 Mainframe 209:10 majority 175:15 making 102:10,13 107:10,13 110:21 111:21 137:22 144:8 152:17 161:8,12,14 177:6,11,12 182:1 184:4 186:3 187:2 188:21 189:13,19 190:6 209:14 212:15 215:19,22 217:13 219:12 234:16 239:8 243:5 245:15,20 267:19 268:16 271:14 278:17 282:6 295:7 322:17 332:14 337:3 341:4 man 13:14 managing 317:3 mandate 37:2,5,11 51:4 51:6 manifested 147:3 manipulate 224:21 manipulation 323:22 manner 224:22 286:16 287:16 March 20:22 74:5.6 103:16 105:21 106:2 106:18 margins 45:2 Marian 18:3,6,12 98:12 mark 121:5 206:12,21 207:2 272:1

Martha 3:20 215:6,7,9

215:11.12 216:1 Martin 319:17 Mary 35:14,21 37:17 122:5 Maryland 14:3 mass 49:11,12 53:11 71:9 81:22 82:4 massive 125:22 match 312:9 matching 286:15 material 144:2 180:6 203:2 209:12 336:18 339.12 materials 159:12,13 181:9,10 202:15.20 202:22 204:21 209:13 212:13,16 215:18 217:11,12 219:10,11 220:9 240:22 243:3 245:7 246:14 250:11 263:17 matter 1:4,9,18 7:19 14:12 26:18 78:20 85:21 112:2 132:13 141:3 185:5 265:4 338:13 344:15 345:3 345:4 matters 171:14 201:2 Matthew 2:9 91:2 Maureen 4:3 14:10 15:4 15:10,22 17:5 18:9 77:8 98:22 242:10.12 McBride 81:17,18 82:3 108:11 111:7 McKay 319:7 McNeely 3:15 158:2,4 158:15 167:15 168:1 168:4,4,13 169:5 McNeely's 172:2 MDs 186:4 mean 28:12 31:22 33:19 39:10,11 44:22 48:10 51:1,21 52:19 55:19 58:12,20 65:13 65:16 75:10,12 77:8 78:8 82:11 85:10 86:8 88:19 91:19 92:6.12 95:5 97:3 98:8 100:4 101:1 107:6.7 113:14 136:18 139:20,21 145:19 171:19 197:4 199:13 200:7 203:17 203:18 226:13 228:15 229:19 231:13 254:7 254:12 256:22 258:4 305:2 310:8 324:6

329:10 meaning 203:5 248:1 312:14 meaningless 337:4 means 7:7 8:20 75:12 110:15 113:16 283:22 308:15 meant 51:17 99:16 140:18 337:3 Media 97:7,17,18 99:5,6 242:19,20 243:4,5 244:18 245:17,22 meet 278:16 343:2 melding 332:10 memorialization 271:8 memorialized 275:15 memory 311:9 327:11 mention 87:20,22 88:8 89:1,4,10,20 mentioned 16:17 22:17 58:7 Mercury 268:6 merely 52:21 123:14 201:8 272:19 merge 49:19.21 merged 49:16 mesh 306:11 messages 15:11,12,13 messed 214:14 318:17 Messinger 319:17 met 197:5 221:8 methodological 88:9 methodology 88:22 Meurer 213:13 217:4 Mexico 259:4 mic 130:22 214:15 microphone 18:19 mid-August 246:20 middle 345:18 midst 130:20 miffed 237:8 Miles 8:17 9:5 Millen 4:3 14:11 15:22 16:6 17:5 18:11 22:18 22:21 77:8 242:10,12 242:14 245:6 246:14 248:14,16 Millen's 16:14 243:11 mind 249:2 262:21 347:1 mine 8:22 45:10 163:3 163:5,8,12 164:11 165:11 175:22 211:8 213:16

minuets 128:4

minute 78:18 122:11

124:7 139:15 174:16 317:10 minutes 7:7,8,9,11,11 7:13 22:18 132:1.4 141:11 223:14,21 265:3 323:15 **MIPCOM** 220:12 Miracle 3:14 133:19 135:8,10,12 136:4,7,9 136:13 137:3,7,14,15 137:16 138:3 145:14 145:16,20 146:7,15 146:18,20 147:1,13 147:16,17,19 148:1,9 148:15,19 149:10 252:11 284:7 mischaracterization 308:1 mischaracterizes 148:22 misdated 124:8 misleading 73:3 misrepresentation misrepresentations 169:19 170:9 misrepresenting 62:9 missing 51:8 163:4 212:12 311:19 misspoke 236:21 misstated 57:1 misstates 68:13 mistake 8:20 10:4 192:14,16 mistaken 341:22 Mister 207:15 misunderstanding 272:14,18 misunderstood 129:14 273:22 274:14 Mitchell 2:20 mixed 95:22 moment 62:11 70:3 90:15 199:11 326:4 moments 191:6 money 17:17 119:22 120:12 month 39:22 morning 19:9,22 78:15 91:1 345:11,21 347:4 347:6 mother 20:10 motion 2:17 135:14 137:18 245:13 286:6 286:14 motions 278:17

Mountaintop 219:17 move 19:7 32:18.18 44:9 47:12 80:4 86:18 103:18 109:7 123:2 134:5 151:16 162:7 165:16 168:18 172:6 175:8 187:15 196:17 203:16 205:19 208:2 209:22 213:3 216:3 218:3 220:15 235:12 237:11 239:12 241:21 244:4 250:7.13 252:19 259:15 260:10 276:10 279:11.13 294:9 296:10 301:6 305:14 344:14 moved 14:18 32:20 190:2 309:7,16,17 310:2.5 movies 162:3 moving 139:3 Moyer 232:11,12,19,22 234:22 236:3 237:8 MPA 132:13 MPAA 4:8 7:7.7 20:1 26:14 34:3.12 40:20 43:9 44:17 47:19 57:8 57:19 78:12 83:17 90:8 94:14 106:10.21 107:12.16 108:4.7 109:8 110:10.21 112:11 116:17 127:21 128:4,8,16 133:1,7,9 134:9,10 136:10,12 136:15,21 137:2,11 139:5 140:1 142:6 143:12,19 144:22 145:9,18,21 146:18 146:19 147:4,11 148:2,4,11 149:15 150:8,13,14,22 152:6 153:22 154:2,17 155:17 156:8 159:12 159:15,19 160:1,1 161:4 162:10 169:17 173:20 174:1,21 177:14 180:6,7 181:9 181:20 183:3,15 184:3,8,21 185:22 186:3 188:12,21 189:9,10,19 190:8,17 190:22 191:6 192:4 202:15,19 203:7 204:20 207:6 209:12 209:14 212:13,15,16 215:18 217:12 219:10

221:21 222:6 228:11 234:14 237:4 240:22 243:3 244:17 246:17 246:19 247:2 252:13 256:18 257:4,10 263:17 264:6,7 267:14,19 268:2,16 269:5,16 270:7,18 271:3 274:8.11 279:15 280:6.16.17 281:1,6,10,18 282:22 283:2,9,14,17,20 284:2,12 285:10,16 287:10 288:2 290:20 291:18 294:10.19 295:3.12 297:15 302:19,21 303:8 306:13 308:16,21,22 309:4 311:7,11,17 312:2,14 314:15,22 320:18 329:1,17 333:19 336:5 337:15 338:19 342:16,16,20 MPAA's 136:11 148:22 170:6 247:5,8 268:13 292:5 324:8 342:21 MPAA-produced 196:3 293:4 MPAA-represented 137:22 143:15,21 287:3 multiple 226:5 304:19 304:20 317:17 322:12 museums 14:4 Mysteries 217:5 249:2 mystery 222:16

Ν

N 2:10,11,21 N.W 2:21 name 19:22 60:10 71:8 79:21 80:1 117:8,14 133:17 228:4,12 254:22 255:1 280:3 284:5 296:20 named 250:21 names 138:10,14 244:16 267:10,16,17 narrative 15:21 83:11 307:1 narrow 262:14 296:4 **NATPE 220:12** nature 168:5 263:6 NBC 182:3 184:14 necessarily 31:20

51:16 57:4 144:8 222:21 230:6.20 254:1,20 282:14 284:16 342:22 necessary 51:13 53:7 56:5.15 57:4 62:14 224:19 253:19 254:5 339:18 necessity 51:21 need 30:4 42:13 61:5.9 61:16 62:17 68:22 90:16 111:22 114:16 116:18 131:2 134:3 138:5 140:5 142:7 166:18 175:6 198:22 199:3 222:19 225:19 230:6 248:6 278:22 319:9 323:17,18 324:4,5 346:5 needed 50:9,13,20 53:3 needs 254:2 **Neely 158:7** neither 153:15 network 189:4 221:7,15 never 82:2 92:3 106:7 120:4 123:6 168:14 186:12 191:6 192:8 254:17 307:4 343:2 347:1 new 53:17 61:5.9.17 122:6 153:9,13 219:1 278:17 nice 13:14 14:9 night 7:20 10:19 nine 132:3 163:12 165:5 347:5 Ninety-nine 20:6,7 non-claimant 119:11 119:13.16 non-claimants 119:8 non-IPG 68:15 118:22 non-responding 60:18 **NONNETTE** 2:20 normally 114:5 North 258:13 Nos 43:10 notations 318:13 note 75:4 105:1 142:3 165:19 noted 10:18 74:17 86:5 87:10 176:19 notes 44:6,21,22 45:1 60:10 115:4,5 191:19 191:20 192:6,19 299:15 326:11

notice 1:19 3:22 4:9

28:18,21 29:10,11 38:21 94:15 113:2,8 117:3,12,22 118:1,5 120:15 164:13 166:14 166:21 173:4 232:9 262:4.11 263:16 noticed 165:4 notices 264:7 notified 117:18 notify 36:21 117:8 November 28:22 29:12 number 11:14 15:12 16:6 42:11.12.20 51:14 60:10 101:18 101:21 102:22 111:8 119:21 125:6 133:18 138:9 139:7.9 144:4 145:11 157:2 169:16 256:16 257:18 272:1 306:7 310:1 numbered 168:20 172:9 244:9 numbers 42:21 87:3,4 numerous 188:20 268:5 **NW** 2:11,14 0 O 2:19 228:3,4,4 o'clock 132:3 346:3 347:4,5 oath 129:4 316:3 object 28:5,17 33:13 42:1 62:8 66:2 67:9 80:6 88:12 99:15 103:20 148:20 169:7 169:21 175:18 198:7 199:18 205:20 222:8 245:1 250:7 253:2 305:18,21 310:21 objected 26:16 186:22 objecting 63:3 120:11 222:9 310:11,12 311:1,14 objection 15:17 16:13 19:10,11 28:9 29:17 30:2,9 31:1,19 32:21 33:21 37:22 38:12 42:4 44:12,14 47:14 47:15 56:18 67:21 71:1 81:3 82:15 84:8 86:1 87:9 89:5,7,14 89:15 90:1,2 99:17 101:8 104:17,19

109:9 111:4,5,14,15

111:17 117:19,20

120:6 123:3,16 134:15.18 155:16.20 162:11.12 168:20 172:8,10,11 174:6 175:9 176:18.22 177:8 182:12.12.13 182:14.18 183:12 186:18 187:2.16 196:11.18 199:22 201:6 203:18.21 206:2 207:20 208:3.5 208:6.10 210:1.2 213:4.5 216:4.5 218:4 218:5 220:16,17 223:10 231:3,9,13,17 235:6 237:12 239:13 239:14 241:22 242:1 243:17 244:6,9 246:2 247:15,16,17 248:15 248:20 250:3 252:21 253:1 256:7 259:16 259:17 260:12,13 261:4 272:11 276:5 277:14 278:8 279:15 279:17 306:1,22 310:7 311:3,4 312:21 315:10 333:9 344:17 345:22 346:1 objection's 170:13 objections 33:4,5 131:6 131:8 252:21 obligations 247:11 observe 165:22 227:16 observed 180:7 obtain 178:8 189:7 203:11 205:5 229:19 229:22 233:22 obtained 246:6 obvious 85:21 146:12 235:4 obviously 179:12 235:13 236:14 256:15 344:18 October 246:12 247:5,6 253:7 254:18,19 offer 32:1 42:9 54:7 133:22 134:4 194:13 offered 26:19 80:15 112:17 141:19 152:15 172:3 175:3 187:8 220:9,11 274:17 303:21 326:9 offering 82:17 313:9 office 108:18 121:18 166:6 202:5 203:20 205:6 209:6 214:21

Office's 203:12 officer 181:2 300:16,18 300:19 301:3,4 317:3 320:2 321:11 offices 229:22 259:3 official 36:12 117:22 oh 19:6 23:4 26:5 32:11 32:22 42:19 45:11 50:11 58:19 60:5 78:3 86:9 88:2 93:18.18 101:22 107:14 116:9 121:9 129:13 135:6 140:17 176:22 214:12 248:9 260:10 270:12 275:4 299:14 315:21 319:21 325:21 329:12 okay 8:3 9:16,20 16:2 18:20 20:5,12,21 21:6 21:16,17,22 22:10,15 23:6,11,19,22 24:10 24:14,18 25:14,18 26:6,13 27:16,20 28:1 29:6,16 32:11 34:16 35:9,12 36:4,15,17 37:16,18 38:22 39:3 39:19 40:11,22 41:10 41:15 43:2,14 44:1 45:7 46:5,8 47:7,17 48:17,20 50:6 54:16 54:20 55:5,12 56:11 57:22 59:22 60:14 61:18,18 62:21 65:4 66:12 67:2,3 70:15 72:14 73:8,20 74:8,13 76:8,13 77:20 78:10 79:17,20 80:3 82:16 86:11,18 87:16 88:3,6 88:17 89:1,18 103:3,8 113:15 116:15 121:12 122:13 125:13,17,20 126:2,8,19 127:4,22 128:10,14,22 129:18 137:20 140:19 142:3 142:9 144:16 146:2 149:4 160:18 166:22 167:7 179:20 180:17 200:15 206:4 208:22 214:12 225:18 228:14 238:4 243:21 253:20 254:11 255:16 261:3 261:6 264:19 266:22 276:4 277:18 278:19 280:9 281:10 283:7,8

321:12 330:15 333:1

284:11 285:14 286:5 287:6,10,18,21 288:5 288:13 289:11 290:12 291:2,9,9,15 294:9,21 296:10 297:22 298:15 298:16,19 299:1,2,21 300:22 301:20 302:12 303:2 304:4 305:6 306:19 314:10 315:19 316:11 317:13.16 319:18 321:15 324:3 324:13.16 327:7 328:8 329:9 334:5.18 334:18 340:19 342:19 346:2 Olaniran 2:19 3:4,7,9 19:5,21 20:1 25:4,8 25:18,20,21 27:7 28:16,20 29:5,8,21 30:6,14,20 31:6 32:8 32:17,18 33:2 34:1,6 34:22 35:5,8 38:4,16 41:1,3,16,19 42:6,17 42:21 43:15 44:9 45:8 45:14 46:6,8,12,13 47:12,17,22 54:10,17 56:21 57:7 63:8,11 64:6 66:6,8 68:5,6 69:1,13 71:4,7 74:15 77:21 78:3,5,9,16,19 79:4,5,6 80:4 82:17 82:19 83:2,6,14,21 85:2 86:6 87:15 88:16 89:9,18,19 90:10 104:18,19 123:3 127:9 128:9,11 129:10 131:9,12 270:11 272:9 273:18 274:18 275:21 280:1 280:2,3,5,11 282:17 292:19 299:13,22 300:1 301:10,14 302:13,14 304:10,12 307:22 308:6 309:5 309:15,19,20 310:13 310:16 313:11,12,15 313:22 314:4,19 315:3,8 316:10,16,18 321:10,14,20 322:1 323:2,11 324:14,17 325:4,7 333:9 336:3,8 336:13 337:1 338:5 338:15 339:14 340:2 341:5 344:11 345:3,4

old 320:5 336:12 omitted 42:8 Omnime dia 215:12 once 15:4 42:9 92:4 124:20.21 189:7 one-by-one 58:19 ones 8:6 56:15 183:7 192:18 225:11 256:20 ongoing 113:3 online 202:4 open 219:15,21 278:16 opened 226:5 operation 321:9 336:9 336:10 338:17 operations 321:5 opinion 80:9,16 84:10 85:10,11,19 86:2 114:19 237:18 opinions 85:13 opportunity 6:8 123:5 230:10 271:3 273:2 276:15 opposed 148:2,4,5 180:9 325:2,4,6 option 340:5 oral 223:14 **orally** 248:1 orange 54:21 order 55:15 133:8 135:16 142:6 154:18 161:5 164:15,15 184:22 190:7 191:14 192:1 209:18 224:11 227:14 246:21 270:16 276:10 287:7,10 297:16 321:7 322:22 324:9 331:12 333:6 333:18 335:7 336:15 339:7,9,18 340:20,21 342:9 ordered 190:8 orders 291:12 ordinarily 223:5 organization 333:20 organizational 113:11 organize 337:5 organized 157:1 original 17:9 111:4,14 111:15 239:3 originally 6:4 178:11 179:13 327:13 originals 229:7 Oshita 18:3,12 22:17,19 98:13

ought 323:10 outcome 80:10 outdoor 158:9 Outdoorsman 158:2,3 168:12 outside 28:8 80:12 106:3,4 263:11 299:17 333:10 341:20 overcome 31:19 overnight 273:3 overruled 17:12 28:10 30:12 31:21 32:3,21 33:22 62:21 63:5 84:11 88:14 98:5 123:17 170:13 177:9 owned 143:15,16,16 203:10 209:10 211:16 234:1 245:21 328:4 337:20 owner 20:3,5,13 117:15 118:11 133:5 136:6 137:8 138:2 140:4,9 144:8,9,14,15 145:6,8 145:8 147:12 152:12 152:13,14 153:17 154:3,4 155:6 156:9 156:10,20 157:6,18 157:20 158:1 160:8 161:20 162:5 164:1 168:12 188:14 189:21 190:10 205:12 206:21 209:7 236:6 257:10 268:17 269:5,6 282:15 283:1,3 284:4 284:13 285:8 287:4 294:18 295:3,4,11,12 296:2 297:5,9,11,18 298:7 300:9,13,20,20 301:5,17,19 302:16 302:18,20 303:10,12 303:20 320:3 Owner/Agent 3:14 owners 133:1 135:19 138:22 139:12 157:9 267:6 282:2,13 286:16 294:16 306:11 312:7 327:16 332:1 339:20 ownership 144:1,5 147:19 180:10 181:21 188:8 203:8 205:9,22 212:18 219:14 221:16 222:2 241:11 283:11 284:19 285:2 296:15 302:22 owns 137:16 204:17

346:20 347:3.7

Olaniran's 111:16

338:6 P 2:13 P-016 139:18 P-17 164:16 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S p.m 141:4,5 265:5,6 347:11 pack 345:14 page 3:5 30:15,16 32:6 32:6 55:19,22 56:1,3 56:7 81:10 84:15 86:21 87:5 121:21 150:5 152:2 157:2,22 163:4,10,12 164:10 164:11,12 165:5,6,16 165:20,21 166:14 189:15 210:17,19,21 211:8,14 212:4 213:12,12 214:2,10 214:11 222:20 237:13 237:15,16 238:8,9,11 238:12 239:6 246:22 259:13 278:4 290:11 299:7,8,9 300:3 302:10 306:3,5 310:17 page's 311:5 page-long 189:12 pages 34:17 35:10 164:20 166:12 211:5 214:5 222:22 225:3,5 291:9 331:5 paid 119:22 paired 287:3,13 Pants 211:18,19,21 212:18,20 paper 6:1 191:15 192:2 193:10 257:9 306:14 308:7 314:5,8 317:11 328:13 332:13 335:21 336:1 343:6 papers 139:3 320:8 335:22 paperwork 20:17 paragraph 55:18,21,22 76:10,17 84:1 86:19 86:20,21 87:12,18 151:19 152:2 162:21 168:20 169:12 172:9 183:8 200:11 208:7 240:16 244:10 248:21 250:4,6,14 251:3

215:9.11 233:10

252:22 255:7,8 277:14 290:13,16 paragraphs 250:7 Paramount 81:17,19 82:1 108:11 109:1,4 111:6,7 116:19 117:3 paraphrase 314:2 pardon 5:4 19:6 36:7 57:17 75:18 79:22 95:11 135:7 270:13 276:17 279:11 308:4 parent 139:21 156:14 156:15 Parent/Agent 139:19 parentheses 133:20 139:18 284:5 parenthetical 135:9 Parenting 245:16 part 17:5 60:20 66:12 112:15 123:13 164:13 166:10,16,21 174:18 176:18 178:7,10 179:9 185:8 192:10 192:14 210:15 221:14 228:21 233:13 255:5 255:7 283:6 288:21 320:19 335:21 346:14 parted 261:19 particular 49:3 53:1 59:7 75:14 82:5 92:1 95:17 114:3 115:7.9 115:10 133:10.11.14 135:18,18 144:13 146:10 147:12 148:7 149:18 151:6,7,7 155:8 157:4,5,18 161:18,21,21 168:21 181:19 188:14,15 189:4 197:17 202:13 202:18 203:9 209:19 209:20 221:22 224:14 225:6 228:9 229:10 247:1 248:22 255:11 259:1 264:13 269:4 283:3 287:5 295:5,9 297:19 303:11 304:15 306:12 314:20 particularly 225:6 250:3 326:12 parties 129:15 139:10 161:11 222:15 229:9 247:2,10,20 254:1,15 254:21 270:20 272:4

273:2 287:6 331:1

partner 300:17,19

301:3,4 320:2

partnership 300:10,13 party 33:7 144:7 177:13 247:1 255:11 272:12 274:4 295:6 342:6 pass 121:6 326:11 **passing** 120:12 passive 253:20 paste 141:8 **Patoce 268:9** pause 55:9 90:18 165:14 211:3 213:19 221:9 pay 337:22 338:2 339:21 paying 101:20 102:4 pen 135:7 penalty 24:1 254:16 pending 155:13 194:13 people 12:11 14:17 15:1,6 35:15 36:9 59:14 70:16 77:11 91:20 96:6 110:11 116:3 197:8 percent 20:5,6,7,9 118:11 152:20 323:6 percentage 87:14 150:20,22 152:19 perfectly 223:5 period 11:13 49:3 52:6 107:9 142:6 178:15 235:21 317:6 342:15 periodically 197:9 perjury 24:2 254:16 permissible 326:19 permission 100:21 165:16 permitted 223:6 perpetual 100:11 person 49:16 53:15 60:11,12 64:3 75:20 117:16 197:5,14 198:5 251:20 322:15 personal 13:11 22:12 144:12 164:5 200:8 200:11,14 personally 11:18 197:2 254:8,9,10 personnel 14:18 persons 57:9 pertained 330:17 peruse 226:20 **PGA** 220:2 phase 1:5,7,10,12 14:17 74:22 130:21 131.11

phone 53:16 61:22 63:17 333:15 photographs 228:22 Pick 2:4 346:15 picture 2:17 8:18 9:6,10 9:12 135:15 137:18 245:13 261:17 Pictures 82:1 108:11 109:1.4 116:19 117:3 piece 110:9 120:15 146:13 pieces 51:7 piles 308:7 Pillsbury 2:10 Pittman 2:10 place 8:21 10:4 20:20 26:18 78:14 229:9 322:13 332:19 333:12 345:13 placed 248:20 plain 219:16 plan 131:15 planning 129:7 131:13 264:20 pleading 29:3,13,16 please 5:3 17:1 24:20 26:13 27:8 28:3 30:15 36:17,18 43:19 46:17 54:18 62:18 67:17 68:4 75:4 79:1,14 109:14 112:5 135:10 141:6 151:10 152:1 154:6 160:9 163:15 167:12 170:21 172:19 176:15 201:16 204:5 206:10 208:17 210:8 214:19 216:12 218:12 221:11 223:19 238:17 239:22 242:8 251:15 260:3 265:7 299:16 309:18 319:13 pleased 77:1 plenty 77:9 123:5 Plov-nick 228:5 Plovnick 2:19 19:10 42:22 43:3 78:1,4,7 78:10 117:20 134:6 134:14 142:5 148:20 149:5 155:16 162:9 163:8 167:1 168:19 170:5 172:7 175:9 182:17,21 183:11,13 185:6 186:12 187:1,3 187:16 188:1 191:5,8 192:17 193:3,10,14

phases 13:19

194:9 196:18 203:17 205:20 208:5 210:1 213:4 216:4 218:4 220:16 228:2,5,6,7,8 228:16 229:13,19 230:17 231:2,5 237:12 238:1 239:13 241:22 244:8 247:3 248:11 252:20 259:16 260:12 261:5 271:11 275:9,19 277:13 279:14 290:2 321:21 321:22 322:2,12 323:1,7 324:12 334:12,14,21 335:3,8 335:14,16 336:1,7,11 Plowman 4:3 251:17 252:15 253:16 point 9:14 11:7 25:4 41:16,19 52:10 60:7 61:10 68:21 74:10 83:14 101:19,21 102:2 104:22 185:13 186:2,9,10 202:21 222:7 224:1 230:5,7 231:16 234:6 240:21 243:2 246:2,13 247:4 247:10 258:6,8 260:2 260:9,15 261:11,12 261:20 262:17,17 272:12 273:10 287:22 296:11 310:5 320:14 321:19 324:18 328:14 336:3 337:2 338:5 340:15 341:1,8 pointed 141:7,11 220:8 276:1 pointing 211:11 points 110:20 111:20 185:22 274:18 PolyGram 268:10 poor 113:11 Pope 330:12 Pope's 330:15 portion 130:17 141:18 237:22 posed 67:14 position 177:20 197:11 197:19,21 198:13 336:19 337:11,11,12 positions 37:13 possession 222:16 possibility 176:6,8 possible 59:16 67:6 68:14 157:19 176:5 186:16 271:15 345:18

Possibly 39:10 post-dated 262:21 post-termination 67:11 practice 113:7 practices 112:15 pre-marked 304:11 319:5 pre-ordained 84:5,7 85:7 preceded 305:11,12 preceding 200:11 251:2 precise 120:22 Precisely 308:10 preclude 310:22 predicated 240:15 predominantly 267:20 prefacing 188:10 prefer 42:7 48:17 226:1 preferring 71:21 prejudiced 169:8 275:22 prejudicial 273:19 274:14 275:21 premarked 26:14 41:5 43:17 46:15 79:11 premature 149:1 prepare 115:11 149:22 169:9 254:2,4,7 271:22 prepared 79:17 84:13 145:10 252:17 253:16 253:21 280:21 288:10 329:15 prepares 115:21 preparing 48:7 115:16 234:22 292:3 present 95:5 130:7 223:8 237:19 257:20 presentation 88:10 89:22 presented 84:6 85:8,17 138:10 212:7 229:2 297:4,9 302:16,17 presenting 310:3 President 319:16 press 8:12 presumably 268:21 presume 136:20 147:2 293:12 presumed 292:8,12 pretty 52:5 53:10 87:17 131:8 previous 26:21 87:5,12

87:18

previously 10:10 26:16

143:4 226:7 313:1

318:4 Prime 183:2,3,15,16 191:11 192:9 principal 217:3 218:22 232:19 principle 110:17 print 224:11 310:18 **print-out** 300:21 printed 246:22 255:1 312:8 printing 225:5 printout 202:4 209:6 212:5 214:21 prior 11:2 21:2,6,9 120:10 157:8 176:4 178:3,14 210:16,17 priority 51:18 private 153:6 privilege 341:10 **Pro** 15:5 probably 18:21 50:14 53:22 59:3,10 74:5 76:1 91:16 128:12 131:4,8 134:3 142:8 150:4 255:8 262:7 346:13 Probative 251:10 problem 102:4 189:10 192:12 275:21 325:9 problems 88:10 89:21 113:11 procedural 72:8 procedure 276:5 proceeding 31:3,4 34:13 48:7 73:22 74:22 77:17 84:7 85:7 94:18,21,22 95:4,5,13 95:19 96:17 103:22 112:9 131:11 138:1 148:13 153:15 158:17 159:14 176:4 177:3 179:4,13,17 183:15 186:13 190:21 191:2 192:8 226:15 252:10 291:1 295:16,17 314:15 323:15 324:2 332:2,17 336:6 proceedings 4:11 13:14,15,18 14:1,13 14:17 15:18 72:3 79:16 84:4 98:21 108:18 137:11 146:8 147:5 150:12 153:20 159:16 171:15 173:18 179:14 231:14 232:21 234:21 236:13,13

279:1 312:15 323:5 330:17 342:18 proceeds 236:10 process 12:18 60:16 63:8,10 64:7 65:5 66:21 69:5,17 70:19 113:4 114:12 331:18 332:14,18 processes 124:19 323:18 328:20 Producciones 268:9 produce 40:12 123:5 133:9 191:13 225:22 231:6 250:16 272:3 287:11 296:1 333:16 341:9 produced 23:3 40:16 40:19 132:12 134:9 134:10 135:14 143:13 154:17 161:4 169:6 184:20 192:3 203:2,7 208:9 209:18 217:5 221:21 222:14 227:9 227:12 228:10,11,12 231:7 246:19 247:2 247:12,14 264:1 274:16 276:14 285:22 297:15 303:5,9,22 307:4 311:13,15,16 313:13 318:5 322:6 324:8 336:14 340:10 340:20 341:2 producer 153:15 **Producers** 2:3 36:22 121:22 producing 162:3 290:21 product 341:10 production 149:1 202:8 228:9 241:15,16 245:17 246:7 276:10 278:10 Productions 3:14,22 133:19 135:8,12 136:4,8,9,13 137:4,7 137:14,15,16 138:4 145:14,16,20 146:7 146:15,18,20 147:1 147:14,16,18,19 148:1,9,15,19 149:10 158:8 195:12,14 197:9,12,22 198:4 205:13,17 213:14 217:4 232:10,15,20 233:6,10 236:4 245:18 284:7 319:16

proffer 109:11 112:14 112:17 270:14 271:6 271:15 278:16 program 4:13 11:8 13:6 52:1,3 61:15 71:19 133:10,15 135:17 137:22 139:4 151:5,6 152:6,8,16 155:2,3 157:2,4 158:2,3 163:1 168:22 171:16 181:15 190:6.11 196:4 202:18 203:9 204:15 205:9 208:8 209:14 209:19 215:20 224:3 226:3.4 233:11 234:1 234:6,17 236:5 240:19 243:8 244:11 244:18,22 252:10 257:6,19 268:16 269:4 277:22 278:1 283:11,16 287:5,14 297:19 303:11 308:11 311:15,15 312:5,7 327:16 331:8 Programing 3:22 232:9 programmer 49:18 programmer's 58:5 programmers 258:17 258:19,20 programming 11:6 20:16 148:12 153:16 162:5 180:10 212:19 217:14 219:12 233:9 240:17 243:5 252:6,9 264:1 269:7 programs 11:8,11,13 12:12 52:2,16,18 61:4 61:11 137:10,17 143:15,16 144:15 147:12,20 154:3 157:18 161:21 164:1 169:10 186:5 188:9 188:13,21 189:14,17 212:15 219:22 221:5 221:21 239:7 250:22 256:17 257:3 267:21 283:1 293:5 295:7 297:17 300:21 306:4 306:5,7,12 307:6 312:6 327:15 328:3,3 328:11 330:21 project 133:15 projects 308:9 promotion 118:1,7 prompted 290:5 promptly 6:19 142:14

pronounce 296:20 proof 32:1 proper 80:22 properly 213:22 236:19 properties 160:2 266:7 property 267:5 proposed 273:8 prospective 220:13 prospectively 39:12 prove 249:9 provide 69:6 72:2 163:14 190:8 217:21 245:6.10 255:5 266:12 270:19 271:3 274:5 278:22 315:16 325:12 339:1 provided 22:19,20 27:19 41:4 53:20 57:8 57:12,15,19 71:13 73:17 133:7 137:2 144:18 152:8 159:13 168:16 180:6 181:9 184:6 188:12,19 189:9 193:8,14 207:12 215:18 226:3 227:5,11 229:12 245:12 246:3,13 249:22 263:18 264:8 267:3 268:14 269:16 270:17 274:5 276:6 276:11 281:1,6,10 293:8 302:1 307:11 309:3 313:7 325:15 339:5 provides 339:18 providing 270:14 provisionally 83:12,18 271:1 public 30:21 104:4 203:20 Publishing 258:7,9 pull 18:18 227:3 230:1 pulled 280:14 281:11 pulling 341:16 punishment 175:7 purport 132:21 215:10 purported 133:4 136:6 150:16 155:6 156:10 156:20 257:9 266:14 268:17 282:1 295:2,3 295:4 297:11 purportedly 174:2 purporting 144:15 152:11 163:22 174:22 188:13 301:2

242:9 251:16 purpose 82:18 purposes 82:19 112:7 146:4 215:7 228:17 252:10 pursuant 1:19 120:1 133:8 135:15 142:5 161:5 184:21 189:1 190:7 191:14 192:1 192:13 203:2 209:18 211:22 227:13 265:16 286:9 297:15 331:12 335:7 342:9 pursuing 148:2 193:19 pushing 186:6,7 put 13:6 33:12 115:5 130:5 140:2 166:6 182:20 222:19 224:12 253:9 254:12 257:1 275:9 284:5 291:20 299:18 328:15 337:1 343:4 putting 38:20 115:17 289:5 Q qualification 162:19 quality 342:3 quasi-governmental 153:5 Questar 251:17,18 252:1,3 256:5 question 15:21 25:12 27:3 28:16 54:12 57:1 62:22 63:1,3,7,13 66:3,7 67:14 68:4 69:10 70:16 78:9 93:9 93:22 98:9 99:22 100:1,3 118:18 119:14 120:8 126:6 127:12 140:14 152:19 155:13 168:7 176:16 176:20 183:21 185:2 186:18 190:20 194:12 196:14 197:18 198:21 223:15 229:11 247:13 248:13 249:15,16,20 282:5,18 292:1 293:13 294:6,8 302:12 306:8 310:20 313:5,7 315:9 327:22 334:3 337:13 341:13 questioned 307:8

173:4 195:1 240:7

231:10 316:9 questions 28:12 31:17 90:10,13 118:14 125:12 126:3,3 127:8 127:9.18 149:11 176:9 183:20 245:3 246:8 264:22 272:15 272:17 274:10 308:18 327:1 344:17 quick 8:2 42:6 334:3 344:15 auickly 270:13 auite 11:20 13:13 101:18 250:5 264:9 309:6 333:21 340:3 quote 21:10 quoting 95:2 R

R 1:21 raise 244:13 295:15 310:7,8 326:2 raised 26:4 120:4 234:21 235:5,9 246:17 295:18 raises 341:12 ran 320:9 randomly 76:18 Rasenberger 35:15,21 122:5 rate 250:6 ratification 37:10 Raul 3:6 14:20 15:11,12 17:20 20:19 21:10 36:10,19 77:13 79:19 84:13 85:15 96:8 114:6,10 115:19 116:1,7,7,9 118:11 129:3 143:2 Raul's 44:8,8 re-ask 120:7 react 277:16 reaction 171:22 177:17 207:18 read 27:12,20 36:17 105:11 122:11,13 201:8 222:22 247:20 247:21 248:2 325:7 reader 170:3 reading 170:13 ready 90:20 real 7:1 8:2 realized 102:14 really 26:18 50:7,8,12 50:22 56:12 61:5 68:8 82:2 96:7,14 100:3

purports 45:20 132:22

questioning 26:22

28:14 187:4,6,13

149:2 203:20 205:22 284:11 329:21 330:3
337:19 345:17 reason 16:17 17:5 18:7
66:13 67:9 87:2 95:1 264:11 341:18 reasonable 229:9
reasons 176:3 rebut 249:10 rebuttal 127:21 128:3,8
142:6 169:9 185:20 246:17 247:5,8 rebutts 110:19
recall 10:21 17:7 53:20 57:11 101:16,17
117:5 122:2,15,20 126:22 143:19 179:20 194:8 202:16 203:1
209:16 212:10 233:1 246:13 256:3,4,6 258:22 261:16 262:15
264:12,13 265:13 267:10 286:17 288:21
291:21 304:2 308:2,6 310:1 314:19 315:1 327:18,19 332:21 333:4,5
recalled 18:12 119:20 143:3
RECD 3:10 receive 113:8,21 114:15 237:6 311:21
received 19:18 34:4 40:16 44:18 47:20 75:20 83:19 93:4
94:15 105:3 112:11 123:22 133:2,13 135:2 138:7 156:1
162:15 170:18 172:16 194:19 200:18 204:3 206:7 208:14 210:5
213:9 216:9 218:9 220:21 238:14 239:19
242:5 251:12 256:12 259:21 261:8 262:4 262:10 279:20 287:19
305:6,7,11 344:22 receives 110:14 113:1 receiving 179:20
recess 78:15,18 recipient 35:18 48:21
48:22 51:14,15 53:2,3 58:17 60:19,19 62:5 66:1,4,9,18,22 67:7
69:16 70:21 75:16 recipients 50:3,19

57:16.20 59:8.17 recitation 83:9 reciting 301:9 recognize 27:17 79:11 132:17 249:22 259:7 260:5 267:17 304:5 recognized 304:16 recognizes 84:16 recollection 94:6 126:17 151:19 152:3 162:21 186:17 234:10 257:5 266:1 268:5 327:12 330:6 reconsider 278:5 reconvene 140:21 record 7:22 30:22 33:4 35:2 36:18 43:20 46:18 78:21,22 86:1 105:17 112:3,13 116:6 123:20 134:6,9 141:4 144:18 148:15 148:17,22 149:6,7 172:8 173:17 179:10 182:20 187:2 203:20 203:20 223:2,4 235:2 248:17 265:5 269:22 270:1 273:19 274:22 318:22 322:9 324:22 333:17 347:9,11 recorded 7:6,9,11 recordings 228:22 records 18:4 62:2 174:17 177:3,5 202:5 223:4 247:22 332:13 344:9 recovery 17:16 recreated 17:10 RECROSS 3:2 RECROSS-EXAMINA... 127:13 **REDIRECT 3:2 118:15** redundant 213:21 reference 34:21 181:20 244:10 251:2 282:6 289:13,15,20 referenced 169:11 175:20 184:10 240:16 276:22 references 154:19 175:13 212:5 referencing 181:10 282:19 referred 93:10 137:2 153:5 169:18 289:4

referring 48:15 54:10 54:15 83:8 87:3 116:7

116:11 154:16 222:12 225:11 237:21 239:2 239:6 266:20 270:17 276:18 282:13 289:2 289:8 refers 250:10 reflecting 163:22 reflects 237:1 refrain 37:6 refresh 151:19 162:21 234:10 refreshes 152:3 refreshing 257:4 268:5 refused 188:4 regard 11:11 54:2 67:19 71:18 96:4 113:5 119:18 120:5 125:2 126:6 128:7,16 177:3 181:13 185:17 188:17 244:14 257:14 258:15 273:12 286:15 291:17 297:2 298:9 303:19 306:3 325:14 regarding 3:22 79:15 112:14 166:15 171:15 172:9 173:5 182:22 208:7 209:7 232:9 244:9 247:22 326:13 Regional 319:8 registrations 258:3 rehabilitate 123:15 rehabilitation 112:8 reject 6:9 rejected 90:6,9 187:19 187:22 188:4 relate 215:5 related 175:12 relates 230:7 relating 110:21 164:8 relationship 110:17 113:2,4 148:10,11 152:18 181:4 195:13 207:1,3 215:13 217:7 219:3,7 232:17 233:5 233:16 235:20 240:13 283:13,16,20 284:12 294:18 295:10,11 relationships 267:5 274:7 295:2 relevance 31:5,20 80:8 103:21 108:4 109:12 109:16 175:11 182:13 183:17 222:10 338:14 relevant 16:18 17:3 28:8.9 31:2 32:1 33:21 49:2 111:20

151:4 185:2,14 338:18 relied 249:7 291:16 293:1.3 rely 67:3 130:12 273:13 292:20,21 297:3,6 321:6 324:20 relying 188:11 remain 129:4 316:3 remainder 255:4 remained 65:21 66:12 remains 344:17 remedies 37:14 remedy 60:8 remember 21:11 33:10 54:1 63:7 102:6 127:3 176:22 262:12 299:17 330:2,20 332:22 333:15 remembered 18:14 remembers 18:6 remind 169:15 removed 213:21 removing 166:12 render 241:14 renew 111:17 172:8 187:16 194:13 208:6 246:2 252:21 rent 33:18 reorganizing 130:20 repeat 17:1 188:2 323:17 rephrase 66:6 replace 34:12 report 73:20 reported 268:1 reporter 16:22 327:6 reports 73:2 329:17,20 represent 24:7,15 26:11 45:21 56:8 58:4 91:2 111:1 120:19 132:21.22 147:4 150:16 152:11 155:5 175:1 200:4 263:9,13 266:15 267:6 294:12 295:8 representation 3:16 4:9 26:4 34:12 37:1,20 48:22 59:19 64:9 65:8 71:21 89:3,12 99:12 100:18 101:15 105:8 105:14,18 106:4 107:3 133:2 147:1 166:15 173:5 287:2 290:1.3 292:9 321:11

322:18 324:22

representations 89:3
129:14 146:21 202:14
338:11
representative 82:8
108:16,22 198:2,3,16
200:2 255:9 280:18
282:14 293:19 294:1
represented 69:5 70:21
75:21,22 133:5,15
143:18,22 145:2
150:14,15,22 169:1
174:18 180:8 181:7
188:22 191:5 211:13
215:15 217:9 233:8
233:18 242:22 245:19
252:4 256:16 257:2
264:10 268:11,12
269:18 281:3 282:1,2
283:4,18 289:20
337:21
representing 153:22
171:13 174:21 186:4
191:7 192:7 195:15
200:3 258:11,12,13
258:14 337:19
represents 147:17
148:19 154:21 274:8
request 6:18 37:5
149:22 153:10 194:14
226:9 232:22 275:10
326:10
requested 17:8 190:1
286:15,17 311:17
requests 49:7 278:16
require 342:16
required 133:9 224:21
335:7 342:13
requirement 296:1
requires 117:7
reserves 37:15
residence 345:14
respect 22:10 26:2 48:7
92:19 301:15 317:4 326:8 329:8
respond 28:6 59:18
60:2 66:22 170:5
224:1 276:7 308:17
responded 11:2,10
21:9 58:10 63:21
124:13 308:22 344:3
respondent 69:3
responding 25:5 65:6
105:7,12 176:14
247:8
response 16:9,17 18:7
42:6 55:15 59:21
1

```
60:17 61:20,22 62:1
  63:15,16,17 69:6,20
  111:16 123:11 126:4
  154:17 188:10 196:9
  196:12 227:10 240:8
  240:10,12,13,18
  241:1,12 246:16
  252:16 286:13,18
  287:6,18 301:7
  322:21 324:8 329:16
  333:6 340:20.21
responsibilities 20:13
  48:3.6
responsible 166:1.7
responsive 123:10
  344:10
rest 255:10.13
restaurants 14:5
restricted 299:10,11
rests 127:21
result 152:3
resulted 234:22 286:6
resumed 10:11 112:3
  143:6 200:20 265:6
retired 314:12,18
  320:12 323:22 330:7
retransmission 37:7
  43:21 46:20 47:1 72:4
  106:12 107:18.21
  108:20 126:11 159:11
  178:21 317:5 318:15
  318:16 319:22 330:11
retrieval 332:11
return 141:1
returned 328:13 329:17
revealed 102:1 173:18
  174:5
review 115:13,16
  174:17 180:5 234:14
  253:18 273:3 303:19
  304:13
reviewed 132:12
  168:22 169:5,11
  188:18 244:11,21
  248:14 277:7,21
  278:1
reviewing 7:19 29:22
  159:12 181:8 207:6
  263:17
revised 254:22
revision 253:18
revisions 255:2
right 6:10 21:20 24:16
  25:17 26:11 27:4,15
  29:6 34:13 43:6 45:21
  47:2,11 48:16,22 50:4
```

```
50:21 55:12 62:15
  67:5,11 68:10 71:10
  71:14 72:5,6,8,16,20
  73:3,13 75:1,1 79:10
  86:13 90:19 91:7 92:6
  92:19 93:6,11,15,19
  95:7 97:11 100:13
  101:2 103:10 104:21
  105:9.15.19.22 106:5
  106:8.13.18.19.22
  107:4.14 108:5 110:8
  118:12 119:14 121:9
  121:11 125:14.16
  126:12.15 127:4
  134:4 140:15 151:12
  152:5 154:20 167:6
  204:22 209:8 215:3
  216:17 225:12 231:11
  232:6 238:19 240:2
  263:10,20 266:22
  268:6 275:1 284:20
  285:16 287:1 289:22
  290:14 291:3.9
  300:10 303:7 310:10
  315:16,17,18 326:6
  329:14 332:19 342:12
right-handed 125:18,19
rights 37:13 75:13
  137:9,13,16 146:6
  153:8 159:8 179:8
  212:22 234:3,9,12
  236:1 237:2 263:11
  266:14 282:14
Road 3:22 232:10,15,20
  233:6,10 236:4
roar 131:7
Rodney 218:13,14,20
room 1:15 5:5 333:2
  334:9 341:17
Ropes 219:15,16,20
Ross 101:14 104:1
  105:7,8,13,19 106:5
  106:22 107:2,20
  119:19,21,22 120:3
  120:11,12,16,17,18
  120:19
roughly 139:2,3 246:13
Roxanne 197:15
royalties 37:7 47:1
  87:14 108:20 117:15
  153:9,11 159:8,9,11
  162:2 175:12 205:1
  250:22 263:20 317:5
  318:12,16 319:10,19
  319:22
royalty 1:1,6,11,22
```

23:17 25:7 39:8.16 40:4.9 43:22 44:3.10 46:20 72:5 106:12 107:18.21 117:3.6.9 117:17 126:13 318:19 330:11.18 332:1.14 rubber 125:21 127:16 rule 79:2 117:7.13 228:20 229:6 325:11 ruled 278:14 295:22 296:6 rules 247:21 ruling 33:11 77:2 86:8 272:2 286:10 296:9 rulings 76:16 running 223:22 336:9 338:16,20 runs 140:22 S s 105:7,13 107:2 120:18 305:12 **S.A** 268:7,7,9,10,10 SA 263:14.21 safe 132:2 safely 130:10 Sandra 330:12 satellite 1:11 4:10 11:6 11:12 43:1,3,4 46:19 46:22,22 47:5 107:17 107:21 126:10 178:20 178:21 183:6 191:11 292:7,7 305:11,12 317:5 318:16 319:10 319:19,22 Saunders 3:8 183:5 184:18 185:7 189:13 191:10,16 285:11 286:19,21 306:2,8 307:9 308:2,7,11 312:1 313:6,19 314:5 314:7 315:17,20,21 316:2,4,7,11,14,19 326:7 327:1,10 341:13 345:5,8,10,20 346:20 **Saunders's** 287:22 288:10,14 290:22 291:3 292:6 293:15 294:3 308:1 310:6 311:8 312:18 313:17 314:1,3,20 324:20 325:13 336:17 337:8 save 303:14

saw 93:2 120:14 181:19

192:18 222:18,22

248:18 250:16,17 264:11
saying 38:10 49:17 50:17,17 51:3 59:6,9 68:15 80:20 110:5
111:10 120:19 147:7 157:8 160:2,4 174:16 188:10 193:7,7 200:8 276:21 277:21 278:8
290:2 304:18 309:15 309:22 312:20 313:21 313:22 338:10,12 339:11,16,20
says 9:7,9 11:1 38:14 39:12,14 74:17 75:3,4 75:11 82:9 84:15 103:17 108:14,15
133:18 135:6 140:9 156:11 157:11,14,15 158:1 166:13,14
168:21 189:13 198:1 199:22 200:1,11 205:15 228:21 229:6 250:6 290:20 298:7
301:9 317:14 scenario 186:2
schedule 239:7 345:6 scheduled 6:16
scheme 72:5 Sciences 181:3,5,11 182:11
scope 28:8 31:2 80:13 333:10
scrambling 336:14 339:5
scrap 330:15 Screenrights 296:19 297:2,4,8,18 298:3,8 298:9,21 300:4
301:17 Screenwrites 144:21 152:22 153:2,4 155:3
156:17 157:5,12,14 157:15,17 158:1,16 158:21 159:7,9 162:1 168:4,8,15 171:20
172:3 scrutinize 146:22
SD 1:11 SDC 4:16 7:12 19:12 40:20 102:19,20 103:19 104:15 105:4
110:21 121:2 142:7 142:14 231:7 271:17 SDC's 222:15
SE 1:17

```
search 203:19 210:18
  288:17.18
searchable 325:17
searches 223:22
seated 5:3 67:17 79:1
  112:5 129:5 141:6
  265:7
second 34:19 35:12
  45:16 55:22 73:9 84:1
  121:21 150:5 166:2
  175:13 182:14 189:5
  210:19 212:4 214:2
  214:11 233:19 238:9
  238:12 290:13,16
  298:1 299:9 306:3
  311:5
secondary 153:7,8
  159:8
Secondly 247:15
seconds 27:8 130:20
  343:8.13
Secretary 15:7 319:17
section 74:17 75:3 76:9
  117:13
secured 259:3
see 8:18 14:5 15:1.8
  20:19 31:4,13 33:20
  34:18 35:15,19 55:16
  55:19 59:22 60:3,9,11
  73:14 75:8 76:18 81:9
  103:4 106:21 107:20
  114:8 116:2 121:19
  121:22 136:4 138:14
  150:6 155:9 156:11
  157:3,10 161:7
  163:21 164:10 165:15
  181:9,13 191:18
  206:1 207:7 212:4
  219:14 222:10 230:6
  239:8 240:21 244:15
  250:10 259:12 263:18
  273:6 277:11,12
  282:7 289:13 290:18
  294:17 299:4 313:3
  317:8 338:11,11
  340:9
seeing 122:2,20 257:18
 262:15
seeks 34:11
seen 27:18 91:20 123:8
  144:1,4,10,14,19
  145:4 179:2 202:14
  204:20 209:12 212:11
 212:13 215:17 217:11
 219:10 234:15 237:4
  256:16 267:14,16
```

```
268:22
sees 249:4
self 236:4
self-serving 249:12
send 13:2 49:22 50:9
  52:18 53:1,7,8 60:11
  61:21 63:14,15 66:21
  76:1 81:14,18 119:4,7
  119:11,12,15 140:22
  330:14
sending 11:9,12 53:3
  110:10,19
sends 49:22 50:2
  110:14 119:3
sense 80:15 129:8
  339:20
sent 10:17,20 11:5 36:4
  36:8,10,11 48:9 49:7
  49:10 51:13 52:17
  53:12 57:10.22 58:6.8
  60:1.16 61:19 69:15
  71:14,17 72:19 74:2
  76:5 81:17,21 91:15
  92:4 93:3 103:14
  108:11 109:6 122:19
  124:17 199:8 253:18
  256:5 306:17
sentence 10:19 55:20
  55:21 56:1 76:14
  86:22 168:21 237:15
 238:8,10 250:14
 290:17
sentences 84:22
separate 149:21 277:18
separated 262:9
sequence 174:5,20
series 17:19 217:5
 245:21 249:1 251:1
 317:2
serve 297:4
served 30:7,11,18
 32:10 311:10
services 241:15
serving 146:10
session 132:1 141:9,10
  141:12 299:19
set 53:9 63:8,10,21
 100:17 101:6,14
 113:10 115:10
setting 6:13
Settling 2:7 4:14 91:3
seven 7:8
Seventy-seven 9:3 10:1
Seventy-six 9:21
SG 35:19
shake-up 96:6
```

Shaw 2:10 she'll 347:3 sheet 115:12 224:12,14 291:11 sheets 220:11 306:16 309:9 336:4 Sheppard 122:6 shifting 276:20 shop 338:20 short 8:16 17:14 51:21 52:5 129:21 228:4 270:16 296:21 show 104:10 107:8 111:20 158:10 183:1 207:8 209:9 211:7.14 211:15 212:2,2,6,8 221:14 224:14 267:4 272:12 274:12 290:6 293:9 showed 277:15 showing 77:4 110:13 228:10 266:13 272:11 shown 248:16 249:3 shows 13:4 110:10,10 162:3 181:16 274:19 shred 146:17 side 299:19 sides 185:5 sidetrack 231:13 sign 18:12 24:5 54:12 93:16 94:7 126:20 299:19 339:2 signals 140:22 signatory 56:8 signature 23:22 45:10 125:21 127:16 254:13 259:12 signed 37:2,4 93:11 94:1 105:18 106:8,15 126:17 127:2 299:15 305:1 319:7,16 signees 147:5 significance 153:14 157:16 significant 222:9 signing 23:15 24:11 Silberberg 2:20 silly 42:2 similar 263:8 280:13 309:8 similarly 116:10 simple 138:8 simply 80:20 112:17 151:19 170:1 226:2 248:6 255:1 273:15 284:9

374

II
Simultaneous 128:2
140:7 186:20 201:10
231:15 277:6
single 86:15 127:11
226:3,4,4 233:11
240:19 296:1 317:10
332:3
Singsation 196:4
221:13,13,17 222:2
sister 118:12
site 203:13 205:6
sitting 261:18 315:16
326:5
situation 12:15 17:21
17:22 65:3 70:17
101:17 107:6 185:16
188:16
situations 152:13
six 162:21 250:6
Six-zero-two 103:2
Sixteen 160:15 162:13
sized 8:13
skewed 87:13
Ski 219:17 220:1
slog 194:17
small 189:16
smoke 140:22
Snowboard 220:1
Snowboarding 219:18
Snyder 212:9
Societies 296:14
Society 156:16 157:11
168:9
software 288:19
solely 175:12
solicited 71:17
somebody 59:5 182:15
someplace 59:1
somewhat 76:22
131:17 289:18 301:1
soon 102:14 275:12
sophisticated 333:19
sorry 16:22 23:14 24:21
29:2,9 34:20 35:5
37:17 39:2,3,8 45:11 45:12 48:5 55:2,11
56:2 60:6,7 64:10
69:9,11 70:21 74:6
78:1,6 93:21 102:22 105:10 116:9 118:17
122:4 123:19 129:13
134:21 140:17 175:2 175:5 176:1,13
183:10 188:1 198:13
202:1 203:6 219:19
220:17 225:8 228:3
220.17 220.0 220.3

248:10 260:10,17,20 264:18 266:18,19 275:3,4 276:16 278:14 282:8 289:19 293:13 297:6,21 299:14 307:2 309:20 317:22 319:19,21 329:12 333:3 341:11 342:1 sort 12:18 13:19 14:1 14:19 15:9 22:8 65:15 212:8 290:9 306:11 328:11 sound 109:2 326:3 sounds 177:22 229:4
sour 84:16,18
source 331:13 sources 332:12 Spain 159:6 162:2 266:2,4
Spanish 150:15 258:19
266:21 296:21 Spanish-language
265:22 266:2 speak 30:3 257:21
286:21 308:5
speaking 128:2 140:7
186:20 199:9 201:10 231:15 253:17 277:6
speaks 56:19 89:6,15 90:2
specific 11:14 64:16,17
65:2,3 115:3 126:16 134:10 221:11 239:5 253:8 281:12
specifically 124:21
171:16 184:11 190:10
212:22 234:12 243:8
244:13 255:16 306:2
321:2,3 specifics 88:18 226:10
specified 234:5,12
speculate 140:6
speculation 29:18
30:10 66:5 182:15 split 266:6
spoke 48:1 178:11
179:6 spoken 62:4 82:3 178:3
345:6
sponsoring 141:19,20 141:20
spot-on 328:19
spread 270:16
spreadsheet 11:5 12:3

133:13 135:14,16,20 138:8 140:2,11 152:7 154:1,16 156:9 161:4 164:19,20 166:13 184:5,20 188:11,18 188:18 189:6 190:9
193:21,22 196:3 203:1,7 207:7,11 209:17 212:1 221:20 222:7,11,14 223:3,12 223:13 224:1 225:20 226:9,10,13,17 227:2 229:11 234:15 245:12
246:19 247:1 248:12 252:12 268:13,14 269:16 271:12 272:7 277:10 280:8,14,15 280:22 281:5,11,13 282:22 285:10 287:12 287:19 288:6,7,9,18
289:1 290:3 291:16 292:4 293:4,9,22 297:14 298:10 303:7 303:8,16,18 311:21 322:6,21 324:7 325:3 325:6 336:21 337:6 342:21 343:1,3
spreadsheets 52:9 226:11,14,16 274:3 280:20 281:3 spring 333:3
squander 132:4 142:4 St 158:9 stack 312:9 314:5
stacks 314:8 staff 158:16 stamp 125:21 127:16 305:10
stand 5:12 10:11 31:11 128:19 326:5,14 327:2
standpoint 197:4 stands 111:15 156:16 286:21 Star 245:18
start 5:4 116:4 128:5 141:13 257:17 278:17 started 5:14 14:13,22 19:9 61:8 71:2 88:21 231:22 255:8 341:16
starting 14:1 15:19 333:3 346:3 starts 82:7 157:1 290:17
State 15:7 stated 11:2 20:2 22:19

50:6 59:20 85:19 341:5 **statement** 37:13 75:19 80:16 83:4,13 86:7,13 94:19 104:11 170:4 184:18 185:7,9,20 189:11 192:11 247:6 247:8 249:12 271:5 285:11,12,15,19 286:2 289:12 292:22 statements 84:21 111:5 200:5 250:1 states 15:8 204:18 205:11 263:12 265:21 266:8 stating 84:7 85:6 stations 45:5 status 6:14,15 72:3 108:17 140:21 299:4 300:6 303:20 344:13 **stay** 45:15 Staying 146:2 **STERNBERG** 2:13 **Steven** 4:2 240:8 **Stewart** 3:20 215:6,8,10 215:11,12 216:1 stick 131:20 sticker 43:13 stickers 43:5 **stipulate** 30:18 41:7 130:3 238:2 stipulated 32:10 155:19 235:15 237:20 stipulation 41:14,22 46:9 stone 113:10 **stop** 114:3 189:5 347:2 **stopped** 308:19 338:16 **stops** 163:3 **story** 15:14 straightforwardly 113:1 street 2:11,14,21 142:19 Streisand 202:8 stricken 185:12 198:11 238:3,12 289:8 290:7 Strickler 1:21 8:7,9 9:3 9:9,12,16 43:2 93:8 93:13,16,21 94:5,9,12 139:14,17 140:5,10 140:17 192:22 193:5 193:12 194:7 224:6 224:16,20 225:7,10 225:14,18 228:19

12:17 58:8,12 133:7

229:14,17 230:3,19

231:8 248:19 270:15 271:6,18 273:5 275:2 275:5,13,20 276:19 282:3,10 292:11,14 292:18 304:8 311:2 311:18 312:20 313:9 315:6,9,15 322:11 325:2,5 334:2,7,18 335:1,5,9,19 336:16 337:9 338:8 339:8,15 340:19 341:11 342:2 343:22 344:2 strike 58:15 109:20.21 118:21 148:16 192:20 235:12 242:11 250:8 250:13 258:7 301:6 stuck 306:17 Studios 217:17 stuff 58:12 78:6 220:10 sub-agent 268:20 sub-exhibits 55:6 subject 75:6 112:7 146:2 255:10 subjective 77:18 84:9 85:5 86:2 submission 285:18 submit 185:1 186:1 ' 254:5 submitted 152:14 158:13,14 179:11 192:10 Subsequent 236:3 subset 139:6 Subsidy 2:2 4:1 239:3 substantiate 89:2,12 294:11 substantiation 133:2 substitute 248:5 successor 111:7 116:19 117:4 328:14 sudden 102:1 323:12 Sue 37:2 sufficiency 110:22 sufficient 38:15 130:11 142:1 230:10 274:22 315:11 suggest 272:10 suggestion 179:9 suggests 65:7 **Suite 2:15** summaries 73:3 230:12 247:22 summarized 224:2,7 summarizing 230:21 summary 223:4 228:20 229:2,5 230:8,9,12

247:18,19 248:3,7,7 250:16 271:22 272:20 273:7,10,21 274:3 275:16,16 276:11 277:19 summation 222:18 summer 96:10 supplied 342:22 support 111:11 185:22 186:2 249:18 336:5 338:22 supported 309:9 324:22 325:1 supports 249:17 suppose 225:22 253:9 supposed 214:1 275:15 293:18 294:1 sure 21:12 24:6 27:14 32:5 38:21 39:13 50:16 52:15 59:12 63:7 78:16 82:2,5,6 92:21 95:21 97:20 112:21 115:19 116:6 118:7 130:9 131:14 131:15 136:16 253:7 255:7 278:18 280:2 282:4 288:20 289:14 305:17 surgeries 16:12 surprise 182:9,14 surprised 182:10,16 186:19 suspect 314:6 suspenders 57:3 sustained 16:3 29:20 30:5 38:3 56:20 57:6 64:5 68:3 71:6 89:8 89:17 90:4 96:20 99:19 101:11 174:9 182:19 187:13,18 196:15 244:7 SUZANNE 1:20 swear 254:16 316:5,8 sworn 10:10 143:4 syndicated 221:14 **Syndication** 4:2 171:10 171:12 219:1 Syndications 233:17 234:19 235:19 236:22 237:1 239:4 T

tabs 226:5 tabulate 337:5 tainted 87:1 take 5:11 6:7.19 9:17 27:8 54:18 78:15 99:20 102:19 106:10 106:20 107:16 117:12 117:22 118:1.4 122:11 132:15 145:13 151:6.10 152:1 154:6 160:9 167:6.12 170:21 172:19 180:12 194:22 201:16 204:5 206:10 208:17 210:8 214:19 216:12 218:12 219:19 232:4 238:17 239:22 242:8 251:2 251:15 258:4 259:5 260:3 262:2,2 264:18 269:8 317:7,10 332:19 342:3 taken 51:17 140:1,3 156:8 269:15 275:11 323:10 takes 52:4 53:18 124:20 talk 87:3,4 230:4 279:3 280:6 309:18 talked 56:12 79:8 80:11 talking 25:2 32:6 35:3 58:11 97:20 179:3.5 189:7 214:7 225:15 229:5 255:16,22 265:10 277:19 282:4 282:15 286:20 294:16 299:6 302:8,9 307:3 330:20 332:7,10 334:16 341:16 347:2 tech-savvy 12:9 **Telefe** 268:8 telephone 22:7 69:17 telephonic 6:15 Televisa 4:4 259:2,10 263:14,20 264:2,3,5 264:11,14 television 76:6 181:3,5 181:11 185:18 207:8 209:8 211:14,15 220:12 268:8 Televison 182:11 tell 13:2,20 28:3 29:22 34:10 74:1 84:14,17 95:21 96:3,7 115:2,3 115:8 129:11 130:12 132:17 152:2 158:19 160:10 170:22 172:20 178:16 199:11 201:13

204:6 238:21 249:7 260:4 275:18 319:9 346:17 telling 80:21 333:16 tells 14:4 38:7 templates 71:13 ten 49:6 343:7,13 term 100:7,17 101:6,14 106:3.4 113:17 124:22 termi 263:15 terminate 36:22 38:8.19 terminated 65:20 92:11 92:15 101:22 102:11 102:14 109:22 113:19 260:16 261:13 terminates 37:19 terminating 38:10 92:9 113:15 termination 23:2 28:19 28:21 29:12 38:2 40:13 67:4 74:1 91:15 91:18,21,22 92:4,13 93:2,4 94:15 102:12 113:2,8,13,15 114:15 114:18 120:15 122:18 124:20 262:5,11 263:16 264:7 terminations 125:7 terms 113:16 114:9 156:5 205:9 territories 153:13 266:3 territory 159:6 testified 10:12 11:4 17:20 68:7 80:19 91:4 96:11 106:15 143:5 164:4 183:1 191:17 209:9 225:12 270:19 274:6 277:1,9 279:12 296:17 302:15 313:19 318:4 329:22 330:6 testify 134:7 172:4 223:6 248:1,6 250:2 345:11 testifying 193:2,21 222:17 224:9 226:21 248:8 249:21 326:7 testimonial 232:11 testimony 21:7,12 57:1 57:2 61:12,19 62:9 63:14 68:13 71:12 90:5 99:20 110:5,20 134:12 139:19 183:6 185:8 189:13 191:9 191:12 222:6 223:14

tab 55:7,13 73:12 76:8

165:20,21

TABLE 3:1

224:4 230:9,21 233:3

237:18 239:2 247:18 271:9,12 272:22 273:7,13 275:15 286:19,20 288:1,15 290:22 291:4 292:6,7 293:15 294:3 306:2 307:21 308:2 310:6 311:8 312:19 313:17 314:1,3,20 320:19 323:16 324:20 325:12 325:14,16 326:10,13 326:17,18 343:6 text 36:18 49:21 50:1 75:3 thank 6:6 7:4 10:6 17:11 18:22 19:3,15 25:20 30:19 33:2 34:1 37:16 40:11 41:10 45:7,13 74:14 78:19 79:5 81:1 82:16 90:12 90:19 94:12 119:18 121:1,4 122:8 123:18 124:16 125:9 127:5 129:5 130:14 132:11 134:16,20 140:19 141:2 143:8 152:1,21 162:18 167:10,12 170:20 172:18 174:9 174:11 176:7 180:5 194:21 201:15 206:4 206:9,20 207:22 208:16 210:7 211:11 213:18 216:11 218:11 221:2 227:15 228:1,6 228:14,19 231:18 232:3 238:16 239:21 242:7 251:14 256:14 258:6 260:1 265:2,9 270:8,9 279:8,22 282:10 292:3,18 295:15,21 299:21 301:20 302:13 315:21 316:7 326:20,21 327:18,19 337:10 344:19 347:6,7,8 Thanks 284:17 their's 254:22 then-Director 330:11 Theoretically 227:17 thereof 222:18 thereon 271:5 thereto 120:1 252:16 therewith 236:1 they'd 241:14 329:20 thing 13:19 14:2,19 15:9 22:8 27:13 29:4

52:14 58:6,19 65:15 85:12 104:2 114:7 115:3,9 138:5 147:8 164:18 185:15 192:13 230:22 288:22 301:9 307:16,17 330:16 343:18 345:11,21 things 6:4 12:5 13:4 15:5 16:8,9 20:14 37:19 45:4 49:20 51:1 53:18 64:21 109:5 110:5 115:4 131:15 192:20 325:5 328:10 335:10 339:10 344:12 think 16:16 20:2,9 21:7 23:16 27:15 33:11 38:9,15,18 39:4 46:6 48:2 53:21 54:4,11 57:1 62:17 63:8 66:3 66:5 68:12,13,16,19 73:9 77:16 80:22 86:1 86:3 87:17 94:3,20 98:2,2,4 99:15,16 101:10 109:3 111:4 111:15 112:20 117:22 118:18 119:22 120:17 125:3 128:11 131:4,7 132:2 134:1,7,8,12 138:11,15 139:1,2 140:1,2,8,15 141:22 142:8,9 150:1 151:8 157:1 159:19 161:15 163:19 164:4 169:13 170:2 175:6 176:5 177:1 179:8 180:2,22 181:1,2 182:4 185:14 189:16 191:10 196:5 199:2 201:9,14 202:19 203:18 210:15 212:12 217:17 219:20 222:8,16,19 223:9 224:18 226:14 228:17 230:3 233:13,18 235:4,21 236:18 237:17,20 240:14 244:14 246:6 247:7 248:13,15,19 249:20 254:15 255:20 257:1 265:10,22 269:3 272:13 274:21,22 275:10 276:19 277:11 278:2,13,14,15 289:4 289:22 291:13 294:12 296:22 302:17,18 303:16 309:12,22 314:3 318:17 319:1,2

320:19 321:3 323:4,5 323:7,9,17 326:18 330:1 337:10 340:14 341:15 342:17 343:20 344:11 346:7 thinks 38:14 62:22 third 76:10 83:22 210:20 211:6 233:19 259:13 third-party 306:14 307:9.18 308:13,14 308:19 311:9 312:3 328:5 thought 5:13 32:16 50:13 84:20 95:15,16 134:2 151:4 169:22 191:5 289:19 293:17 312:22 thousands 189:17 331:19,19 thread 186:16 three 5:16 7:8 61:21 63:16 69:17 86:19 100:10 168:20 172:10 208:7 214:5 240:16 244:10 250:4,7,10 252:22 277:14 278:4 290:15 299:3 300:5 thrown 59:15 Thursday 255:19 tied 135:18 ties 288:7 293:4 **Timberwolf** 158:7,11 time 11:6,10,13 14:7 15:2,3 21:13,21 22:9 49:3,13 51:20,22 52:1 52:4,6 53:19 62:14,15 73:12,22 77:12 84:14 84:18 85:4 92:15 96:10 97:7,17,19,20 99:5,10 102:14 104:1 104:14 108:2 116:2 120:11 123:7 124:13 129:9 130:2,11,17 131:2,17 132:5 140:20 141:8 142:2,4 159:10 174:13 176:3 177:9 178:3,14 179:21 183:2,4,16,16 186:9,10 191:11 192:9 229:9 233:7 234:6 235:21 236:20 246:13 258:6,8 260:15 261:11,12 262:8 263:1 264:18 272:16 275:7 303:15

308:8 313:4,8 342:15 times 8:14 12:21 13:13 26:1 53:22 178:5 tit-for-tat 276:20 title 135:11 154:20 155:7 156:20 183:3 183:15 185:9,11 191:10 193:16 202:6 204:12,14 209:7 211:13 215:5 236:8 269:10 284:19 286:1 319:21,21 titled 48:13 titles 13:6 71:18,19 152:16 185:10 186:13 192:5,10 215:7 244:16 257:11 280:17 281:18 282:6,7 285:16 286:1,16 291:17 292:5,15,16 311:5 318:6,7,13,14 318:18 319:11 325:1 325:14 329:13 today 6:16,19 48:2 57:2 57:2 95:14 96:17 129:22 142:13,14 267:16 270:19 271:9 296:18 324:4 345:7 Toei 205:11 told 13:16 15:15 16:7 21:10 80:21 84:20 130:1,8,9 250:20 264:13 312:17 Tom 212:9 232:11,19 tomorrow 129:12 130:9 130:13 132:4 142:14 142:16 255:19 345:21 346:6 347:4,5 **Tony** 206:12 top 28:7 81:9 202:17 209:15 238:12 254:12 269:3 298:7 topic 6:12 276:9 topics 167:22 tore 331:18 total 7:8,10,10,12 256:20 totally 142:18 toto 170:4 touchy 84:19 Tour 220:2 town 345:12 track 96:1 trading 157:12 trained 86:16

transcript 313:16

323:20 327:12 transcripts 275:6,7 Transworld 243:9 244:17 245:14 travel 345:5,13 Travis 34:18,22 35:4,6 treat 59:17 trial 84:6 85:8,16,17 88:19 Tribute 202:7 tried 14:21 322:7 trouble 23:9 true 22:22 44:1 46:21 75:15.19 76:4 120:1 254:17 310:4 trusted 17:16 truth 80:16 95:21 96:7 truthful 72:12,14,20 76:20 77:17,18,19 79:9 104:10 truthfulness 83:1.5 try 5:19 17:13 20:15 23:14 52:5 60:8 63:6 91:13 101:3 131:19 142:12 186:2 191:21 316:8 336:17 trying 12:2 14:15,22 38:13,20 52:2,21 53:4 53:4 64:22 93:22 105:10 166:2 185:22 202:16 205:21 255:18 262:14 272:12 301:11 325:8,10 333:13 338:22 339:6 340:3 Tuesday 270:21 turn 26:13 103:6 144:21 160:5 298:15 314:15 314:16 turned 307:19 312:13 314:12 323:22 330:7 330:8,10,12 TV 4:5 162:3 259:1 260:8 263:14,21 264:2,3,5,11 twain 343:2 twentieth 344:5 two 7:9 8:7 33:4,5,9,10 37:18 42:21 61:21 63:15 69:17 70:8 86:19 129:20 130:4,5 130:20 132:1 141:10 150:2 152:2 157:2,22 175:10 210:20 211:5 220:13 232:18 248:22 250:22 252:20 255:8

259:1 260:10 261:13 262:22 284:2 288:8 290:15 292:10 297:13 306:4 309:7 312:7 328:2 335:9 344:12 two-day 7:10,12 two-way 62:4 tying 148:13 type 189:16 254:22 305:4 310:13,21 331:4 typed 255:1 types 71:13 281:12

U U.S 108:18 202:5 205:12,22 220:1 258:11 uh-hum 13:10 18:20 35:17 43:18 66:19 69:19 71:15 Uhh 155:11 ultimately 180:1 184:9 233:3 235:1 272:13 unbelievable 15:14 unclear 95:1 253:4 undated 253:6 underlie 325:12 underlies 325:13 underlying 133:4.4 139:12 140:4 144:9 145:5,7,8 152:11,12 152:14 154:4 157:21 190:10 230:13,15 276:21 301:5 336:18 336:22 underscored 237:21 understand 25:11,12

34:11 38:10 52:21 54:8 61:12,18 67:16 69:10 83:10 88:21 94:1 118:3 139:19 142:20 182:22 201:16 201:18 250:9 276:8 276:17 277:20 279:3 284:17 324:9 326:8 329:7 334:3,19 337:9 337:10

understanding 34:14 38:5 63:13 98:8 136:14 137:13 153:18 178:1 197:13,19 211:12 221:4 222:12 277:9 281:17 290:1 293:20,22 296:8 understands 274:7

understood 6:2 33:8 128:14 131:14 146:5 164:18 285:6.6 303:14 unfortunately 42:4 68:20 163:11 unhelpfully 317:11 unique 17:22 138:10,21 139:3 152:8 unit 306:19 United 204:18 205:11 263:12 265:21 266:7 Universal 184:14 268:7 universe 7:14 unnecessary 201:14 Unsolved 217:5 untimeliness 146:12 unusual 70:4 109:6 unusually 131:18 update 84:16 108:17 109:19 updated 66:15.16 updates 72:3 73:1 upset 236:14 use 81:12 125:22 138:15 142:2 288:15 325:18 332:3 useable 324:6 usual 131:7 usually 145:21 utilized 15:5

vague 94:21 valid 92:13 223:10 318:12 validated 99:1 validity 37:10 Valle 3:15 171:6,8,15 Valle's 171:22 valor 346:14 value 251:10,10 337:12 variety 20:14 153:7 212:3 217:15 various 4:12 15:8 26:3 159:7 188:9 265:19 305:4 317:4 322:3 vein 273:6 vendor 306:15,20 307:10,18 308:14,14 308:19 311:9,10 312:3 328:5 Venevision 268:7 venture 259:9 260:7

Vernon 3:3 4:12.17 5:9 5:11 10:8.15 19:22 31:14 32:9 34:7 41:4 43:13,16 44:20 45:9 45:15 48:1 54:18 55:15 57:2,8 62:22 70:10,13 71:5,8 79:7 81:9 83:22 90:13 91:1 93:9 95:10 100:15 105:6 108:8 112:20 118:10.19 125:11 128:18 173:6 Vernon's 110:19 version 213:21 214:3,4 280:16 293:14 313:18 versus 53:2,7 56:15 288:18 Vice 319:16 VICTORIA 2:10 Video 268:6.7 view 54:8 177:2 vis-a-vis 136:2,10,12 139:8 145:17 146:11 **Vision 219:1** visit 259:3 Visual 156:16 157:11 voice 23:13 253:20 voir 3:5 81:4.7 196:19 Volume 1:13 6:5 8:5 volumes 8:10 voluminous 188:20 192:4 223:2,3,4

196:22 255:20 316:13 225:19 228:21 247:19 247:22 248:2 volunteer 18:11

___ w

wading 333:10 wait 6:21 130:12 299:16 302:11 walk 12:22 walking 333:1 **Walter** 103:5 want 27:12 34:16 41:15 42:15 46:10 49:21 59:14 63:6 74:9 78:8 80:20 100:22 111:11 112:13 113:20 126:5 145:2,9 163:20 182:20 210:14 227:21 247:9 264:21 273:11 277:10 278:4 282:3 288:22 289:14 305:3

265:12

veracity 22:13 118:20

317:9 318:14 326:22 334:2,19 338:1 wanted 6:13 16:20 19:7 27:14 164:17 262:1 270:13,14 271:4 280:6 287:16 313:3,6 336:16 344:13 345:10 wants 274:19 276:21 warm 220:11 warranties 212:21 Wars 3:19,20 209:9 210:18,19 Washington 1:2,17 2:11,15,22 74:19 wasn't 27:1 32:14 53:9 59:2,10 221:8,10 225:15 231:2 246:21 246:22 279:15 311:13 water 342:11.12 Watercourse 3:22 232:10,14,20 233:6 233:10 236:4 way 6:4 7:14 8:19 13:1 33:12 46:11 62:22 63:9 85:15 151:3 157:1.19 163:6 169:15 191:20 223:13 230:13 231:21 284:3 306:11 322:14 333:10 337:2 338:22 339:6.9 340:7 342:11.12 ways 261:19 262:9 284:3 299:3 we'll 13:6 41:7 132:5 141:1 142:9 158:13 279:3,4,4 294:9 346:2 we're 27:4,15 95:13 113:15 115:14 140:20 142:12 146:3 149:19 155:11 175:3 179:15 179:15 185:13 186:3 189:13 194:16 208:6 212:12 214:7 215:8 215:22 226:13 227:19 230:3 255:16,18,22 262:2 264:18 276:9 277:19 282:4 295:5 345:18 we've 58:20 99:7 149:9 162:6 181:6 189:6 191:22 195:15 221:3 242:22 256:15,20 267:15 270:17 271:11 278:14 280:7 313:18 314:14 website 36:11 209:6

websites 15:7 Wednesday 1:14 129:17 week 150:1 166:3 270:21 weigh 251:9 274:20 weight 169:14 170:1 249:13 251:6 253:9 278:3 weighty 305:21 Welk 171:9,12 well-articulated 274:2 well-maintained 322:13 went 14:20 59:1,5 69:16 70:20 78:20,21 79:20 80:1 82:5,6 112:3 118:22 138:13 141:4 161:15 163:2 170:1 265:5 266:9,10,11 267:22 312:12 322:3 332:15 336:2,14 341:6 347:10 weren't 52:12 88:20 186:7 337:20 Westside 217:16 whatsoever 21:4 28:7 33:15 62:20 110:12 whisper 326:12 WHITNEY 2:20 Whitt 307:10 312:3,4,12 318:5 320:8,11 321:3 321:4,6 323:3,16,21 328:5 329:7 330:7 331:9 335:14 336:4,8 337:16 338:6,19,20 338:20 Whitt's 307:14 314:11 321:9,13 323:18 328:20 330:18 332:9 332:12 334:8,13 335:19 338:9,13,16 339:4 343:17 Willie 195:11,14 197:8 197:12,21 198:3,17 willing 179:7 willingly 168:16 Wilson 195:11,14 196:8 196:8 197:9,12,15,21 198:4,17 window 51:22 52:5 Winford 8:17 9:21 Winthrop 2:10

wish 296:20 313:16

179:18 198:10 207:21

withdraw 231:17

withdrawn 83:15

witness 10:11 15:18 16:2 17:13 18:20 24:21 25:17,19 27:6 27:11 28:18 30:13 31:11 32:5 33:14 35:7 43:14 45:3 62:18 63:10 67:14 68:17 69:11 74:14 80:18,19 82:13,20 84:12 87:11 88:15 93:12,15,18 94:3,8,11 98:6 100:2 112:9 113:9 114:5,20 115:2,18 116:1 125:13,16,19 126:1,8 126:12,15,18,20 127:3,6 128:16,22 129:1 139:22 140:8 140:13 141:19,20,21 143:3 149:2,6 151:18 154:12 160:15 165:2 167:2,20 171:5 173:2 176:9 177:10 180:17 183:22 193:2,20 194:2,6,11 195:7 198:12 199:1 201:22 204:11 206:18 208:22 210:14 213:11 214:6 214:10 215:3 216:17 218:18 222:21 223:5 223:16 224:10.18 225:1,13,21 226:16 227:12 229:4,16 230:21 237:18 248:1 265:14 267:7 277:1 280:4,9 292:13,15 305:17 315:15 320:10 320:15,17 326:4,6,13 333:14 334:6,11,22 341:22 342:21 344:17 witness's 343:5 WITNESSE 3:2 witnessed 298:14 witnesses 129:7,16,20 130:5,7,12 132:3 141:16 233:1 248:6,7 276:11 346:5 wondering 129:9 345:17 Woodlief 8:18 9:5,15 10:1 word 9:17 188:5 253:11 254:12 288:15 290:4 332:4 worded 262:7 264:15 words 114:14 190:21

work 12:3 13:18 20:18 49:14 202:13 217:2 341:9,10 workbook 191:17 192:17 193:1 working 12:19 263:2 works 195:9,11 World 319:16 Worldwide 2:2 4:1 35:19 150:6,6 166:15 173:5,8,11 174:18 205:4 211:18,19,21 212:18,20 239:3 worldwidesg@aol.com 81:11 worried 273:19 worth 57:5 218:20 wouldn't 51:9 64:4 65:17 76:1 102:12 113:19 254:20 298:10 331:14 write 60:9 103:12 writing 36:20 44:8 writings 228:22 written 82:12 97:1,7,9 97:16 98:7 99:5 184:17 185:6,8,19 189:10 191:9 192:11 247:5,7 285:10,11,14 285:19 286:2 287:22 290:22 292:6,21 343:5 wrong 193:8 251:4 274:13 327:11 wrote 105:21 WSG 4:4,5 259:10 260:8

XYZ 283:10,10,11,12,14 283:16,16,18,20,21

167:3 182:21 225:21

yeah 134:1 165:13

228:8 314:10 339:21 342:2 year 23:17 25:1,7 37:8 39:8,16 40:9 41:8 44:3 49:5 74:6 75:14 92:16 98:16,16,16,16 100:8 106:12 109:18 109:19,19 110:1,1,1 113:18,20 114:14,17 114:22 115:1 126:21 135:22 139:11 151:7

328:17

152:9 155:2,3,8 157:2 1:00 140:21 **162** 3:16 2004 317:8,14 167 3:15 2004-09 1:7 3:12 157:5 161:5 163:1 1:15 141:4 16th 37:3 2004-2009 1:6 181:19 182:2,2,3,3 10 1:14 3:3 92:5 128:4 17 3:16 167:2.5 172:20 2005 20:22 319:10 184:11 188:15 190:11 10:30 78:21 346:18 10:48 78:22 172:22 175:8,10,17 2006 25:3 262:16 196:6 215:15,21 224:3 235:22 236:17 100 20:5 115:14 189:11 176:2.2 263:16 237:7 257:6 258:4 100-percent 77:1 **170** 3:15 2007 23:16 24:11 25:1,2 101 1:17 171 3:15 25:2,3,7 262:17 262:22 295:7.9 318:14 319:20 320:1 105 4:17 **172** 3:15.16 263:16 298:22 300:4 10786 2:5 17th 174:12 301:16,22 317:8 332:1,14,15 years 34:8 37:8 39:6 109 74:10 **18** 3:17 180:13.15 2008 25:16 298:22 40:5 49:2 56:9 64:20 10th 121:18 123:4 187:15.18.21 188:3 301:21 302:1 70:8 77:6.6.6.6 92:5 **11** 151:11,13 165:5,20 194:14.15.20 2009 61:10 184:15 95:17,18 100:10,17 **180** 3:17 298:22 302:1 317:15 346:17 101:6,14,18,21,22 11:27 112:3 **1818** 2:21 201 3:18 102:5 107:7 119:21 11:30 112:4 **19,527** 152:10 2010 233:14 307:19 133:3 136:13,17,19 **112** 134:19 19/84 3:4 311:9 139:13 141:9 145:12 **113** 3:12 10:16 19:7,13 194 3:17 2011 61:7,8 145:16,20 146:10 19:17 195 3:17 **2012-6** 1:5 148:7 149:14,18 118 3:3 **1997** 87:14 2012-7 1:10 150:7,9 161:21 12 3:14 132:17 134:21 1998 181:7 233:13 2013 4:10,11 37:8 39:6 173:21 179:15 181:22 135:3 140:15,18 1999 61:10 107:11 39:7,8,12,14,15 40:4 181:22 184:8,9 143:10 145:11 149:12 234:13 236:2 237:3 40:9 41:8 43:22 44:3 190:18 195:16 207:5 280:13,15 281:17 239:10 323:15,20 44:11 46:20 79:16 207:14 217:6,10 282:5,7,19 284:11 **1999-2009** 1:10.11 92:16 93:14,17,19 221:14,17 234:8,19 **12:00** 6:20 1st 35:14 37:21 39:11 94:2,9 103:16 105:21 237:5 243:1 252:5 **12:02** 141:4 106:2,12 107:13,18 262:8 283:3 284:2,9 **12:30** 6:15,16,21,22 107:21 120:3,10 284:15,16 294:14,15 **121** 3:13 **2** 3:12 8:8 14:17 31:2 124:3.8 126:14 122 5:15 174:12 295:5 318:18.20 55:18,21,22 74:22 319:9 330:18 332:1 1233 2:14 **2014** 1:14 28:22 29:12 **2:31** 227:16 **124** 3:13 332:16 **20** 3:12,17 195:1,5 35:14 39:11,18,18 yes-or-no 54:12 **126** 3:13 121:8,10,11,14 196:17 200:15,19 40:1 41:8 44:3 55:15 yesterday 7:6 10:15 123:2,20 124:1 200 3:17 115:14,15 74:7 81:14,15 93:17 20:2 48:2 49:18 50:6 127 3:4 121:6 271:1 94:2,7 106:16,18 125:3 51:3 71:12 131:19 12th 103:16 2000 14:12 98:21 121:18 123:4 124:3,8 205:3 209:9 184:21 190:8 227:13 **13** 3:14 74:18 154:7,10 108:19 173:17,21 York 122:6 155:15,21 156:2 175:12 184:12 196:6 246:12 247:5,6 253:7 246:12 247:5 215:16,22 226:5 342:14 Z 132 3:6 232:21 234:20 236:10 202 2:12,16,22 **Z** 3:18 204:12,17 205:1 135 3:14 319:20 320:1 204 3:18,18 205:12 **14** 3:15 93:19 94:4 2000-2003 80:10 150:12 **206** 3:18,19 Z's 204:14 167:13,18 168:18 **208** 3:19 177:3 209 3:19 Zealand 153:9,14 170:19 **2001** 37:3 184:13 **15** 3:15 78:17 132:1,4 207:14 226:6 305:2 20th 2:14 37:4 141:11 170:22 171:3 21 3:18 201:17,20 317:6 203:12,16,22 204:4 00 295:17 172:6,13,17 239:10 **2002** 37:4 184:14 247:6 265:2 323:15 210 3:19,20 207:14 226:6 305:2 0003 73:22 74:22 154 3:14 213 2:6 3:20 317:6 **03** 295:17 **156** 3:14 2002/2003 261:20 214 3:20 1 **16** 3:16 47:10 140:16 2003 66:10 98:21 216 3:20,21 218 3:21,21 141:9 160:10,13 1 20:9 31:1 35:10,13 108:19 173:17,22 161:18 162:8,16,19 175:12 232:21 233:14 **22** 3:18 7:11 204:6,9 132:16 163:2,3 165:5,21 234:20 236:10 205:19 206:5,8 1,000 291:9 331:5 1,500 138:12,21 157:9 166:21 **20036** 2:15,22 **220** 3:21 1,872 257:6,7 160 3:16 20037-1122 2:11 23 3:19 106:20 107:19

II.		
206:11,16 208:2,11	333 228:11 289:10,11	50 225:3
208:15	341:7	56 157:13
2300 2:11	337 319:4,5	58 7:12
238 3:22	338 298:15,19 300:2	582 139:9 143:17 144:3
239 4:2	310:4,9 312:21 313:3	144:16,17,19,19
23rd 81:14,15	313:4,4	294:12
24 3:19 208:18,20	339 319:12	
209:22 210:3,6 211:6	34 4:4,9 259:6,15,18,22	6
242 4:2	260:18,22	60 46:1
25 3:20 210:9,12,15	341 4:12 304:3,5,10,11	600 139:2
211:8 213:3,6,10	305:14 306:3 311:20	602 4:16 102:20 103:19
214:3,8,9,10 221:14	315:7,12 316:20	104:16 105:4 121:2
251 4:3	344:14,14,20 345:1	624-1996 2:6
253 3:7	344 4:12	65 157:22
256 4:3	35 4:5 7:6 260:4,11,14	650 139:2,3,7,9 143:14
259 4:4	260:19 261:2,6,9	663-8000 2:12
25th 28:22 29:12	351 163:3,8	000 0000 2.12
26 3:20 55:7,13 214:8	351.10(c)(3) 228:20	7
214:20 215:1 216:3,6	355-7900 2:22	7 166:13
216:10	356 4:10 42:14 43:1,2,3	703 2:15
261 4:5	43:4,10,17 44:10,15	71 157:15
27 3:21 73:12 76:9	44:17 45:15 93:10	76 8:4,15
213:22 214:1,9	106:11,21 107:12	761 257:7
216:13,15 218:3,6,10	126:7	77 8:5,17
28 3:21 218:13,16	357 4:10 42:14 43:1,4,4	78 4:11
220:15,18,22 231:20	43:10 46:15 47:13,16	79 155:3 157:4
232:1	47:19 93:10 107:17	73 100.5 107.4
280 3:7 4:7	126:7	8
29 3:22 232:5,8 237:11	358 4:11 78:12 79:11	8 30:16
237:14 238:7,15	80:5 83:17 84:1 90:6	80 60:15,17
207.14 200.7,10	90:8 108:4,7 109:8	81 3:5
3	110:10 112:6,11,12	83 4:11
3 1:13 8:5	116:17	8th 2:21
3:02 265:5	36 4:6 269:9 279:11,13	0012.21
3:22 265:6	279:18,21	9
30 4:1 55:15 154:18	360.3(c) 117:13	
161:5 184:21 227:13	37 117:12	
238:18 239:12,16,20	385 163:1,6 269:3	
246:20 286:10 287:7	303:11	
297:16	000.71	
307 4:9 26:14 29:2	4	
30:21 32:7,14 33:1	4 6:5 8:5	
34:3 94:14	4:30 227:19	
308 54:19 55:8,13 73:7	4:40 347:11	
73:9	40 223:14,21	
30th 133:8 135:16	408-7600 2:16	
190:7	43 4:10,10 7:9,11	
31 4:2 44:3 240:1	43,628 152:8	
241:21 242:2,6	44 4:10 248:17	
316 3:9	44,000 224:5 225:2	
31st 93:14,17	281:7	
32 4:3 242:9 244:5	44.75 152:19	
251:8,13	47 4:10	
326 3:9	49 157:2,3,3,10	
33 4:3 251:16 252:19		
256:1,9,13	5	
200.1,0,10	-	
1	1	ı